

## Solutions for Homework Set IV

1. (a)  $a_n, b_n$  do not exist. To see this, note that  $T_n$  converges with no normalization to a Poisson with mean 1. Therefore, if  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ , the limit is degenerate, while if  $b_n$  does not go to infinity then any limit will be supported on distributions where  $F$  is constant on intervals of size  $1/b_n$ , hence not a normal or any continuous distribution.

(b)  $a_n = ne^{-1}, b_n = \sqrt{ne^{-1}}$ . This converges to a Poisson with mean  $a_n$  since the boxes become asymptotically independent as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, with the above normalization, we have convergence to a normal.

(c)  $a_n = \sqrt{n}, b_n = \sqrt{\sqrt{n}(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})}$ .

We can verify it by using Lindeberg-Feller theorem, let  $X_i = 1$  if the  $i$ th ball is in the first box and  $X_i = 0$  otherwise. And let  $X_{n,m} = (X_m - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})/b_n$ . Now  $\sum_{m=1}^n EX_{n,m}^2 = 1$ . To check the second condition, notice that both  $|X_{n,m}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we can pick  $n$  large enough so that  $|X_{n,m}| < \epsilon, \forall m = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence the desired result follows.

(d) For the limit to be tight, we need  $b_n$  to be of order  $n$  (argument to follow shortly). Assuming that, let  $\alpha := \overline{\lim} b_n/n$ ; then  $T_n/b_n \in [0, \alpha^{-1}]$ . A limit of random variables in  $[0, C]$  cannot be normal for any fixed  $C$ . The fact that  $b_n$  must be of order  $n$  follows if we show that  $P(T_n < n/3)$  and  $P(T_n > 2n/3)$  are both bounded from below. Certainly

$$P(T_n > 2n/3) \geq P(S_{n/3} > \sqrt{n}, \inf_{n/3 < k \leq n} S_k - S_{n/3} > -\sqrt{n}).$$

Independence of  $S_j$  and  $\{S_{j+r} - S_j : r = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  implies this last probability is the product of  $P(S_{n/3} > \sqrt{n})$  and  $P(\inf_{n/3 < k \leq n} S_k - S_{n/3} > -\sqrt{n})$ . The first converges to  $1 - \Phi(\sqrt{3})$  (by the CLT) and the second is at least  $1 - 2\Phi(-\sqrt{3})$  by Kolmogorov's Maximal inequality (8.2).

(e) Let  $T_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$  where  $Y_i$  is the  $i$ th decimal digit of  $X_n$ . So  $Y_i$  are i.i.d. with uniform distribution on  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ . Therefore,  $a_n = 4.5n, b_n = \sqrt{8.25n}$ .

(f) Notice that

$$T_n - 1 = \frac{X_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{X_n}{n} + o_p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

where  $o_p(\frac{1}{n})$  means that  $\frac{1}{n}R_n$  and  $R_n \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $S_n = (\frac{X_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{X_n}{n})\sqrt{n}$  then by CLT  $S_n \Rightarrow z$ . Since  $o_p(\frac{1}{n})\sqrt{n}$ . Therefore,  $(T_n - 1)\sqrt{n} \Rightarrow z$ . From this we can conclude that  $a_n = 1, b_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

(g) Since  $T_n$  is completely deterministic,  $a_n, b_n$  do not exist.

(h)  $Z_n$  converges in distribution to a random variable  $Z$  taking values in  $\{1, \dots, 9\}$  with  $P(Z = k) = \log_{10}((k+1)/k)$ . To see this, note that

$$P(Z_n = k) = P(\log_{10} k \leq \{nX_n \log_{10} 2\} < \log_{10}(k+1)),$$

where  $\{u\}$  denotes the fractional part of  $u$ , that is,  $u - \lfloor u \rfloor$ ; since  $nX_n \log_{10} 2$  is uniform on a large interval, its fractional part is converging to uniform on  $[0, 1]$ . Now a CLT follows for  $T_n$  with  $a_n = \mu n$  and  $b_n = \sigma\sqrt{n}$ , with  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  being the mean and variance of the law of  $Z$ .

2. (Chapter 2, Exercise 4.13 Durrett)

(i) If  $\beta > 1$  then  $\sum_j P(X_j \neq 0) < \infty$ , by Borel Cantelli implies  $P(X_j \neq 0, i.o.) = 0$  therefore,  $\sum_j X_j$  exists.

(ii) To prove this, we will use the Lindeberg-Feller theorem. Let  $X_{n,m} = \frac{X_m}{\sqrt{n^{3-\beta}}}$ . Notice that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (j-1)^{2-\beta} \leq \int_0^n x^{2-\beta} dx \leq \sum_{j=1}^n j^{2-\beta},$$

therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n j^{2-\beta}}{\frac{n^{3-\beta}}{3-\beta}} = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{m=1}^n EX_{n,m}^2 = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^n m^{2-\beta}}{n^{3-\beta}} = \frac{1}{3-\beta}.$$

To check the second condition, for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can pick  $n$  large enough so that  $|X_{n,m}| < \epsilon, \forall m = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus, the second condition is verified. Thus by Lindeberg-Feller theorem,  $S_n/n^{(3-\beta)/2} \Rightarrow cz$  with  $c = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3-\beta}}$ .

(iii) When  $\beta = 1$ ,

$$E \exp(it \frac{S_n}{n}) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\cos(\frac{jt}{n}) - 1}{j/n} \right).$$

Since the Riemann sums  $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \frac{\cos(\frac{jt}{n}) - 1}{j/n} \rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{\cos(xt) - 1}{x} dx$ . Therefore, the desired results follows from Durrett Exercise 1.1 in Chapter 2.

3. (Chapter 2, Exercise 4.5 Durrett) First by CLT  $\sum_{m=1}^n \frac{X_m}{\sqrt{n\sigma}} \Rightarrow z$  and by WLLN  $\sum_{m=1}^n \frac{X_m^2}{n\sigma^2} \rightarrow 1$  in probability.

By Slutsky's theorem which states that for univariate random variables  $Y_n$  and  $Z_n$  with  $Y_n \Rightarrow Y$  and  $Z_n \rightarrow c$  in probability, then  $Y_n Z_n \Rightarrow cY$ . Now since  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  is a continuous function, the continuous mapping theorem shows that  $(\sum_{m=1}^n X_m^2/n\sigma^2)^{1/2} \rightarrow 1$  in probability. Then the desired result follows. ■

4. Sequence  $W_n$  satisfies a Poisson limit theorem, because:

let  $Y_\alpha$  be the indicator random variable for the event that  $X_{\alpha+1}=0, X_{\alpha+2}=1, \dots, X_{\alpha+k} = 1$ . We choose  $B_\alpha$  as the set of  $\beta$ 's such that  $|\alpha - \beta| \leq k - 1$ .  $I = 0, \dots, n - k$ . We can compute  $b_1, b_2, b_3$ :

$$b_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in I} \sum_{\beta \in B_\alpha} \leq \frac{2(k-1)(2^k - k + 1)}{2^{2k}} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $Y_\alpha Y_\beta = 0$  for  $\alpha \in I, \alpha \neq \beta \in B_\alpha$ , it is easy to see that

$$b_2 = 0$$

Since  $Y_\alpha, Y_\beta$  are independent if  $\beta$  does not belong to  $B_\alpha$ , it is easy to see that

$$b_3 = 0$$

Thus, from Arratia-Goldstein-Gordon, we have a Poisson limit theorem for  $W_n$  with mean 1.

For sequence  $V_n$ ,  $b_1, b_3$  will be the same as those of  $W_n$ , but  $b_2$  will not converge to 0. Intuitively, the reason for this is that if  $Y_\alpha = 1$  then we expect an interval of ones,  $Y_j = 1$  for  $\alpha \leq j \leq \alpha + N - 1$ , where  $N$  is geometric of mean 2. The expected number of  $\alpha$  such that  $Y_\alpha = 1$  is of course still 1, so that means the expected number of clumps must be  $1/2$ . This means that  $V_n$  should behave like a random variable that has a Poisson  $(1/2)$  number of clumps, each clump being geometrically distributed with mean 2. Hence,  $V_n \rightarrow V$  where  $V$  is this compound Poisson. We compare the distributions of  $W_n$  and  $V_n$ . Both have mean 1 but the variances are respectively 1 and 3 and the first few probabilities are as follows.

|       |       |       |       |       |       |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $W :$ | 0.368 | 0.368 | 0.184 | 0.061 | 0.015 |
| $V :$ | 0.607 | 0.151 | 0.094 | 0.058 | 0.036 |