

Math 6030 / Problem Set 1 (two pages)

More about Trace/Norm/Discriminant. Recall that for a finite field extension $L|K$ one has the (i) relative trace $\text{Tr}_{L|K} : L \rightarrow K$, which is a K -linear map, and (ii) the relative norm $N_{L|K} : L \rightarrow K$, which is multiplicative. See HW 12 from Math 6020, Problems 7, 8, 9.

The map $\mathbf{T}_{L|K} : L \times L \rightarrow K$, $(x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{L|K}(xy)$ is symmetric K -bilinear (WHY). Given a K -basis $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_i)_{i \leq n}$ of $L|K$ and $B_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Tr}_{L|K}(\mathcal{A}^{\tau} \mathcal{A}) := (\text{Tr}_{L|K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{i,j}$, define/consider:

- $\partial_{\mathcal{A}} := \det(B_{\mathcal{A}})$, called the discriminant of the basis \mathcal{A} w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_{L|K}$
- The dual basis $\mathcal{A}^* = (\alpha_i^*)_{i \leq n}$ of \mathcal{A} w.r.t. $\mathbf{T}_{L|K}$ (if it exists), i.e., $\mathbf{T}_{L|K}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^*) = \delta_{ij}$.

1) In the above notation, let $\mathcal{B} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ be further K -basis of $L|K$. Prove:

- a) There is $S \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ with $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}S$.
- b) $\partial_{\mathcal{B}} = \det(S)^2 \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$, concluding the following:

$\partial_{L|K} := \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \in K^{\times}/K^{\times 2}$ is independent of \mathcal{A} modulo the group of squares $K^{\times 2} \leq K^{\times}$.

2) Let $L = K[x]$ be separable, $p(t) = \text{Mipo}_K(x)$, and $\mathcal{A} = (x^i)_{0 \leq i < n}$. Prove:

- a) \mathcal{A} is a K -basis of $L|K$ and $\partial_{\mathcal{A}} = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} N_{L|K}(p'(x))$.
- b) **Euler's Theorem.** Set $p(t) = (t-x) \sum_{i < n} b_i t^i \in L[t]$. Then $\mathcal{A}^* = (b_i/p'(x))_{0 \leq i < n}$.

[Hints. To a): Set $A_x := (x_j^i)_{i,j} \in \overline{K}^{n \times n}$. Then $\partial_{\mathcal{A}} \stackrel{\text{why}}{=} \det(A_x A_x^{\tau}) = \det(A_x)^2$ (WHY), etc. To b): Last resort [Google it!](#)...]

3) In the above notation, prove that the following are equivalent:

- (i) $L|K$ is separable.
- (ii) $\text{Tr}_{L|K}$ is non-trivial, i.e., $\exists x \in L$ s.t. $\text{Tr}_{L|K}(x) \neq 0$.
- (iii) $\mathbf{T}_{L|K}$ is non-degenerate, i.e., $\forall x \in L \exists y \in L$ s.t. $\mathbf{T}_{L|K}(x, y) \neq 0$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ has a dual basis $\mathcal{A}^* = (\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_n^*)$.
- (v) $\partial_{\mathcal{A}} \neq 0$.

Infinite Galois Theory. Make sure that you checked all the details from the *Fundamental Thm of Galois Theory*: For a Galois extension $L|K$, let $L_{\alpha}|K$, $\alpha \in I$ be the set of finite Galois subextensions, and $p_{\alpha} : G := G(L|K) \rightarrow G(L_{\alpha}|K) =: G_{\alpha}$, $\sigma \mapsto \sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma|_{L_{\alpha}}$. Then p_{α} is surjective (WHY), and setting $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(L|K)$, $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} := \mathcal{F}(L_{\alpha}|K)$, $\mathcal{G} := \{H \in \text{Sg}(G) \mid H \text{ closed}\}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{G}(L_{\alpha}|K)$, one has surjective projective systems (s.p.s.) and canonical maps as follows:

- $(G_{\alpha}, p_{\gamma\beta})_{\alpha, \gamma \geq \beta}$ is a s.p.s. and $p : G \rightarrow \hat{G} := \varprojlim_{\alpha} G_{\alpha}$, $\sigma \mapsto (\sigma_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ is an isomorphism.
- $(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\gamma\beta})_{\alpha, \gamma \geq \beta}$ is a s.p.s. and $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}} := \varprojlim_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$, $L' \mapsto (L'_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$, $L'_{\alpha} := \cap L_{\alpha}$ is bijective.
- $(\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}, \phi_{\gamma\beta})_{\alpha, \gamma \geq \beta}$ is a s.p.s. and $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}} := \varprojlim_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}$, $H \mapsto (H_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$, $H_{\alpha} := H|_{L_{\alpha}}$ is bijective.
- The isomorphism of s.p.s. $(\text{gal}_{\alpha} : \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ defines an isomorphism $\text{gal} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ (HOW).
- etc.

Cyclotomic extensions/character. Let K be a field, $m > 0$ with $\text{char}(K) \nmid m$, $\overline{K}|K$ a fixed algebraic closure, and $K_m := K(\mu_m) \subset \overline{K}$ be the splitting field of the m^{th} cyclotomic polynomial Φ_m . Define the cyclotomic character $\chi_{K,m}$ of $K_m|K$ as follows: Let $\zeta \in \mu_m$

be a fixed primitive m^{th} root of unity. Then $\sigma(\zeta)$ is a primitive root of unity for each $\sigma \in G(K_m|K)$ (WHY), hence there is $\bar{n}_\sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ s.t. $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{n_\sigma}$ for any $\mathbb{Z} \ni n_\sigma \mapsto \bar{n}_\sigma$ (WHY).

4) In the above notation prove the following:

- a) $\chi_m : G(K_m|K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$, $\sigma \mapsto \bar{n}_\sigma$ is injective and independent of ζ .
- b) If $K = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\chi_m : G(\mathbb{Q}_m|\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is an isomorphism.
- c) If $K = \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\text{Im}(\chi_m)$ is the cyclic group generated by $\bar{p} \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

• Recall: (i) The ring of p -adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim_e \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$ is a profinite ring having group of units $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \varprojlim_e (\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (WHY). (ii) The adic completion $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} := \varprojlim_m \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbb{Z} is a compact ring (WHY) and canonically: $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$ as rings and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times = \varprojlim_m (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ as groups (WHY).

5) Let $K^{\text{cycl}} := \cup_m K_m \subset \overline{K}$, the cyclotomic extension of K . Prove/disprove/answer:

- a) $G(K^{\text{cycl}}|K) = \varprojlim_m G(K_m|K)$ (HOW) and the cyclotomic character $\chi_K = \varprojlim_m \chi_{K,m}$ of K is an embedding of profinite groups $\chi_K : G(K^{\text{cycl}}|K) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ (HOW).
- b) $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}} : G(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cycl}}|\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ is an isomorphism of profinite groups.
- c) $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p = \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{cycl}}$ and $\text{Im}(\chi_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \subset \prod_{q \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$, but $\text{Im}(\chi_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \not\subset \prod_{q \in \Sigma} \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$ if $\exists \ell \neq p, \ell \notin \Sigma$.

6) Prove the following “initial form” of the Hilbert 90 (as proven in Hilbert’s *Zahlbericht*). Let $L|K$ be a finite cyclic extension with Galois group $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Then for $a \in L$ one has:

- a) $\text{Tr}_{L|K}(a) = 0$ iff $\exists a_0 \in L$ s.t. $a = \sigma(a_0) - a_0$.
- b) $N_{L|K}(a) = 1$ iff $\exists a_0 \in L$ s.t. $a = \sigma(a_0)/a_0$.

Cohomology of profinite groups. If G is a topological group, e.g. a profinite group, a G -module is by definition a topological abelian group A , e.g. a discrete abelian group, on which G acts continuously. If so, one also considers the “topological” variants of cocycles $Z_{\text{top}}^i(G, A)$ and coboundaries $B_{\text{top}}^i(G, A)$ of G with values in A , thus the resulting “topological” cohomology groups $H_{\text{top}}^i(G, A)$. In the case of profinite groups, e.g. $G = G(L|K)$ the Galois group of Galois extensions $L|K$ acting on—usually—discrete abelian groups A , e.g. L^+ and/or L^\times , the result are *cohomology groups $H^i(G, A)$ of profinite groups*.

7) Let profinite groups G act continuously on discrete abelian groups A and $G \xrightarrow{p_\alpha} \overline{G}_\alpha = G/G_\alpha$ be the finite quotients of G . Then \overline{G}_α acts on $A_\alpha := A^{G_\alpha}$ (HOW), and further, $A = \cup_\alpha A^\alpha$ (WHY). For $i > 0$, let $\mathcal{C}(G^i, A) \supset Z^i(G, A) \supset B^i(G, A)$ be the continuous maps on G^i , respectively the continuous i^{th} cocycles/coboundaries. Prove the following:

- a) $\mathcal{C}(G_\alpha^i, A_\alpha) = \text{Maps}(\overline{G}_\alpha^i, A_\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G^i, A)$ by $\bar{f} \mapsto \bar{f} \circ p_\alpha^i$, and $\mathcal{C}(G^i, A) = \varprojlim_\alpha \mathcal{C}(\overline{G}_\alpha^i, A_\alpha)$.
- b) $B^i(G, A) = \varprojlim_\alpha B^i(\overline{G}_\alpha, A_\alpha) \subset \varprojlim_\alpha Z^i(\overline{G}_\alpha, A_\alpha) = Z^i(G, A)$ for $i = 1, 2$.¹
- c) For $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ exact seq. of discrete G -modules, one has a long exact seq.:
 $0 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, B) \rightarrow H^1(G, C) \rightarrow H^2(G, A) \rightarrow \dots$

Conclude: $G = G(L|K)$ acts continuously on the discrete groups $A = L^+, L^\times$ and one has:

Generalized Hilbert 90. $Z^1(G, A) = B^1(G, A)$, hence $H^1(G, L^+) = 0$ and $H^1(G, L^\times) = 1$.

¹ Actually, this holds for all $i > 0$, but we did not define the objects for $i > 2$.