

## Stat 531 / Math 547, Spring 2005, Homework Set II

Due Friday February 16, 2007

1. Durrett Exercise 2.11. (Convergence criterion)
2. Durrett Exercise 3.2. (Infinitely many back and forths)
3. Durrett Chapter 4, Exercise 3.9, 3.10 and 3.11 - I have listed these together to give you more flexibility as to how to organize your answer.
4. Suppose  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale with increments  $X_{n+1} - X_n$  bounded in absolute value by  $M$ . Can  $X_n$  converge with positive probability on the event  $\{A_\infty = \infty\}$ , where  $A$  is the action process from page 255? Can you answer this question without the assumption of bounded increments?
5. Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a martingale with  $\mathbf{E}X_n^2 < \infty$  for all  $n$ . Let  $M_n$  and  $A_n$  be the martingale and action processes from the Doob decomposition of  $X_n^2$ , as in the last part of Section 4.4. Define

$$Q_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - X_{k-1})^2,$$

the so-called quadratic variation process. Prove or find a counterexample:

- (a) the event  $\{A_\infty = \infty\}$  implies the event  $\{Q_n \rightarrow \infty\}$  up to a set of measure zero (in other words,  $\mathbf{P}(A_\infty = \infty, Q_\infty < \infty) = 0$ );
- (b) the event  $\{A_\infty < \infty\}$  implies the event  $\{Q_n\}$  is bounded, up to a set of measure zero (in other words,  $\mathbf{P}(A_\infty < \infty, Q_\infty = \infty) = 0$ );
- (c) the event  $\{A_\infty = \infty\}$  implies the event  $\{Q_n \rightarrow \infty\}$  up to a set of measure zero, assuming that the increments  $|X_n - X_{n-1}|$  are bounded by some constant  $M$ .