# HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE GROUP OF STRICT CONTACTOMORPHISMS OF THE STANDARD TIGHT CONTACT STRUCTURE ON THE THREE-SPHERE

DENNIS DETURCK, HERMAN GLUCK, LEANDRO LICHTENFELZ,
MONA MERLING, JINGYE YANG, AND YI WANG

ABSTRACT. We prove that the group $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ of strict contactomorphisms, also known as quantomorphisms, of the standard tight contact structure $\xi$ on $S^3$ is the total space of a fiber bundle $S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ over the group of area-preserving $C^\infty$-diffeomorphisms of $S^2$, and that it deformation retracts to its finite-dimensional sub-bundle $S^1 \to U(2) \cup cU(2) \to O(3)$, where $U(2)$ is the unitary group and $c$ is complex conjugation.

FIGURE 1. The *Hopf fibration* of the three-sphere consists of the unit circles on the complex lines through the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2$, which lie mostly on a family of tori as shown above. The *standard tight contact structure* on $S^3$ is the field of tangent two-planes orthogonal to these great circles. The *strict contactomorphisms* are the diffeomorphisms of the three-sphere which preserve both the Hopf fibration and the standard tight contact structure.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
Organization of the paper 4
Acknowledgements 4
2. Preliminaries 5
2.1. Nearest neighbor maps 6
2.2. Horizontal lifts 7
2.3. Quantitative holonomy 8
3. The group of strict contactomorphisms 9
4. The exact sequence of Lie algebras 12
5. The exact sequence of Fréchet Lie groups 13
6. The fiber bundle structure 19
Appendix A. Computation of the Lie algebras 24
Appendix B. Fréchet Spaces and Manifolds 31
B.1. Fréchet Spaces 31
B.2. Fréchet Manifolds 33
B.3. Examples 33
References 39

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider here the groups $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ of diffeomorphisms of smooth manifolds $M$, give them the $C^\infty$ topology and regard them as Fréchet Lie groups. The study of their homotopy types has a rich history. By a result of Smale, the diffeomorphism group $\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$ is homotopy equivalent to the orthogonal group $O(3)$, and by the celebrated Smale Conjecture proved by Hatcher in [Hat83], the diffeomorphism group $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ is homotopy equivalent to the orthogonal group $O(4)$. It is natural to consider diffeomorphisms of $S^3$ which preserve extra structure there and the interplay and homotopy types of resulting moduli spaces. The main players in this paper are subgroups of the group $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ of smooth diffeomorphisms of $S^3$ which preserve Hopf fibers and tight contact structure.

The Hopf fibration $\mathcal{H}$ discovered by Hopf in 1931 and pictured in Figure 1 is a fiber bundle $S^1 \subset S^3 \xrightarrow{p} S^2$ whose projection $p$ provided the first example of a homotopically nontrivial map from a higher-dimensional sphere to a lower-dimensional one, signaling the birth of homotopy theory. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ consisting of diffeomorphisms which permute the great circle fibers of $\mathcal{H}$, not necessarily rigidly. These will be called *automorphisms of* $\mathcal{H}$.

The standard tight contact structure $\xi$ on $S^3$ is the field of tangent 2-planes which are everywhere orthogonal to the great circle fibers of the Hopf fibration, as pictured in Figure 1. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ consisting of diffeomorphisms whose differentials permute the tangent 2-planes of $\xi$. These will be called *automorphisms of* $\xi$.

In this paper we study the diffeomorphisms of $S^3$ which are simultaneously automorphisms of the Hopf fibration $\mathcal{H}$ and of the standard tight contact structure $\xi$. First, we show in Proposition 3.1 that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi) = \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi),$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ is the group of all *strict contactomorphisms* (see Definition 2.9), also known as the *quantomorphism group*, of $\xi$. Our main result is the following, which appears as Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.18.

**Theorem A.** *The group* $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ *is the total space of an* $S^1$*-bundle*

$$S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \tag{1.2}$$

*in the category of Fréchet Lie groups and* $C^\infty$ *maps, where the* $S^1$ *fiber is the subgroup of diffeomorphisms of* $S^3$ *which rotate all Hopf fibers within themselves by the same angle, and where the base space* $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ *is the group of area-preserving* $C^\infty$ *diffeomorphisms of the unit two-sphere* $S^2$*. This bundle deformation retracts to its finite-dimensional subbundle*

$$S^1 \to \mathrm{U}(2) \cup \mathrm{c}\mathrm{U}(2) \to \mathrm{O}(3),$$

*where* $\mathrm{U}(2)$ *is the unitary group,* c *denotes complex conjugation and* $\mathrm{O}(3)$ *is the orthogonal group.*

We give some historical context. The fiber bundle result was proved by Ratiu and Schmid in 1981 in the Sobolev category [RS81], building on work of Kostant [Kos70], Souriau [Sou70], Ebin and Marsden [EM70], Omori [Omo74], and Banyaga [Ban78b], [Ban78a]; see also [EP15]. The deformation retraction result above is based on the theorem of Mu-Tao Wang [Wan01], which shows that the group $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ deformation retracts to its subgroup $\mathrm{O}(3)$ via mean curvature flow of graphs in $S^2 \times S^2$. We will show how to lift this to a deformation retraction of $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ to $\mathrm{U}(2) \cup \mathrm{c}\mathrm{U}(2)$.

Theorem A is the first in a continuing study of the homotopy types of the automorphism groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ and some of their subgroups which preserve structure more strictly, and of the homotopy types of the moduli space of fibrations of the three-sphere by simple closed curves and the moduli space of tight contact structures on the three-sphere. These moduli spaces are the quotients of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$, respectively.

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, we begin by regarding $S^3$ as the group of unit quaternions, and quickly review left-invariant vector fields and differential forms on $S^3$, which we will use throughout the paper. In Section 3 we will examine the behavior of diffeomorphisms which lie in the group $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ of strict contactomorphisms, and show that this group is the intersection of the groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$. After that, we work up to the main theorem through several levels, as follows.

(1) *Fréchet Lie algebras, equivalently, tangent spaces at the identity.* In Section 4 we show exactness of the sequence

$$0 \to \mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{id}} S^1 \to \mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{id}} \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{id}} \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \to 0$$

of Lie algebras.

(2) *Fréchet Lie groups.* In Section 5 we show exactness of the sequence

$$\{1\} \to S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \to \{1\}$$

of Lie groups.

(3) *Fréchet fiber bundles.* In Section 6 we prove the fiber bundle structure of

$$S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2).$$

(4) *Homotopy type.* Also in Section 6 we prove that the above bundle deformation retracts to its finite-dimensional sub-bundle

$$S^1 \to \mathrm{U}(2) \cup c\mathrm{U}(2) \to \mathrm{O}(3).$$

The first three levels above are patterned after the approach of Ratiu and Schmid who proved analogous results in the Sobolev category [RS81].

We include two appendices. The computation of the tangent spaces at the identity used in Section 4 is postponed to Appendix A. Lastly, we give some background on Fréchet manifolds in Appendix B.

**Acknowledgements.** It is a pleasure to acknowledge the contributions to this project arising from conversations with Alexander Kupers and Jim Stasheff. We thank Ziqi Fang for perceptive comments on a draft of this paper. Merling acknowledges partial support from NSF DMS grants CAREER 1943925 and FRG 2052988. Wang acknowledges partial support from NSF GRFP 1650114.

## 2. Preliminaries

We start with some preliminaries and notation on left-invariant vector fields and differential forms, which will be used throughout the paper. We view the 3-sphere $S^3$ as the space of unit quaternions and make the following definitions. Let

$$A(x) = xi,\ B(x) = xj,\ C(x) = xk, \tag{2.1}$$

be the standard left invariant vector fields given by right multiplication by $i, j, k$. Their Lie brackets satisfy

$$[A,\ B] = 2C,\ [B,\ C] = 2A,\ [C,\ A] = 2B. \tag{2.2}$$

With respect to the standard metric, we let $\alpha, \beta$ and $\Upsilon$ be the 1-forms dual to $A$, $B$ and $C$, respectively. Their exterior derivatives are given by

$$d\alpha = -2\beta \wedge \Upsilon,\ d\beta = -2\Upsilon \wedge \alpha,\ , d\Upsilon = -2\alpha \wedge \beta. \tag{2.3}$$

Any smooth vector field $X$ on $S^3$ can be written in the basis from Equation 2.1 as

$$X = fA + gB + hC \tag{2.4}$$

where $f, g$ and $h$ are smooth functions on $S^3$. Viewing $A$, $B$ and $C$ as directional derivative operators, the differential operators div and curl, acting on a vector field $X$ as above, are

$$\mathrm{div}(X) = Af + Bg + Ch \quad \text{and} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathrm{curl}(X) = (Bh - Cg)A + (Cf - Ah)B + (Ag - Bf)C - 2X. \tag{2.6}$$

The gradient of a smooth function $\phi : S^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is

$$\mathrm{grad}(\phi) = (A\phi)A + (B\phi)B + (C\phi)C \tag{2.7}$$

The formula for curl is derived from the identities $\mathrm{curl}A = -2A$, $\mathrm{curl}B = -2B$, $\mathrm{curl}C = -2C$, which can be verified directly, together with the Leibniz rule

$$\mathrm{curl}(\phi X) = \mathrm{grad}(\phi) \times X + \phi \mathrm{curl}(X).$$

We now introduce the various groups of diffeomorphisms which will be of interest to us. Let $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ denote the group of $C^\infty$ diffeomorphisms of $S^3$ equipped with the $C^\infty$ topology. We regard it as a smooth Fréchet Lie group modeled on the Fréchet vector space of $C^\infty$ vector fields on $S^3$. For more details on Fréchet spaces, manifolds and smooth maps between them, we refer the reader to Appendix B.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Hopf fibration of $S^3$ by the oriented great circles which lie on the complex lines through the origin in complex 2-space $\mathbb{C}^2$. The fibers of the Hopf fibration are precisely the integral curves of the vector field $A$ defined in Equation 2.1.

**Definition 2.8.** We associate the following subgroups with the Hopf fibration $\mathcal{H}$.

- The subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ of *automorphisms of* $\mathcal{H}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ permuting oriented Hopf fibers,
$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) = \{F \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^3) \mid F_*A = \lambda A \text{ for some smooth } \lambda > 0\}.$$
- The subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\mathcal{H})$ of *strict automorphisms of* $\mathcal{H}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ permuting Hopf fibers rigidly,
$$\mathrm{Aut}_1(\mathcal{H}) = \{F \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^3) \mid F_*A = A\}.$$
- The subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}_0(H)$ of *stationary automorphisms of* $\mathcal{H}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ taking each Hopf fiber to itself, not necessarily rigidly.

We denote by $\xi$ the standard tight contact structure on $S^3$, which consists of the oriented tangent 2-planes orthogonal to the fibers of $\mathcal{H}$. Since $A$ is the vector field tangent to the fibers of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha$ the 1-form dual to $A$, we have $\xi = \ker \alpha$. Furthermore, $A$ is the Reeb vector field of $\xi$, i.e., $\alpha(A) = 1$ and $d\alpha(A, -) = 0$.

**Definition 2.9.** We associate the following subgroups with the contact structure $\xi$.

- The *contactomorphism group* $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ permuting the oriented tangent 2-planes of $\xi$,
$$\mathrm{Aut}(\xi) = \{F \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^3) \mid F^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha \text{ for some smooth } \lambda > 0\}.$$
- The *strict contactomorphism group* or *quantomorphism group* $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ which preserves the 1-form $\alpha$,
$$\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) = \{F \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^3) \mid F^*\alpha = \alpha\}.$$

The Lie algebras of each of the subgroups above are described in detail in Appendix A. As in the case of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$, we regard these subgroups as Fréchet Lie groups in the $C^\infty$ topology.

In the remainder of this section, we discuss two families of maps on the 3-sphere which will be useful to us later on in the paper.

2.1. **Nearest neighbor maps.** Let $C$ and $C'$ be two Hopf fibers on $S^3$ which are not orthogonal to one another, or equivalently, whose projections to $S^2$ are not antipodal. These two Hopf fibers are a constant distance, say $\delta < \pi/2$ apart on $S^3$.

Thus, each point $x$ on $C$ has a unique *nearest neighbor* $x'$ on $C'$, which is the point that minimizes the distance between $x$ and $C'$. Similarly, $x'$ on $C'$ has $x$ on $C$ as its nearest neighbor there. Furthermore, the correspondence between $x$ on $C$ and $x'$ on $C'$ is an isometry between these two circles.

The nearest neighbor map between the Hopf fibers $C'$ and $C$ takes the point

$$x' = (\cos\delta\cos\theta, \cos\delta\sin\theta, \sin\delta\cos\phi, \sin\delta\sin\phi) \text{ on } C'$$

to the point $x = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0, 0)$ on $C$, as depicted in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. The points $x$ and $x'$ are nearest neighbors on the great circles $C$ and $C'$

The composition of nearest neighbor maps $C \to C' \to C''$ is *not* necessarily the nearest neighbor map $C \to C''$, and if we move along a succession of nearest neighbor maps out from $C$ and eventually back again to $C$, the composition will be some rotation of $C$. In related settings, a similar phenomenon is called *holonomy*, so we will use that term here as well.

2.2. **Horizontal lifts.** Consider the Hopf projection $p\colon S^3 \to S^2$ and a smooth curve $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to S^2$. Given a point $x$ on the Hopf fiber $p^{-1}(y)$, there exists a smooth curve $\overline{\gamma}\colon [0,1] \to S^3$ which is unique and runs always orthogonal to Hopf fibers, covers $\gamma$ in the sense that $p \circ \overline{\gamma} = \gamma$ and satisfies $\overline{\gamma}(0) = x$. We refer to $\overline{\gamma}$ as a *horizontal lift* of $\gamma$ because we think of Hopf fibers as being "vertical" and the orthogonal tangent 2-planes as being "horizontal". In fact, viewing $S^3$ as a principal $U(1)$-bundle over $S^2$, the horizontal lift is parallel transport with respect to the connection defined by the 1-form $\alpha$. If $\gamma$ is a geodesic in $S^2$ between the non-antipodal points $y_1$ and $y_2$, then the horizontal lifts of $\gamma$ give us the nearest neighbor map between the Hopf fibers $p^{-1}(y_1)$ and $p^{-1}(y_2)$ .

2.3. **Quantitative holonomy.** In the Hopf fibration $\mathcal{H}$, choose radius $1/2$ for the base 2-sphere, so that the projection map $p\colon S^3 \to S^2(\frac{1}{2})$ is a Riemannian submersion, meaning that its differential takes tangent 2-planes orthogonal to the Hopf fibers isometrically to their images in $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$ .




FIGURE 3. Holonomy

In Figure 3 we consider a loop $\gamma$ in $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$ based at the point $y$, and the region $\Sigma$ of $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$ that it bounds. We pick a point $x_1 \in p^{-1}(y)$, and consider the horizontal lift $\overline{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$ beginning at $x_1$.[1]

The holonomy here is illustrated by the fact that when the lift $\overline{\gamma}$ returns to the fiber $p^{-1}(y)$, it does so at a point $x_2$ of that fiber, displaced by an angle $\theta$ from the starting point $x_1$. So $\overline{\gamma}$ followed by the arc on $p^{-1}(y)$ from $x_2$ to $x_1$ is a loop in $S^3$. This loop bounds a region $\Sigma^*$ in $S^3$, which projects down via $p$ to the region $\Sigma$ on $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$.

We claim that the holonomy angle $\theta$ is given by

$$\theta = 2(\text{area of } \Sigma) \text{ on } S^2(\tfrac{1}{2}),$$

[1]We warn the reader about the very similar notation for paths, which are denoted by $\gamma$ and the dual form to $C$, which is denoted by $\Upsilon$, since they both appear in this subsection.

and confirm this as follows:

$$\text{area of } \Sigma \text{ on } S^2(\tfrac{1}{2}) = \int_{\Sigma} d(\text{area}) = \int_{\Sigma^*} p^* d(\text{area}) = \int_{\Sigma^*} \beta \wedge \Upsilon,$$

using the fact that the Hopf projection $p\colon S^3 \to S^2(\frac{1}{2})$ is a Riemannian submersion, and so is area-preserving on the 2-form $\beta \wedge \Upsilon$, down to the usual area form on $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$. From Equation 2.3 we have that $d\alpha = -2\beta \wedge \Upsilon$, and hence $d(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha) = \beta \wedge \Upsilon$.

Using Stokes' theorem, we get

$$\int_{\Sigma^*} \beta \wedge \Upsilon = \int_{\Sigma^*} d(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Sigma^*} \alpha.$$

Now $\partial\Sigma^*$ consists of two pieces, the arc $\overline{\gamma}$ followed by the arc on $p^{-1}(y)$ from $x_2$ to $x_1$. Since the arc $\overline{\gamma}$ is horizontal, the one-form $\alpha$ is identically zero along it, so we get no contribution to the last integral above. And since the angle along the Hopf great circle $p^{-1}(y)$ measured from $x_1$ to $x_2$ is $\theta$ , the integral of $\alpha$ along this arc in the opposite direction is $-\theta$.

Putting all this together, we have

$$\text{area of } \Sigma \text{ on } S^2(\tfrac{1}{2}) = \int_{\Sigma^*} \beta \wedge \Upsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Sigma^*} \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}(-\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\theta.$$

Hence the holonomy of horizontal transport in $S^3$ induced by the loop $\gamma$ on $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$ is given by the

$$\text{holonomy angle } \theta = 2 \text{ area of } \Sigma \text{ on } S^2(\tfrac{1}{2}),$$

as claimed above.

**Example 2.10.** The equator $\gamma$ on $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$ bounds a hemisphere $\Sigma$ of area $\frac{\pi}{2}$. The inverse image $p^{-1}(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ is a Clifford torus in $S^3$, filled with Hopf fibers. The orthogonal trajectories are Hopf fibers of the opposite handedness and are horizontal with respect to the original Hopf fibration. Starting at any location along any original Hopf fiber on this Clifford torus and then following a horizontal circle will bring us back to the antipodal point on the starting fiber. So the holonomy angle in this case is $\theta = \pi$, which is twice the area of $\Sigma$.

## 3. The group of strict contactomorphisms

In this section we show that the strict contactomorphisms of the standard tight contact structure $\xi$ are precisely the simultaneous automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\xi$.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The group of strict contactomorphisms is the intersection of the contactomorphism group with the automorphism group of the Hopf fibration, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi).$$

***Proof.*** We begin by showing that $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$. Suppose $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$, so by definition $F$ is a diffeomorphism of $S^3$ that satisfies $F^*\alpha = \alpha$. Recall that the 1-form $\alpha$ with Reeb vector field $A$ is uniquely characterized by

$$\alpha(A) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d\alpha(A, -) = 0.$$

We consider the pushforward $F_*A$ of the vector field $A$ by the diffeomorphism $F$, and note that

$$\alpha(F_*A) = F^*\alpha(A) = \alpha(A) = 1,$$

and

$$d\alpha(F_*A, -) = F^*(d\alpha)(A, -) = d(F^*\alpha)(A, -) = d\alpha(A, -) = 0.$$

By uniqueness of Reeb vector fields, we have $F_*A = A$, so $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(H) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$. Thus $F \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$.

Next, suppose that $F \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$, so that

$$F_*A = \lambda A \quad \text{and} \quad F^*\alpha = \mu\alpha,$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are smooth real-valued, positive functions on $S^3$. This gives us that

$$(F^*\alpha)(A) = \alpha(F_*A) = \alpha(\lambda A) = \lambda\alpha(A) = \lambda,$$

while at the same time

$$(F^*\alpha)(A) = (\mu\alpha)(A) = \mu(\alpha(A)) = \mu,$$

so it follows that $\lambda = \mu$. We now show that $\lambda = 1$, so that $F^*\alpha = \alpha$, which will imply $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$.

Recall that $A, B, C$ is the left-invariant orthonormal frame field on $S^3$. Note that

$$(d\alpha)(F_*A, F_*B) = (d\alpha)(\lambda A, F_*B) = \lambda(d\alpha)(A, F_*B) = 0,$$

while at the same time

$$\begin{aligned}(d\alpha)(F_*A, F_*B) &= F^*(d\alpha)(A, B) = d(F^*\alpha)(A, B) = d(\lambda\alpha)(A, B)\\ &= (d\lambda \wedge \alpha - \lambda d\alpha)(A, B) = (d\lambda \wedge \alpha)(A, B)\\ &= (d\lambda)(A)\alpha(B) - (d\lambda)(B)\alpha(A) = -(d\lambda)(B) = -B(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $B(\lambda) = 0$. Similarly, we can show $C(\lambda) = 0$. Lastly,

$$A(\lambda) = \tfrac{1}{2}[B, C]\lambda = \tfrac{1}{2}(BC - CB)\lambda = 0,$$

and hence the function $\lambda\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ must be constant. But since Hopf fibers are taken to Hopf fibers with $F_*A = \lambda A$ for constant $\lambda$, then $\lambda$ must be identically 1. Thus $\mu = 1$, and so $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$. □

We collect a few more useful properties of strict contactomorphisms. Note that the following proposition falls out of the proof of Proposition 3.1, where we showed that for diffeomorphisms $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$, we must have $F_* A = A$, namely they permute Hopf fibers rigidly.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The strict contactomorphism group* $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ *is a subgroup of the strict automorphism group* $\mathrm{Aut}_1(H)$ *of the Hopf fibration.*

Lastly, we record how elements in the simultaneous automorphism group of the Hopf fibration and the standard tight contact structure behave with respect to volume on $S^3$ and area on $S^2$.

**Proposition 3.3.** *The diffeomorphisms of* $S^3$ *in* $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ut}(H) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ *are volume-preserving on* $S^3$ *and project to area-preserving diffeomorphisms of* $S^2$ *under the Hopf fibration map* $p$.

***Proof.*** Let $F \in \mathcal{A}\mathrm{ut}(H) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$. By Proposition 3.1 $F^*\alpha = \alpha$. So $F$ takes the contact tangent 2-plane distribution $\xi$ to itself. We show that $F_*$ takes these tangent 2-planes to one another in an area-preserving way, as follows.

Recall the formulas that the dual forms to $A, B, C$ satisfy from Equation 2.3 and note that the area form on the tangent 2-planes in the distribution $\xi$ is $\beta \wedge \Upsilon$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta \wedge \Upsilon)(F_*B, F_*C) &= -\tfrac{1}{2} d\alpha(F_*B, F_*C) = -\tfrac{1}{2}(F^* d\alpha)(B, C) \\ &= -\tfrac{1}{2} d(F^*\alpha)(B, C) = -\tfrac{1}{2} d\alpha(B, C) \\ &= (\beta \wedge \Upsilon)(B, C) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus indeed $F_*$ takes the 2-planes in the distribution $\xi$ to one another in an area preserving way.

We finish as follows. By Proposition 3.2, $F_*(A) = A$, telling us that $F$ permutes Hopf fibers rigidly. And as we just saw above, $F_*$ is area-preserving on the tangent 2-planes orthogonal to the Hopf fibers. So it follows that $F$ is volume-preserving on $S^3$. Finally, since the differential of the Hopf projection $p\colon S^3 \to S^2$ maps the tangent 2-planes orthogonal to the Hopf fibers isometrically to the tangent 2-planes of $S^2(\frac{1}{2})$, it follows that the diffeomorphism $F$ of $S^3$ projects to an area-preserving diffeomorphism of $S^2$, as claimed.

□

## 4. The exact sequence of Lie algebras

In this section we establish the exactness of the sequence from Equation 1.2 in Theorem A on the level of Lie algebras. More precisely we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The sequence of tangent spaces*

$$0 \to T_{id}S^1 \xrightarrow{J} T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \xrightarrow{P} T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \to 0$$

*is an exact sequence of Lie algebras.*

We note that this result also appears in [RS81], where Ratiu and Schmid attribute it to [Kos70], but give their own proof. For completeness, we include a proof here.

Before turning to the proof, we give explicit descriptions of the tangent spaces in play, which are computed in detail in Appendix A. Writing a smooth vector field on $S^3$ as $X = fA + gB + hC$ as in Section 2, the conditions on the coefficients $f, g$ and $h$, which describe membership in the tangent spaces in question are as follows:

(1) $X \in T_{id}S^1$ if and only if

$$f = \text{constant}, \quad g = 0, \quad h = 0,$$

(2) $X \in T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ if and only if

$$Af = 0, \quad g = \tfrac{1}{2}Cf, \quad h = -\tfrac{1}{2}Bf.$$

We view $T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ as horizontal vector fields on $S^3$, which push forward consistently along Hopf fibers to divergence-free vector fields on $S^2$, where "consistently" means $p_*(X)|_x = p_*(X)|_y$ for all $x, y$ in the same Hopf fiber. With this interpretation, we get the following description.

(3) $X \in T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ if and only if

$$f = 0, \quad g = -\tfrac{1}{2}Ah, \quad h = \tfrac{1}{2}Ag, \quad Bg + Ch = 0.$$

It is easy to see (1), whereas (2) is proved as part (c) of Proposition A.1 and (3) is Proposition A.7.

***Proof of Proposition 4.1.*** We start by showing that the maps J and P do restrict to maps between tangent spaces. First, for $fA \in T_{id}S^1$, $f$ is constant, so we have $J(fA) = fA \in T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$.

For $fA + gB + hC \in T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$, we have $P(fA + gB + hC) = 0A + gB + hC$. To show that this lives in $T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$, we need to verify that if $f, g$, and $h$ satisfy the conditions in (2), then $g, h$ satisfy the conditions in (3). Using the description of

$g$ and $h$ from (2), note that the condition $g = -\frac{1}{2}Ah$ is equivalent to $2Cf = ABf$. This equality can be seen to be true using the bracket formula $2C = AB - BA$ and the fact that $Af$ is also assumed to be 0. In a similar fashion, we can show that $h = \frac{1}{2}Ag$. Lastly, again using the description of $g$ and $h$ from (2), we get that $Bg + Ch = \frac{1}{2}(BC - CB)f = Af = 0$.

Now we turn to exactness of the sequence. The map $J$ is injective, so we have exactness at $T_{id}S^1$. To see exactness at $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$, first note that by definition it follows immediately that $\mathrm{im}(J) \subseteq \ker(P)$. To see the reverse inclusion, suppose $X = fA + gB + hC$ and suppose $P(X) = gB + hC = 0$. Then $g = \frac{1}{2}Cf = 0$ and $h = -\frac{1}{2}Bf = 0$. But then $Af = \frac{1}{2}(BC - CB)f = 0$. Thus $f$ is constant on $S^3$, and $X = fA \in \mathrm{im}(J)$.

Lastly, for exactness at $T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ we need to check that $P$ is surjective. Let $Y = gB + hC \in T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$, so the coefficients satisfy the conditions in (3). We need to find a smooth function $f\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the vector field $X = fA + gB + hC$ lies in $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$, i.e., so that $f, g$ and $h$ satisfy the equations in (2). Combining the conditions on $f, g$ and $h$ from (2) and (3), we have $Af = 0$, $Bf = -Ag$ and $Cf = -Ah$.

Plugging this into the gradient formula from Equation 2.7, we are seeking $f$ so that

$$\mathrm{grad}(f) = (Af)A + (Bf)B + (Cf)C = -(Ag)B - (Ah)C.$$

On $S^3$ we can solve for $f$ if and only if $\mathrm{curl}\big((-Ag)B + (-Ah)C\big) = 0$. From Equation 2.6, after simplifying, we get

$$\mathrm{curl}\big((-Ag)B + (-Ah)C\big) = (CAg - BAh)A + (2Ag + A^2h)B + (2Ah - A^2g)C.$$

Differentiating the equations $g = -\frac{1}{2}Ah$ and $h = \frac{1}{2}Ag$ with respect to $A$, we get $A^2h = -2Ag$ and $A^2g = 2Ah$, thus our equation reduces to

$$\mathrm{curl}\big((-Ag)B + (-Ah)C\big) = (CAg - BAh)A.$$

Furthermore, using the equations $Ag = 2h$, $Ah = -2g$ and $Ch + Bg = 0$, we get $\mathrm{curl}\big((-Ag)B + (-Ah)C\big) = 0$, and thus $(-Ag)B + (-Ah)C = \mathrm{grad}(f)$ for some smooth function $f\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, as desired. This completes the proof of the proposition, namely that our sequence of tangent spaces is exact. □

## 5. The exact sequence of Fréchet Lie groups

In this section we establish the exactness of the sequence from Equation 1.2 in Theorem A on the level of Lie groups. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The sequence of Fréchet Lie groups*

$$\{1\} \to S^1 \xrightarrow{J} \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \xrightarrow{P} \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \to \{1\} \tag{5.2}$$

*is exact.*

The circle subgroup $S^1$ in the above exact sequence is the set of diffeomorphisms which rotate all the Hopf fibers within themselves by the same angle. The projection map $P\colon \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ starts with a diffeomorphism $F$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ and then records the resulting permutation of the Hopf fibers. We can write

$$P(F)(y) = p \circ F \circ p^{-1}(y) \tag{5.3}$$

where $p\colon S^3 \to S^2$ is the Hopf map.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is broken down into two lemmas, corresponding to the two main challenges: proving that the kernel of $P$ is no larger than the subgroup $S^1$, and proving that the map $P$ is onto $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$. The map from $S^1$ into $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ is just the inclusion, so exactness there is automatic.

**Lemma 5.4.** *The sequence from Equation 5.2 is exact at* $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$.

***Proof.*** The map $P$ takes the subgroup $S^1$ of $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ to the identity of $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$, because the elements of this subgroup just rotate the fibers within themselves, and so induce the identity map of $S^2$ to itself. Thus, to confirm exactness at $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$, the challenge is to show that the kernel of $P$ is no larger than this subgroup.

We start with an element $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ which takes each Hopf fiber rigidly to itself, and show that it rotates each fiber within itself by the same amount.

We consider two Hopf fibers $p^{-1}(y_1)$ and $p^{-1}(y_2)$, and connect the points $y_1$ and $y_2$ of $S^2$ by a geodesic arc $\gamma$ there. We can assume these points $y_1$ and $y_2$ are not antipodal, since we only need to show that the amount each Hopf fiber is rotated by $F$ is locally constant. With this choice, the geodesic arc $\gamma$ connecting $y_1$ and $y_2$ is unique, and we have a well-defined nearest neighbor map between $p^{-1}(y_1)$ and $p^{-1}(y_2)$.

Then we choose two points $x_1$ and $x_1'$ on the fiber $p^{-1}(y_1)$, and consider the two horizontal lifts $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{\gamma}'$ of $\gamma$ which begin at $x_1$ and $x_1'$. These horizontal lifts are geodesics in $S^3$, and they end on the fiber $p^{-1}(y_2)$ at the points $x_2$ and $x_2'$ which are the nearest neighbors there to the points $x_1$ and $x_1'$, respectively, on $p^{-1}(y_1)$.

Since the nearest neighbor map from $p^{-1}(y_1)$ to $p^{-1}(y_2)$ is an isometry between Hopf fibers, the angle $\theta$ between $x_1$ and $x_1'$ on the first fiber is the same as the angle $\theta$ between $x_2$ and $x_2'$ on the second fiber.




FIGURE 4. Exactness at $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$

Now given $x_1 \in p^{-1}(y_1)$, we choose $x_1'$ to be $F(x_1)$. Since $F$ is a contactomorphism, it permutes the contact tangent 2-planes $\xi = \ker(\alpha)$ among themselves, and so in particular takes horizontal curves to horizontal curves in $S^3$.

It follows that $F(\overline{\gamma}) = \overline{\gamma}'$, and in particular $F(x_2) = x_2'$. This means that the angle $\theta$ between the points $x_1$ and $x_1' = F(x_1)$ on the Hopf fiber $p^{-1}(y_1)$ is the same as the angle $\theta$ between the points $x_2$ and $x_2' = F(x_2)$ on the Hopf fiber $p^{-1}(y_2)$. Thus, $F$ rotates all fibers by the same amount, which means that $F \in S^1$, which is what we wanted to prove. This confirms exactness of our sequence of Fréchet Lie groups at $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$. □

We turn now to exactness at $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$, following the approach introduced by Ratiu and Schmid in [RS81]. Given the Hopf projection $p\colon S^3 \to S^2$ and a path $\gamma$ in $S^2$, we denote by

$$H_\gamma : p^{-1}(\gamma(0)) \to p^{-1}(\gamma(1)) \tag{5.5}$$

the *horizontal transport* along $\gamma$, in which each point of the first fiber moves along the horizontal lift of $\gamma$ to a point on the second fiber, as introduced in Section 2.2. This rigid motion between great circle fibers is the continuous analog of our nearest neighbor maps. Recall from Section 2.2 that if the path $\gamma$ in $S^2$ is a geodesic arc,

then the map in Equation 5.5 is precisely the nearest neighbor map between these two Hopf fibers.

The following lemma characterizes the rigid automorphisms of the Hopf fibration which commute with horizontal transport.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(H)$ *induce* $f \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ *through* $f(y) = p \circ F \circ p^{-1}(y)$. *Then* $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ *if and only if*

$$F \circ H_\gamma = H_{f\gamma} \circ F \tag{5.7}$$

*for all smooth curves* $\gamma$ *in* $S^2$.




FIGURE 5. Horizontal transport

***Proof.*** If $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$, then $F$ takes horizontal curves in $S^3$ to horizontal curves. In particular, in Figure 5, $F$ takes the horizontal curve labeled $H_\gamma$, which runs from $x_0$ to $x_1$, to the horizontal curve labeled $H_{f\gamma}$, which runs from $F(x_0)$ to $F(x_1)$. Thus, $F \circ H_\gamma = H_{f\gamma} \circ F$.

Conversely, suppose that $F \circ H_\gamma = H_{f\gamma} \circ F$ for all smooth curves $\gamma$ in $S^2$. Then given any point $x \in S^3$, choose two horizontal curves through $x$ whose tangent vectors at $x$ span the tangent 2-plane $\xi_x$. Since $F$ takes horizontal curves in $S^3$ to horizontal curves, its differential $dF(x)$ must take $\xi_x$ to $\xi_{F(x)}$, which means $F \in \mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$. Since we started out with $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(H)$, we have $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(H) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi) = \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$. □

**Lemma 5.8.** *The sequence of Fréchet Lie groups*

$$\{1\} \to S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \xrightarrow{P} \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \to \{1\}$$

*from Equation 5.2 is exact at* $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$*. That is, the map* $P\colon \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ *is onto.*

***Proof.*** We start out with a diffeomorphism $f \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$, which we want to lift to an automorphism $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(S^3)$.




FIGURE 6. Path lifting

We fix a point $y_0 \in S^2$ to serve as our base point throughout the proof and then begin the definition of the diffeomorphism $F$ of $S^3$ by requiring that it take the Hopf fiber $p^{-1}(y_0)$ rigidly to the Hopf fiber $p^{-1}(f(y_0))$ in an orientation-preserving but otherwise arbitrary way. We let

$$F_0\colon p^{-1}(y_0) \to p^{-1}(f(y_0)) \tag{5.9}$$

be this map, which is determined up to a rigid rotation.

Next, consider an arbitrary point $x \in S^3$ and its projection $y = p(x)$ in $S^2$. We connect $y_0$ and $y$ with an arbitrary smooth path $\gamma$ in $S^2$, so that $\gamma(0) = y_0$ and $\gamma(1) = y$, and let $\overline{\gamma}$ denote its unique horizontal lift to a path in $S^3$ which *ends* at $x$, meaning $\overline{\gamma}(1) = x$, as in Figure 6.

Let $x_0 = \overline{\gamma}(0)$ be the beginning point of this lifted path, so that $x_0$ lies somewhere on the Hopf fiber $p^{-1}(y_0)$. In the notation of horizontal transport, we can write $x_0 = H_\gamma^{-1}(x)$. The diffeomorphism $F_0$ has already been defined on this "base" Hopf fiber, so we know the point $F_0(x_0)$.

Now consider the smooth path $f(\gamma)$ in $S^2$, which runs from $f(y_0)$ to $f(y)$. The unique horizontal lift of this path which begins at $F_0(x_0)$ is shown in the figure. Horizontal transport in $S^3$ along this horizontal lift takes the point $F_0(x_0)$ to the point that we will define to be $F(x)$, that is,

$$F(x) = H_{f\gamma} \circ F_0 \circ H_\gamma^{-1}(x) \tag{5.10}$$

We will show that the definition of $F$ does not depend on the choice of the path $\gamma$ from $y_0$ to $y$ in $S^2$, and this will follow from the fact that the diffeomorphism $f$ of $S^2$ is area-preserving. To that end, let $\gamma'$ be another smooth path in $S^2$ from $y_0$ to $y$, shown in Figure 6.

We must show that

$$H_{f\gamma'} \circ F_0 \circ H_{\gamma'}^{-1} = H_{f\gamma} \circ F_0 \circ H_\gamma^{-1} \tag{5.11}$$

Consider the loop $\sigma = \gamma(\gamma')^{-1}$ in $S^2$ based at $y_0$ that runs through $\gamma$ and then $\gamma'$ backwards. The image under $f$ of this loop is the loop $f\sigma = (f\gamma)(f\gamma')^{-1}$ based at $f(y_0)$. Then a little transposing of terms in Equation 5.11 gives us

$$F_0 \circ H_\sigma = H_{f\sigma} \circ F_0 \tag{5.12}$$

Since $f$ is area-preserving, the areas enclosed by the loops $\sigma$ and $f\sigma$ are the same. Hence, by the results of Section 2.3, the holonomy experienced by the horizontal lifts of these loops are equal, and preserved by the rigid motion $F_0$ between the fibers. This confirms Equation 5.12, and hence that $F$ does not depend on the choice of the path $\gamma$ in $S^2$ running from $y_0$ to $y$. A different choice of basepoint $y_0^*$ in $S^2$ in this construction would result in a new map $F^*$ which differs from $F$ by a uniform rotation on all Hopf fibers.

We note that by construction $F$ covers $f$, i.e., $P \circ F = f \circ P$. Equation 5.10, which defines $F$, together with Lemma 5.6 show that $F$ is in $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$. Since $F$ takes Hopf fibers rigidly to Hopf fibers and covers the diffeomorphism $f$, its differential $dF(x)$ at each point $x \in S^3$ cannot have a nontrivial kernel. Hence $F$ is a submersion from $S^3$ to itself, thus a covering map, and since $S^3$ is simply connected, $F$ is a diffeomorphism. We leave the proof of smoothness of $F$ for Appendix B. □

This concludes the proof of exactness of the sequence of Fréchet Lie groups stated in Theorem 5.1.

## 6. The fiber bundle structure

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.1.** *The sequence*

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \xrightarrow{P} \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \tag{6.2}$$

*is a fiber bundle in the Fréchet category.*

***Proof.*** This amounts to constructing slices over small open sets in $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$, and then using the action of the subgroup $S^1$ to promote these slices to the product neighborhood needed to confirm the bundle structure.

First, we note that $F$, which was defined by the formula

$$F(x) = H_{f\gamma} \circ F_0 \circ H_\gamma^{-1}(x) \tag{6.3}$$

depends smoothly on $f \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$. This follows from the fact that the composition map

$$\begin{aligned} \circ \colon \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \times \mathrm{Path}(S^2) &\to \mathrm{Path}(S^2) \\ (f, \gamma) &\mapsto f \circ \gamma \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

is smooth in the Fréchet category, together with the fact that $F$ is smooth as a function of $x \in S^3$, $\gamma \in \mathrm{Path}(S^2)$ and $f \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ (see Proposition B.14 and Proposition B.22).

Second, we restrict attention to a small neighborhood of the identity $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$, for example the set

$$U = \left\{ f \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \,:\, d(y, f(y)) < \pi/4,\ \forall y \in S^2 \right\}, \tag{6.5}$$

where we regard $S^2$ as the sphere of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ so that the Hopf projection $p \colon S^3 \to S^2$ is a Riemannian submersion. Restricting $f$ to this open set $U$ will let us uniquely define the nearest neighbor map from $p^{-1}(y_0)$ to $p^{-1}(f(y_0))$ to serve as the map $F_0$.

To construct our slice, define $\varphi \colon U \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ by

$$\varphi(f) = F, \text{ where } F \text{ is the map } F(x) = H_{f\gamma} \circ F_0 \circ H_\gamma^{-1}. \tag{6.6}$$

Note that the nearest neighbor map $F_0 \colon p^{-1}(y_0) \to p^{-1}(f(y_0))$ between Hopf fibers depends smoothly on $f$ [Eel66], and $\gamma$ is chosen as the (unique) shortest geodesic connecting $y_0$ and $f(y_0)$, which is possible since $f \in U$.

Hence $\varphi \colon U \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ is a smooth map of Fréchet manifolds, with

$$P \circ \varphi = \mathrm{id}_U \colon U \to U. \tag{6.7}$$

This is the slice over $U$ for the proposed bundle (6.2). We now promote this slice to a product neighborhood in $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ over $U$ by using the action of the circle group $S^1$ as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\colon S^1 \times U &\to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \\ (\theta, f) &\mapsto e^{i\theta}\varphi(f) = e^{i\theta}F \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

where the right hand side takes the element $\varphi(f)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ and either follows or precedes it (same result) by uniformly rotating all Hopf fibers through the angle $\theta$. Since multiplication in the Fréchet Lie group $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ is smooth, it follows that (6.8) is a smooth map of Fréchet manifolds. To check that it gives the local product structure required to confirm that (6.2) is a Fréchet fiber bundle, we write down its inverse $\Phi^{-1}$ explicitly and check that it is also smooth.




FIGURE 7. Local product structure

To define $\Phi^{-1}\colon P^{-1}(U) \to S^1 \times U$, let $G$ be any diffeomorphism of $S^3$ lying in the tube $P^{-1}(U) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ and let $f = P(G) \in U$. Then define $F = \varphi(f)$, and since $P(F) = f$, the diffeomorphisms $G$ and $F$ lie in the same circular fiber $P^{-1}(f)$, separated by some angle $\theta$. We identify this angle by $\theta = GF^{-1}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}\colon P^{-1}(U) &\to S^1 \times U \\ G &\mapsto (G \circ F^{-1},\ P(G)) \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

where $F = \varphi\big(P(G)\big)$. Since $f$ depends smoothly on $G$ and $F$ depends smoothly on $f$, and since inversion and multiplication in the Fréchet Lie group $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ are smooth

maps, we see that $GF^{-1} = \theta$ also depends smoothly on $G$. The equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \circ \Phi^{-1}(G) &= \Phi(G \circ F^{-1},\ f) = G \circ F^{-1} \circ F = G \\ \Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi(\theta,\ f) &= \Phi^{-1}(e^{i\theta}F) = (\theta,\ f) \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

confirm that $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ are indeed inverses of each other, and this proves that $\Phi$ is a diffeomorphism, so that we have a bundle structure over the open neighborhood $U$ of the identity in $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$.

Finally, the fact that the map $P\colon \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ is a smooth homomorphism of Fréchet Lie groups provides the homogeneity needed to transfer the above argument to small open sets throughout $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$. This completes our proof that $S^1 \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \xrightarrow{P} \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ is a fiber bundle in the world of Fréchet manifolds and smooth maps between them. □

We now finish the proof of our main theorem by showing that the bundle

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \tag{6.11}$$

deformation retracts to its finite dimensional subbundle

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow U(2) \cup cU(2) \to O(3) \tag{6.12}$$

We will prove this by starting with Wang's deformation retraction [Wan01] of the bigger base space $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ to the smaller base space $O(3)$ and lifting it to the desired deformation retraction of the bigger total space $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ to the smaller one, $U(2) \cup cU(2)$.

To facilitate this lifting, we equip $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ with the Riemannian $L^2$ metric, which is given at the identity by

$$\langle X,\ Y\rangle_{L^2} = \int_{S^3} \langle X, Y\rangle \, dV \tag{6.13}$$

for $X, Y \in T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$, and at other points $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ by right translation,

$$\langle X \circ F,\ Y \circ F\rangle_{L^2} = \int_{S^3} \langle X, Y\rangle \, dV \tag{6.14}$$

This is a smooth, *weak* Riemannian metric on $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$, since the topology induced on $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ by the $L^2$ norm has fewer open sets than the $C^\infty$ topology there.

Lifting paths in $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ to horizontal paths in $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ will play a key role in the proof of our main theorem, so we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.15** (Lifting Lemma)**.** *Let* $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ *be a smooth path in* $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$*, and let* $F_0$ *be an element of* $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ *such that* $P(F_0) = \gamma(0)$*. Then there exists a unique horizontal path* $\overline{\gamma}\colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ *such that* $\overline{\gamma}(0) = F_0$ *and* $P(\overline{\gamma}) = \gamma$*. The path* $\overline{\gamma}$ *depends smoothly on* $t$*, on* $\gamma$ *and on* $F_0$*, and we will sometimes denote it by* $\overline{\gamma}(F_0, \gamma, t)$*.*

***Proof.*** We start with the *quantomorphism bundle*

$$Q\colon S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \xrightarrow{P} \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2) \tag{6.16}$$

and then use the map $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ to construct the pullback bundle

$$\gamma^*Q\colon S^1 \hookrightarrow E \to [0,1] \tag{6.17}$$

over the interval $[0,1]$. The points of the total space $E$ are, as usual, the pairs $(t, y)$, where $t \in [0,1]$ and $y \in P^{-1}(\gamma(t))$. Of course, this total space $E$ is trivial, that is, an annulus diffeomorphic to the product $[0,1] \times S^1$. The bundle map $G\colon \gamma^*Q \to Q$ is defined by $G(t, y) = y$.




FIGURE 8. The path $\gamma$ in $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ lifts to the horizontal path $\overline{\gamma}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$

The Riemannian $L^2$ metric on $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ (Equation 6.14) pulls back to a smooth Riemannian metric on $E$. The horizontal tangent hyperplane distribution on $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ is by definition the $L^2$ orthogonal complement to the one-dimensional vertical fiber direction there. It pulls back to a smooth tangent line field on the annulus $E$ which

is transverse to the vertical fiber direction there. Though it may not look horizontal to Euclidean eyes, we will say that this line field is "horizontal" on $E$.

Since $E$ is finite-dimensional, by the usual existence and uniqueness theorems for ordinary differential equations we get a horizontal path $t \mapsto g(t)$ on $E$ which begins at the point $(0, F_0)$. In particular, it is a cross-section of the pullback bundle $\gamma^*Q$. Furthermore, since $g$ is the solution of an ordinary differential equation which has $F_0$ and $\gamma$ as parameters, $g$ depends smoothly on $F_0$ and $\gamma$.

Pushing this horizontal path $g$ in $E$ forward by the bundle map $G\colon \gamma^*Q \to Q$, we get the desired lift $\overline{\gamma}(t) = G(g(t))$ of $\gamma$ to a horizontal path in $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ which begins at the given point $F_0$ in the fiber $P^{-1}(\gamma(0))$. Since $g$ depends smoothly on $t$, $F_0$ and $\gamma$, so does $\overline{\gamma}$, as we wanted. □

With this lemma, we have all the ingredients we need to complete the proof of our main theorem.

**Theorem 6.18.** *The group of strict contactomorphisms* $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ *deformation retracts to its finite dimensional subgroup* $U(2) \cup cU(2)$.




FIGURE 9. Deformation retraction of $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ onto $U(2) \cup cU(2)$

***Proof.*** Let $\Phi\colon \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)\times[0,1] \to \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ be the deformation retraction of $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ to the orthogonal group $O(3)$ given by Mu-Tao Wang's theorem. We lift $\Phi$ to a deformation retraction

$$\widehat{\Phi}\colon \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)\times[0,1] \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) \tag{6.19}$$

of $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ to its subgroup $U(2)\cup cU(2)$ by defining

$$\widehat{\Phi}(F_0,\, t) = \overline{\gamma}\big(F_0,\, f,\, t\big) \tag{6.20}$$

where $f_0 = P(F_0) \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ is the starting point of the path $f = \Phi(f_0, -)$ in $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ and the function $\overline{\gamma}$ was defined in the Lifting Lemma 6.15 above. The deformation retraction $\widehat{\Phi}$ of $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ moves along horizontal curves which cover the corresponding curves of the deformation retraction $\Phi$ of $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$.

At the end of the deformation retraction, $\Phi$ has compressed $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)\times\{1\}$ to the orthogonal group $O(3)$, and $\widehat{\Phi}$ has compressed $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)\times\{1\}$ to two copies $U(2)\cup cU(2)$ of the unitary group.

A point $f_0$ in $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ which starts out in the subgroup $O(3)$ does not move during this process, and likewise a point $F_0$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ which starts out in the subgroup $U(2)\cup cU(2)$ does not move. □

## Appendix A. Computation of the Lie algebras

In this appendix, we give an explicit description of the Lie algebras, or equivalently, the tangent spaces at the identity, of the various Fréchet Lie groups we consider.

**Proposition A.1.** *The tangent spaces at the identity to our various subgroups of* $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ *are as follows.*

(a) *The tangent space* $T_{\mathrm{id}}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ *consists of vector fields* $X = fA + gB + hC$ *such that*

$$f = \textit{any smooth function on } S^3, \quad g = -\tfrac{1}{2}Ah, \quad \textit{and} \quad h = \tfrac{1}{2}Ag.$$

(b) *The tangent space* $T_{\mathrm{id}}\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ *consists of vector fields* $X = fA + gB + hC$ *such that*

$$f = \textit{any smooth function on } S^3, \quad g = \tfrac{1}{2}Cf, \quad \textit{and} \quad h = -\tfrac{1}{2}Bf.$$

(c) *The tangent space* $T_{\mathrm{id}}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ *consists of vector fields* $X = fA + gB + hC$ *such that*

$$Af = 0, \textit{ and hence } f \textit{ is constant along fibers of } \mathcal{H}, \quad g = \tfrac{1}{2}Cf, \quad \textit{and} \quad h = -\tfrac{1}{2}Bf,$$

*and these vector fields are divergence-free.*

**Remark A.2.** In view of Proposition 3.1, it is natural to ask whether the Lie algebra $T_{id}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(\xi))$ agrees with $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \cap T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$. The left side is certainly contained in the right side, and we leave it to the reader to establish the reverse inclusion by manipulating the conditions in parts (a) and (b) of Proposition A.1.

We start with an intermediary proposition that gives conditions on the vector fields which are in the tangent spaces of interest.

**Proposition A.3.** *The tangent spaces at the identity to our various subgroups of* $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$ *admit the following descriptions.*

(a) $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) = \{X \in \mathrm{VF}(S^3) \mid L_X A = \lambda A$ *for smooth* $\lambda\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}\}$,

(b) $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\xi) = \{X \in \mathrm{VF}(S^3) \mid L_X \alpha = \lambda\alpha$ *for smooth* $\lambda\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}\}$,

(c) $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi) = \{X \in \mathrm{VF}(S^3) \mid L_X \alpha = 0\}$.

We will see from the proof of Proposition A.1 that the functions $\lambda\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ appearing in parts (a) and (b) of this proposition have the property that they integrate to zero over each Hopf fiber. Furthermore, for any such function $\lambda$, there exists a vector field $X$ on $S^3$ for which $L_X A = \lambda A$, and similarly there exists a vector field $X$ on $S^3$ for which $L_X \alpha = \lambda\alpha$.

We prove part (a) here. Parts (b) and (c) can be found in [Gei08, Lemma 1.5.8]. Before we delve into the proof, we make some remarks about the definition of Lie derivatives. Let $V$ and $W$ be smooth vector fields on the smooth manifold $M$, let $x \in M$ and let $\{f_t\}$ be the local one-parameter group generated by $V$, meaning that

$$f_0 = \mathrm{id} \text{ and for each } x \in M \text{ we have } \left.\frac{df_t(x)}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = V(x). \tag{A.4}$$

Then, the *Lie derivative* is traditionally defined as

$$(L_V W)(x) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{(f_t^{-1})_* W(f_t(x)) - W(x)}{t} = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} (f_t^{-1})_* W(f_t(x)).$$

In this definition, the one-parameter group $\{f_t\}$ of diffeomorphisms provides the service of pulling the tangent vector $W(f_t(x))$ in the tangent space to $M$ at $f_t(x)$ back to a vector in the tangent space to $M$ at $x$, so that one can subtract from it the tangent vector $W(x)$ living there. But it is easy to check that any smooth curve $f_t \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ satisfying Equation A.4 can be used to define the Lie derivative $L_V W$ as above, and that requiring $\{f_t\}$ to be a one-parameter subgroup is just a convention, but not essential. Of course, when $\{f_t\}$ is not a one-parameter group, the pullback of $W(f_t(x))$ would be defined to be $(f_t^{-1})_* W(f_t(x))$.

***Proof of Proposition A.3(a).*** Let $X$ be a smooth vector field which lies in $T_{\mathrm{id}}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$. By definition, this means that there is a smooth curve $f_t$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ with $f_0 = \mathrm{id}$ and such that $X(x) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} f_t(x)$ for all $x \in S^3$. Then, as discussed above, the Lie derivative $L_X A$ is defined as

$$(L_X A)(x) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{(f_t^{-1})_* A(f_t(x)) - A(x)}{t}.$$

But note that here $\{f_t\}$ is a path in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ with $f_0 = \mathrm{id}$, so we can write

$$(f_t)_* A(x) = \lambda(x,t) A(f_t(x)),$$

since each $f_t$ takes Hopf fibers to Hopf fibers. Therefore in the definition of $L_X A(x)$, for any given $t$, both terms in the numerator are multiples of $A(x)$, so we can factor $A(x)$ out of the limit, and we get that $L_X A = \lambda A$ for a smooth $\lambda\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}$.

Conversely, suppose $X$ is a smooth vector field on $S^3$ with $L_X A = \lambda A$ for some smooth function $\lambda\colon S^3 \to \mathbb{R}$. Let $\{f_t\}$ be the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $S^3$ generated by the vector field $X$, i.e., $f_0 = \mathrm{id}$ and for each $x \in S^3$ we have $\left.\frac{d f_t(x)}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = X(x)$. Using the group property of this flow, which says that $f_{s+t}(x) = f_s(f_t(x))$, we compute

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_t f_t(x) = \left.\frac{d}{ds}\right|_{s=0} f_{s+t}(x) = \left.\frac{d}{ds}\right|_{s=0} f_s(f_t(x)) = X(f_t(x)).$$

Thus $\frac{d f_t(x)}{dt} = X(f_t(x))$ holds for all $t$ not just $t = 0$.

We need to show that the one-parameter group $\{f_t\}$ lies entirely in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$. Let us use local coordinates $(x, y, \theta)$ in a tubular neighborhood of a Hopf fiber, with $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\theta \in S^1$ and with $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$ as the unit vector field along the Hopf fibers.

We write the vector field $X$ in local coordinates as

$$X = u(x,y,\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v(x,y,\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w(x,y,\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}.$$

Then we can compute the Lie derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
L_X A &= [X, A] = -[A, X] = -\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, X\right] \\
&= -\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}, u\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\right] \\
&= -\frac{\partial u}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \\
&= \lambda A = \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this we see that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} = 0$, so the functions $u$ and $v$ only depend on $x$ and $y$ and not on $\theta$. We incorporate this by writing

$$X = u(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w(x,y,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

We also note from above that $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} = -\lambda$, which integrates to zero around Hopf circles, and hence

$$w(x,y,\theta) = w(x,y,\theta + 2\pi).$$

Thus locally the flow $\{f_t\}$ covers a flow on the $xy$-plane and takes vertical circles to vertical circles, which tells us that each diffeomorphism $f_t$ takes Hopf circles to Hopf circles, and hence $X \in T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$, as desired. □

Now we turn to the proof of Proposition A.1, and prove each of its parts separately.

***Proof of Proposition A.1(a).*** Let $X = fA + gB + hC$ be a smooth vector field on $S^3$, written in terms of the orthonormal basis of left-invariant vector fields $A, B$ and $C$ on $S^3$, following the conventions introduced in Section 2. By Proposition A.3(a), $X$ lies in $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $L_X A = \lambda A$ for some smooth real-valued function $\lambda$ on $S^3$. We compute $L_X A$ to see what constraints this conditions imposes on the coefficients $f, g$ and $h$.

Notationally, we switch from Lie derivatives to Lie brackets and compute

$$\begin{aligned} L_X A &= [X, A] = [fA + gB + hC, A] = [fA, A] + [gB, A] + [hC, A] \\ &= -[A, fA] - [A, gB] - [A, hC] \\ &= -(Af)A - f[A, A] - (Ag)B - g[A, B] - (Ah)C - h[A, C] \\ &= -(Af)A - (Ag)B - g(2C) - (Ah)C - h(-2B) \\ &= -(Af)A + (2h - Ag)B - (2g + Ah)C, \end{aligned}$$

using the bracket relations from Equation 2.2.

Therefore, $X \in T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $-Af = \lambda$ for some smooth real-valued function $\lambda$, and $2h - Ag = 0$ and $2g + Ah = 0$. This completes the proof of Proposition A.1(a).

□

Note in this proof that since $\lambda = -Af$ is the negative of the directional derivative of the coefficient $f$ around a Hopf circle, we see why $\lambda$ must integrate to zero around the Hopf fibers.

***Proof of Proposition A.1(b).*** Again, let $X = fA + gB + hC$ be a smooth vector field on $S^3$. By Proposition A.3(b), $X$ lies in $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ if and only if $L_X\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ for some smooth $\lambda$.

Suppose $X$ lies in $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ so that $L_X\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ for some $\lambda$. Rewrite $\alpha(A) = 1$ as $\langle\alpha, A\rangle = 1$ and then differentiate to get

$$0 = L_X\langle\alpha, A\rangle = \langle L_X\alpha, A\rangle + \langle\alpha, L_X A\rangle = \langle\lambda\alpha, A\rangle + \langle\alpha, L_X A\rangle.$$

Thus

$$\langle\alpha, L_X A\rangle = -\langle\lambda\alpha, A\rangle = -\lambda\langle\alpha, A\rangle = -\lambda.$$

Using the computation for $L_X A$ from part (a), we get

$$\langle\alpha, L_X A\rangle = -Af,$$

thus $Af = \lambda$.

Analogously to the computation of $L_X A$ in part (a), we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} L_X B &= -(Bf + 2h)A - (Bg)B + (2f - Bh)C \\ L_X C &= (-Cf + 2g)A - (2f + Cg)B - (Ch)C. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as before with rewriting the equations $\alpha(B) = 0$ and $\alpha(C) = 0$ as $\langle\alpha, B\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\alpha, C\rangle = 0$, and differentiating, we get

$$\langle\alpha, L_X B\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\alpha, L_X C\rangle = 0. \tag{A.5}$$

Combining with the computations of $L_X B$ and $L_X C$ above, we get that

$$h = -\tfrac{1}{2}Bf \quad \text{and} \quad g = \tfrac{1}{2}Cf,$$

as desired.

Conversely, assuming the coefficients of $X$ satisfy the conditions in Proposition A.1(b), using the computations of $L_X A$, $L_X B$ and $L_X C$, and working backwards from the computations of the differentiation of the brackets we get

$$\langle L_X\alpha, A\rangle = Af, \quad \langle L_X\alpha, B\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle L_X\alpha, C\rangle = 0,$$

so $L_X\alpha = (Af)\alpha = \lambda\alpha$.

□

Note again that $\lambda$ is the directional derivative of the coefficient $f$ around Hopf circles, so we reaffirm the observation made after part (a) that $\lambda$ must integrate to zero around Hopf fibers.

***Proof of Proposition A.1(c).*** Let $X = fA + gB + hC$ be a smooth vector field on $S^3$. By Proposition A.3(c), $X$ lies in $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ if and only if $L_X\alpha = 0$.

Suppose $X$ lies in $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ so that $L_X\alpha = 0$. Just as in Proposition A.1(b), rewriting $\alpha(A) = 1$ as $\langle \alpha, A\rangle = 1$ and then differentiating, we get

$$0 = L_X\langle \alpha, A\rangle = \langle L_X\alpha, A\rangle + \langle \alpha, L_X A\rangle = \langle \alpha, L_X A\rangle.$$

But again, by the computation for $L_X A$ from part (a), we have $\langle \alpha, L_X A\rangle = -Af$, thus $Af = 0$. Just as in part (b), combining the computations for $L_X B$ and $L_X C$ from part (b) with Equation A.5, we get

$$h = -\tfrac{1}{2}Bf \quad \text{and} \quad g = \tfrac{1}{2}Cf,$$

as desired.

Conversely, if we assume that the conditions in Proposition A.1(c) hold, as we saw in the proof of (b), we get that $L_X\alpha = (Af)\alpha$. Thus if $Af = 0$, we immediately get $L_X\alpha = 0$, so by Proposition A.3(c), $X$ lies in $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$.

Lastly, we check that any $X \in T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ is divergence free. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}X &= Af + Bg + Ch = 0 + B(\tfrac{1}{2}Cf) + C(-\tfrac{1}{2}Bf) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}(BC - CB)f = \tfrac{1}{2}[B, C]f = \tfrac{1}{2}(2A)f = Af = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark A.6.** The conditions on the coefficients of $X = fA + gB + hC$ in Proposition A.1 may seem mysterious at first glance, and it is a rewarding exercise to try to decode their geometric meaning. We give some hints. In part (a), you can take the conditions on the coefficients $g$ and $h$ and differentiate again in the $A$-direction to show that as the flow of $A$ moves a Hopf fiber off itself, it assumes a coiling shape so as to approximate a nearby Hopf fiber. In part (b), another approach to describing $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\xi)$ is to observe that a vector field $X$ is in this space if and only if $L_X B$ and $L_X C$ both lie in the 2-plane spanned by $B$ and $C$, and then compute with Lie brackets.

Having given in Proposition A.1 a description of the tangent space at the identity to our various subgroups of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3)$, we end Appendix A now with a similar description of the tangent spaces $T_{id}\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$ and $T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$.

We can doubly appreciate our ability to write vector fields on $S^3$ in terms of left-invariant vector fields $A$, $B$, and $C$ when we turn to $S^2$ and seek a similar description there. But we can use the Hopf projection $p\colon S^3 \to S^2$ to uniquely lift smooth vector fields on $S^2$ to smooth horizontal fields on $S^3$, that is, vector fields which are orthogonal to the Hopf fibers and, by virtue of lifting from $S^2$, twist around each Hopf fiber so they lie in $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$. This allows us to think of $T_{id}\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$ and

$T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ as subspaces of $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$, and therefore rely on expressions in terms of $A, B$ and $C$ to describe the vector fields therein. With this identification in mind, we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition A.7.** *The tangent spaces* $T_{id}\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$ *and* $T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ *have the following descriptions.*

(a) *The tangent space* $T_{id}\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$ *consists of vector fields* $X = fA + gB + hC$ *such that*

$$f = 0, \qquad g = -\tfrac{1}{2}Ah, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad h = \tfrac{1}{2}Ag.$$

(b) *The tangent space* $T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ *consists of vector fields* $X = fA + gB + hC$ *such that*

$$f = 0, \qquad g = -\tfrac{1}{2}Ah, \qquad h = \tfrac{1}{2}Ag, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad Bg + Ch = 0.$$

***Proof.*** For part (a), note that we know from Proposition A.1(a) that the tangent space $T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ consists of vector fields $X = fA + gB + hC$ such that $f$ is any smooth function on $S^3$, $g = -\frac{1}{2}Ah$, and $h = \frac{1}{2}Ag$. If $X$ is horizontal, then $f = 0$. Thus the conditions in part (a) are certainly necessary for $X$ to be the horizontal lift of a vector field in $T_{id}\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$.

Conversely, suppose that a vector field $X$ on $S^3$ satisfies the conditions in part (a), and since $f = 0$, write $X = gB + hC$.

We claim that the horizontal vector field $X = gB + hC$ is the lift of a vector field $X'$ on $S^2$ if and only if $L_A X = 0$. To see this, note that the left-invariant vector field $A$ on $S^3$ is the infinitesimal generator of the one parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of diffeomorphisms of $S^3$, $\mathrm{rot}_\theta : x \mapsto xe^{i\theta}$ for $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, which uniformly rotate all Hopf fibers by the same amount. Then the horizontal vector field $X$ is the lift of a vector field on $S^2$ if and only if $(\mathrm{rot}_\theta)_* X(x) = X(xe^{i\theta})$, which is equivalent to $L_A X = 0$.

From our computation of $L_A X$ in the proof of Proposition A.1(a), and setting $f = 0$, we have

$$L_A X = (Ag - 2h)B + (Ah + 2g)C,$$

which is equal to 0 by our conditions in part (a). Thus $X$ is the lift of a vector field on $S^2$. So the stated conditions are both necessary and sufficient for $X$ to lie in $T_{id}\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$.

For part (b) of our current proposition, it is easy to check that $T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ consists of all divergence-free vector fields on $S^2$. We claim that a vector field $X' \in T_{id}\mathrm{Diff}(S^2)$ is divergence-free if and only if its horizontal lift to $X = gB + hC \in T_{id}\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ is divergence-free, which in turn is equivalent to the condition that $Bg + Ch = 0$. This

will show that the extra condition in part (b) is both necessary and sufficient for a vector field $X$ from part (a) to actually lie in the subspace $T_{id}\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$.

To prove the claim, let $\{\varphi'_t\}$ be the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $S^2$ generated by the vector field $X'$, and let $\{\varphi_t\}$ be their lifts to a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $S^3$ generated by the lifted vector field $X$.

If we assume that the lifted field $X$ is divergence-free, then the diffeomorphisms $\varphi_t$ are volume-preserving on $S^3$. Moreover, since $X$ is orthogonal to the Hopf fibers, the diffeomorphisms $\varphi_t$ take Hopf fibers rigidly to Hopf fibers. It then follows that the diffeomorphisms $\varphi'_t$ must be area-preserving on $S^2$ and their generating vector field $X'$ must be divergence-free on $S^2$.

Conversely, if we assume that the vector field $X'$ on $S^2$ is divergence-free, it follows that the diffeomorphisms $\varphi'_t$ are area-preserving there. Then, since the horizontally lifted vector field $X$ on $S^3$ is the infinitesimal generator of a one-parameter subgroup of diffeomorphisms $\varphi_t$ of $S^3$ which take Hopf fibers rigidly to one another, and which cover the area-preserving diffeomorphisms $\varphi'_t$ of $S^2$, the diffeomorphisms $\varphi_t$ must be volume-preserving on $S^3$ and hence the vector field $X$ must be divergence-free there.

This proves the claim, and completes the proof of Proposition A.7.

□

## Appendix B. Fréchet Spaces and Manifolds

For convenience, we give a brief introduction to Fréchet spaces and manifolds in this appendix. After that, we prove some technical results which are used in the proof of the main theorem. For more on this subject, we refer the reader to [Ham82] and [Omo74].

B.1. **Fréchet Spaces.** Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space. A *seminorm* on $\mathbb{V}$ is a function $\rho\colon \mathbb{V} \to [0,\infty)$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) $\rho(\lambda v) = |\lambda|\rho(v), \forall v \in \mathbb{V}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
(2) $\rho(v+w) \leq \rho(v) + \rho(w), \forall v, w \in \mathbb{V}$.

If $\rho(v) = 0$ implies $v = 0$, then $\rho$ is called a *norm*.

An arbitrary collection $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ of seminorms on $\mathbb{V}$ induces a unique topology $\mathcal{T}$ on $\mathbb{V}$ by declaring that a sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $\mathbb{V}$ converges to $v \in \mathbb{V}$ if and only if $\rho_\alpha(v_n - v) \to 0$ for all $\alpha$. From this, we declare that a subset $F \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is closed if it

contains its limit points. This topology makes $\mathbb{V}$ into a *topological vector space*, in the sense that the operations of addition and multiplication by scalars are continuous.

Fix a collection $\{\rho_\alpha\}$ of seminorms on $\mathbb{V}$ and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the topology generated by them. We say that two collections of seminorms are equivalent if they generate the same topology. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is *metrizable* if and only if it admits an equivalent *countable* family of seminorms, $\{\rho_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$. In this case, we can define an explicit metric by

$$d(u,v) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \frac{\rho_j(u-v)}{1+\rho_j(u-v)} \tag{B.1}$$

In this paper, we are interested in the metrizable case, so we work under this assumption from now on. The topology $\mathcal{T}$ is *Hausdorff* if and only if $\rho_j(v) = 0$ for all $j$ implies $v = 0$, and it is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence converges. A sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $\mathbb{V}$ is Cauchy if, for each fixed $j$, we have $\rho_j(v_n - v_m) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$.

A vector space $\mathbb{V}$ equipped with a countable family of seminorms $\{\rho_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a *Fréchet space* provided that the topology induced by $\{\rho_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, as described above, is Hausdorff and complete.

Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ be Fréchet spaces and $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ be an open set. We say that a continuous map $F\colon \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ is *differentiable* at $p \in \mathbb{V}$ in the direction $v \in \mathbb{V}$ provided that the limit

$$DF(p)v = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{F(p+tv) - F(p)}{t} \tag{B.2}$$

exists. If this limit exists for all $p \in \mathcal{U}$ and all $v \in \mathbb{V}$, we can form the map

$$\begin{aligned} dF\colon \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{V} &\to \mathbb{W} \\ (p, v) &\mapsto dF(p)v \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

If $dF$ is continuous, as a map from $\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{V}$ with the product topology into $\mathbb{W}$, then we say $F$ is $C^1$ or *continuously differentiable*. We avoid thinking of $F$ as a map into $L(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W})$, since this is usually not a Fréchet space in a natural way. This definition is weaker than the one usually given for maps between Banach spaces.

Proceeding inductively, we define the second derivative of $F$ as

$$d^2F(p)(v_1, v_2) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{dF(p+tv_1)(v_2) - dF(p)(v_2)}{t} \tag{B.4}$$

and say that $F$ is $C^2$ provided that the map

$$\begin{aligned} d^2F\colon \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} &\to \mathbb{W} \\ (p, v_1, v_2) &\mapsto d^2F(p)(v_1, v_2) \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

exists and is continuous, and likewise for $C^k$.

We say that $F$ is *smooth* provided it is $C^k$ for all $k$. This notion of smoothness agrees with the standard one in the case where $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ are finite dimensional.

A standard example of a Fréchet space is $C^\infty[a,b]$, the set of all smooth functions from $[a,b]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, equipped with the family of seminorms given by

$$\rho_j(f) = \sup_{x\in[a,b]} |D^j f(x)| \tag{B.6}$$

for $j \geq 0$, with the convention that $D^0 f = f$. One can readily check the Hausdorff and completeness conditions.

B.2. **Fréchet Manifolds.** A Fréchet manifold modeled on $\mathbb{V}$ is a Hausdorff topological space $\mathcal{M}$ with an atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi_i\}$ of homeomorphisms $\varphi_i \colon U_i \subseteq \mathcal{M} \to V_i \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ between open sets $U_i$ of $\mathcal{M}$ and $V_i$ of $\mathbb{V}$ such that the transition maps

$$\varphi_j^{-1} \circ \varphi_i \colon U_i \cap U_j \to U_i \cap U_j$$

are smooth maps between Fréchet spaces.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Fréchet manifold and $\mathcal{N}$ a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}$. We say that $\mathcal{N}$ is a *Fréchet submanifold* of $\mathcal{M}$ if for every point $p$ of $\mathcal{N}$, there exists a coordinate chart $\varphi \colon \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \to V \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with $p \in \mathcal{U}$ and a subspace $\mathbb{W}$ of $\mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\varphi\big(U \cap \mathcal{N}\big) = \big(\{0\} \times \mathbb{W}\big) \cap V \tag{B.7}$$

We say that $\varphi$ is a coordinate chart *adapted* to $\mathcal{N}$.

At any point $p \in \mathcal{M}$, the *tangent space* $T_p\mathcal{M}$ can be defined as follows. First, consider the set of all triples $(\mathcal{U}, \varphi, v)$, where $\varphi$ is a local chart at $p$ and $v \in \mathbb{V}$. We say that two triples $(\mathcal{U}_i, \varphi_i, v_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are equivalent if

$$d(\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1})v_1 = v_2$$

Then $T_p\mathcal{M}$ is the set of all such equivalence classes. Although this is a rather cumbersome description of the tangent space, in many situations a much more concrete one is available, as we shall see below. In what follows, we describe in detail a number of Fréchet manifolds that are used throughout the paper.

B.3. **Examples.** Let $M$ be a smooth, closed, finite-dimensional manifold. Then the group $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ of all diffeomorphisms from $M$ to itself, equipped with the $C^\infty$ topology, is a Fréchet manifold. Following [Eel66], we describe an atlas for $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$, modeled on Fréchet spaces of vector fields.

Let $C^\infty(TM)$ be the space of all smooth vector fields on $M$. Choose a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ and let $\nabla$ denote its Levi-Civita connection. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\|v\|_n = \sup_{x\in M} \big\|(\nabla^n v)(x)\big\| \tag{B.8}$$

where

$$\left\|(\nabla^n v)(x)\right\| = \sup_{\substack{\|e_i\|=1 \\ i=1,\dots,n}} \left\|\nabla_{e_1}\cdots\nabla_{e_n} v(x)\right\| \tag{B.9}$$

The vector space $C^\infty(TM)$ equipped with the collection of seminorms $\{\|\ \|_n\}$ is a Fréchet space (cf [Ham82]). More generally, given $f \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$, we let

$$C^\infty(f^*TM) = \{v \circ f \,:\, v \in C^\infty(TM)\} \tag{B.10}$$

The set $C^\infty(f^*TM)$ of vector fields along $f$ is again a Fréchet space, and the map $v \mapsto v \circ f$ is a linear isomorphism between $C^\infty(TM)$ and $C^\infty(f^*TM)$.

Let $\exp_p : T_pM \to M$ be the exponential map associated with the Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$. Given a diffeomorphism $f \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_f \subseteq C^\infty(f^*TM)$ containing the zero section, and an open neighborhood $V_f \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ containing $f$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Exp}_f : U_f \subseteq C^\infty(f^*TM) &\to V_f \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}(M) \\ v \circ f &\mapsto \exp\left(v \circ f\right) \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

is a homeomorphism ([Les67], [Omo74], [KM97]). We see from the definition that the transition maps are smooth. The collection of maps $\{\mathrm{Exp}_f : f \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)\}$ cover $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$, and the maximal atlas compatible with this collection defines the manifold structure on $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$. Furthermore, this manifold structure makes $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ a *Fréchet-Lie group*, in the sense that the natural operations of multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : \mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{Diff}(M) &\to \mathrm{Diff}(M) \\ (f,\, g) &\mapsto f \circ g \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

and inversion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{inv} : \mathrm{Diff}(M) &\to \mathrm{Diff}(M) \\ f &\mapsto f^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

are smooth. We remark that it is possible to model $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ as a Banach manifold, if we choose to work with the $C^k$ topology, or a Hilbert manifold, using $L^2$ Sobolev topologies. In this case, we could construct coordinate charts in the same way as (B.11). However, the resulting Banach or Hilbert manifold would *not* be a Lie group: both the composition and the inversion maps above would be continuous but not differentiable.

On the other hand, a disadvantage of working in the Fréchet category, as opposed to the Banach or Hilbert category, is that the classical Inverse Function Theorem is no longer true. Instead, it must be replaced by the celebrated *Nash-Moser Inverse Function Theorem*; see [Ham82] for a detailed account of this. We will not need this theorem here.

The propositions to follow, Proposition B.14 through Proposition B.22, are there to help us prove that the diffeomorphism $F \in \mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$ from Lemma 5.8 and Theorem 6.1 depends smoothly on the point $x \in S^3$, on the path $\gamma \in \mathrm{Path}(S^2)$ and on the diffeomorphism $f \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$, the ingredients which went into its construction.

**Proposition B.14.** *The space* $\mathrm{Path}(S^n)$ *of* $C^\infty$ *maps from the interval* $[0,1]$ *into* $S^n$ *is a Fréchet manifold.*

***Proof.*** Fix a curve $\gamma \in \mathrm{Path}(S^n)$. Then we can parametrize nearby curves in $\mathrm{Path}(S^n)$ by the Fréchet space

$$T_\gamma \mathrm{Path}(S^n) = \{V \colon [0,1] \to TS^n \ : \ \pi \circ V = \gamma\}$$

of vector fields on $S^n$ along $\gamma$, where $\pi : TS^n \to S^n$ is the projection from the tangent bundle of $S^n$ to $S^n$. The correspondence between these vector fields and curves near $\gamma$ is given by the Riemannian exponential map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Exp} \colon \mathcal{U} \subset T_\gamma \mathrm{Path}(S^n) &\to \mathrm{Path}(S^n) \\ V &\mapsto \mathrm{Exp}_\gamma(V) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ is the subset of vector fields along $\gamma$ with magnitude less than $\pi/2$.

The inverse of this map is given as follows. If $\beta \in \mathrm{Path}(S^n)$ is a curve close to $\gamma$, meaning that the spherical distance $d_{S^n}(\beta(t), \gamma(t)) < \pi/2$ for all $t$, then there exists a unique geodesic from $\gamma(t)$ to $\beta(t)$ with initial velocity $V(t)$. By construction,

$$\mathrm{Exp}_{\gamma(t)}(V(t)) = \beta(t), \ \forall t \in [0,1]$$

This proves that $\mathrm{Path}(S^n)$ is a Fréchet manifold (cf [Ham82, Example 4.2.3]).




FIGURE 10. $\beta = \mathrm{Exp}_\gamma(V)$

□

**Proposition B.15.** *The set*

$$\mathrm{Path}^* = \{(\gamma, x) \,:\, \gamma \in \mathrm{Path}(S^2) \text{ and } x \in S^3 \text{ with } \gamma(0) = p(x) \in S^2\,\}$$

*is a Fréchet manifold, and a smooth submanifold of* $\mathrm{Path}(S^2) \times S^3$.

***Proof.*** Fix a point $(\gamma, x) \in \mathrm{Path}^*$. We will show that points in $\mathrm{Path}^*$ near $(\gamma, x)$ can be parametrized by vectors in the Fréchet space

$$T_{(\gamma,x)}\mathrm{Path}^* = \big\{(V, w) \,:\, V \in T_\gamma \mathrm{Path}(S^2),\ w \in T_x S^3 \text{ and } V(0) = dp(x)w\big\}$$

Choose a local trivialization of the Hopf fibration

$$\Psi\colon U_0 \times S^1 \to p^{-1}(U_0)$$

containing $p(x) \in U_0$. Using this trivialization, for each $y \in p^{-1}(U_0)$ we write

$$T_y S^3 = T_{p(y)} S^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}. \tag{B.16}$$




FIGURE 11. $\mathrm{Path}^*$ is a Fréchet manifold

Now, given $(V, w) \in T_{(\gamma,x)}\mathrm{Path}^*$ with $V$ and $w$ sufficiently small, we first let

$$\beta(t) = \mathrm{Exp}_{\gamma(t)}\big(V(t)\big)$$

as before, so $\beta$ is a curve in $S^2$ near the original $\gamma$. Then write $w = (w_0, r)$ according to the decomposition Equation B.16, and set

$$y = \Psi\big(\mathrm{Exp}(w_0),\ e^{ir}\big)$$

where in the above equation Exp means the exponential map in $S^3$. The geodesic $s \mapsto \mathrm{Exp}(s\, w_0)$ is horizontal to the Hopf fibers, since it starts that way and $p$ is a Riemannian submersion. The map

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Exp}}(V, w) = (\beta, y) \tag{B.17}$$

is our coordinate chart for $\mathrm{Path}^*$. It is clear that any pair $(\beta, y) \in \mathrm{Path}^*$ sufficiently close to $(\gamma, x)$ can be obtained in this way as the image of some $(V, w)$ under $\widetilde{\mathrm{Exp}}$.

□

**Proposition B.18.** *The map* $\mathrm{Lift} : \mathrm{Path}^* \to \mathrm{Path}(S^3)$*, which takes a pair* $(\gamma, x)$ *to the unique horizontal lift* $\overline{\gamma}$ *of* $\gamma$ *starting at* $x$*, is smooth.*

***Proof.*** Let $\overline{\gamma}(t) = \mathrm{Lift}(\gamma, x)(t)$. By definition, $\overline{\gamma}$ is the unique solution of the system

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overline{\gamma}\,', A\rangle &= 0 \\ p \circ \overline{\gamma}(t) &= \gamma(t) \\ \overline{\gamma}(0) &= x \end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

which depends smoothly on the initial condition $x$ and the parameter $\gamma$. We will compute this dependence explicitly when lifting curves from $\mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$ to $\mathrm{Aut}_1(\xi)$.

□

Borrowing notation from the proof of Proposition B.18, we let $\mathrm{Eval}\colon \mathrm{Path}^* \to S^3$ be the map that sends $(\gamma, x)$ to the endpoint $\overline{\gamma}(1)$ of its lift. Then this is also a smooth map.

**Proposition B.20.** *The map* $\mathrm{Eval}\colon \mathrm{Path}^* \to S^3$ *is smooth.*

***Proof.*** Note that $\mathrm{Eval}(\gamma, x) = E_1 \circ \mathrm{Lift}(\gamma, x)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 \colon \mathrm{Path}(S^3) &\to S^3 \\ c &\mapsto c(1) \end{aligned}$$

The map $E_1$ is smooth: its first derivative at any $\alpha$ is

$$\begin{aligned} dE_1(\alpha)\colon T_\alpha \mathrm{Path}(S^3) &\to T_{\alpha(1)} S^3 \\ V &\mapsto V(1) \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 12. The path $\gamma$ in $S^2$ lifts to the horizontal path $\overline{\gamma}$ in $S^3$

which is a bounded map between Fréchet spaces. The same remark applies for higher derivatives. Since Eval is a composition of smooth maps, it is also smooth by the Chain rule. □

We now turn to our main goal in this appendix, which is to prove explicitly that the map $F\colon S^3 \to S^3$ defined in Equation 5.10 is smooth. Recall that to define this map, we first fix a point $y_0 \in S^2$ and a rigid motion

$$F_0\colon p^{-1}(y_0) \to p^{-1}(f(y_0))$$

between the Hopf fibers $p^{-1}(y_0)$ and $p^{-1}(f(y_0))$, where $f\colon S^2 \to S^2$ is a given area-preserving diffeomorphism. Then, $F$ is given by the composition

$$F(x) = H_{f\gamma} \circ F_0 \circ H_\gamma^{-1}(x) \tag{B.21}$$

where $\gamma$ is any path in $S^2$ between $y_0$ and $y = p(x)$.

**Proposition B.22.** *The map $F$ is smooth as a function of the point $x \in S^3$, of the path $\gamma \in \mathrm{Path}(S^2)$ and of the diffeomorphism $f \in \mathrm{SDiff}(S^2)$.*

***Proof.*** It suffices to check that each of the factors in Equation B.21 is smooth. To do that, we first focus on the points $x \in S^3$ with $y = p(x)$ close to the base point $y_0$.

Given such an $x$, choose $\gamma_y$ to be the unique shortest geodesic between $y$ and $y_0$. Then $\gamma_y$ depends smoothly on $y$ and

$$H^{-1}_{\gamma_y}(x) = \mathrm{Eval}(\gamma_y^{-1},\ x) \tag{B.23}$$

in turn depends smoothly on $x$, by Proposition B.18 and Proposition B.20. Similarly,

$$H_{fy} = \mathrm{Eval}(f \circ \gamma,\ F_0(x_0)) \tag{B.24}$$

and since $F_0$ is a fixed rigid motion, it follows that $F$ is smooth, at least on a neighborhood of the fiber $p^{-1}(y_0)$. To treat the case where $x$ is far away from this fiber, it suffices to note that we can choose a different base point $y_0$ whose fiber $p^{-1}(y_0)$ is close to $x$, since this new choice of base point will yield the same map $F$ up to a uniform rotation of all fibers. Thus, $F$ is everywhere smooth. □

## REFERENCES


[Ban78a] Augustin Banyaga, *The group of diffeomorphisms preserving a regular contact form*, Topology and algebra (Proc. Colloq., Eidgenöss. Tech. Hochsch., Zurich, 1977), Monograph. Enseign. Math., vol. 26, Univ. Genève, Geneva, 1978, pp. 47–53. MR 511781 (On p. 3)

[Ban78b] ———, *Sur la structure du groupe des difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique*, Comment. Math. Helv. **53** (1978), no. 2, 174–227. MR 490874 (On p. 3)

[Eel66] James Eells, Jr., *A setting for global analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 751–807. MR 203742 (On pp. 19 and 33)

[EM70] David G. Ebin and Jerrold Marsden, *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. of Math. (2) **92** (1970), 102–163. MR 271984 (On p. 3)

[EP15] David G. Ebin and Stephen C. Preston, *Riemannian geometry of the contactomorphism group*, Arnold Math. J. **1** (2015), no. 1, 5–36. MR 3331965 (On p. 3)

[Gei08] Hansjörg Geiges, *An introduction to contact topology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 109, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. MR 2397738 (On p. 25)

[Ham82] Richard S. Hamilton, *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **7** (1982), no. 1, 65–222. MR 656198 (On pp. 31, 34, and 35)

[Hat83] Allen E. Hatcher, *A proof of the Smale conjecture,* $\mathrm{Diff}(S^3) \simeq \mathrm{O}(4)$, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 3, 553–607. MR 701256 (On p. 2)

[KM97] Andreas Kriegl and Peter W. Michor, *The convenient setting of global analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. MR 1471480 (On p. 34)

[Kos70] Bertram Kostant, *Quantization and unitary representations. I. Prequantization*, Lectures in modern analysis and applications, III, 1970, pp. 87–208. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 170. MR 0294568 (On pp. 3 and 12)

[Les67] J. A. Leslie, *On a differential structure for the group of diffeomorphisms*, Topology **6** (1967), 263–271. MR 210147 (On p. 34)

[Omo74] Hideki Omori, *Infinite dimensional Lie transformation groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 427, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974. MR 0431262 (On pp. 3, 31, and 34)

[RS81] Tudor S. Raţiu and Rudolf Schmid, *The differentiable structure of three remarkable diffeomorphism groups*, Math. Z. **177** (1981), no. 1, 81–100. MR 611471 (On pp. 3, 4, 12, and 15)

[Sou70] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des systèmes dynamiques*, Dunod, Paris, 1970, Maîtrises de mathématiques. MR 0260238 (On p. 3)

[Wan01] Mu-Tao Wang, *Deforming area preserving diffeomorphism of surfaces by mean curvature flow*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 5-6, 651–661. MR 1879809 (On pp. 3 and 21)

Department of Mathematics, The University of Pennsylvania
*Email address*: deturck@math.upenn.edu

Department of Mathematics, The University of Pennsylvania
*Email address*: gluck@math.upenn.edu

Department of Mathematics, Wake Forest University
*Email address*: llichte2@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, The University of Pennsylvania
*Email address*: mmerling@math.upenn.edu

Department of Mathematics, The University of Pennsylvania
*Email address*: jingyey@math.upenn.edu

Department of Mathematics, The University of Pennsylvania
*Email address*: yiwang4@math.upenn.edu