Courant Algebroids and Generalizations of Geometry

Peter Bouwknegt ^(1,2)

 (1) Department of Mathematics Mathematical Sciences Institute
(2) Department of Theoretical Physics Research School of Physics and Engineering The Australian National University

Canberra, AUSTRALIA

String-Math 2011, UPenn 9 June 2011



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト



ヨト ・ヨト

< 🗇

• $TM \oplus T^*M$ (type I or type II in absence of RR fluxes)



- $TM \oplus T^*M$ (type I or type II in absence of RR fluxes)
- $TM \oplus T^*M \oplus S^{\pm}$ (type IIA/IIB with RR fluxes)



- $TM \oplus T^*M$ (type I or type II in absence of RR fluxes)
- $TM \oplus T^*M \oplus S^{\pm}$ (type IIA/IIB with RR fluxes)
- $TM \oplus T^*M \oplus \mathfrak{G}$ (type I + YM, heterotic)



- $TM \oplus T^*M$ (type I or type II in absence of RR fluxes)
- $TM \oplus T^*M \oplus S^{\pm}$ (type IIA/IIB with RR fluxes)
- $TM \oplus T^*M \oplus \mathfrak{G}$ (type I + YM, heterotic)
- $TM \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M \oplus \ldots$ (M-theory)



Outline of the talk



Peter Bouwknegt Courant Algebroids and Generalizations of Geometry

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Review of generalized geometry



ヨト・モラト

- Review of generalized geometry
- Beyond generalized geometry



∃ > < ∃ >

- Review of generalized geometry
- Beyond generalized geometry
 - B_{2n}-geometry
 - M-geometry



★ E → < E →</p>

- Review of generalized geometry
- Beyond generalized geometry
 - B_{2n}-geometry
 - M-geometry
- T-duality and generalized geometry



∃ > < ∃ >

Replace structures on *TM* (such as $[,], \imath_x, \mathcal{L}_x, d, ...$) by similar structures on $E = TM \oplus T^*M$



Replace structures on *TM* (such as $[,], \imath_x, \mathcal{L}_x, d, ...$) by similar structures on $E = TM \oplus T^*M$

• Bilinear form on sections $x + \xi \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\imath_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\eta} + \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \boldsymbol{\xi})$$



Replace structures on *TM* (such as $[,], \imath_x, \mathcal{L}_x, d, ...$) by similar structures on $E = TM \oplus T^*M$

• Bilinear form on sections $x + \xi \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\imath_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\eta} + \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \boldsymbol{\xi})$$

Orfman) Bracket

$$(\mathbf{x} + \xi) \circ (\mathbf{y} + \eta) = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} \eta - \imath_{\mathbf{y}} d\xi$$



▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → □

Replace structures on *TM* (such as $[,], \imath_x, \mathcal{L}_x, d, ...$) by similar structures on $E = TM \oplus T^*M$

• Bilinear form on sections $x + \xi \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\eta} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\imath_{\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\eta} + \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \boldsymbol{\xi})$$

Orfman) Bracket

$$(\mathbf{x} + \xi) \circ (\mathbf{y} + \eta) = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} \eta - \imath_{\mathbf{y}} d\xi$$

Clifford algebra

$$\{\gamma_{\mathbf{x}+\boldsymbol{\xi}},\gamma_{\mathbf{y}+\boldsymbol{\eta}}\}=\mathbf{2}\langle\mathbf{x}+\boldsymbol{\xi},\mathbf{y}+\boldsymbol{\eta}\rangle$$



< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > .

Clifford module Ω[•](M)

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{X}+\boldsymbol{\xi}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}=\imath_{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{\xi}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}$$



★ E → ★ E →

< 🗇 ▶

Clifford module Ω[•](M)

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{X}+\boldsymbol{\xi}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}=\imath_{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{\xi}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}$$

• De-Rham differential on $\Omega^{\bullet}(M)$

$$d: \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{k+1}(M)$$



伺き くほき くほう

Symmetries of $\langle \;,\;\rangle$ given by sections of the adjoint bundle

$$\wedge^2 E \cong \wedge^2 TM \oplus \operatorname{End}(TM) \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M$$

[They form the group O(n, n)]



Symmetries of $\langle \;,\;\rangle$ given by sections of the adjoint bundle

$$\wedge^2 E \cong \wedge^2 TM \oplus \operatorname{End}(TM) \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M$$

[They form the group O(n, n)]

In particular, we have the so called B-transform, for $b \in \Omega^2(M)$

$$egin{aligned} e^b \cdot (x+\xi) &= x+(\xi+\imath_x b) \ b \cdot (x+\xi) &= \imath_x b \end{aligned}$$
 (infinitesimally)



ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Symmetries of $\langle \;,\;\rangle$ given by sections of the adjoint bundle

$$\wedge^2 E \cong \wedge^2 TM \oplus \operatorname{End}(TM) \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M$$

[They form the group O(n, n)]

In particular, we have the so called B-transform, for $b \in \Omega^2(M)$

$$egin{aligned} e^b \cdot (x+\xi) &= x+(\xi+\imath_x b) \ b \cdot (x+\xi) &= \imath_x b \end{aligned}$$
 (infinitesimally)

We have

ŧ

$$e^b \cdot ((x + \xi) \circ (y + \eta)) = e^b \cdot (x + \xi) \circ e^b \cdot (y + \eta) + \imath_x \imath_y db$$



Symmetries of $\langle \;,\;\rangle$ given by sections of the adjoint bundle

$$\wedge^2 E \cong \wedge^2 TM \oplus \operatorname{End}(TM) \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M$$

[They form the group O(n, n)]

In particular, we have the so called B-transform, for $b \in \Omega^2(M)$

$$e^b \cdot (x + \xi) = x + (\xi + \imath_x b)$$

 $b \cdot (x + \xi) = \imath_x b$ (infinitesimally)

We have

$$e^b \cdot ((x + \xi) \circ (y + \eta)) = e^b \cdot (x + \xi) \circ e^b \cdot (y + \eta) + \imath_x \imath_y db$$

Symmetries of the Dorfman bracket are $\text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \Omega^2_{cl}(M)$



(문)(문)

Twisting

This suggest the introduction of a twisted Dorfman bracket, with $H \in \Omega^{3}(M), dH = 0$

$$(\mathbf{x} + \xi) \circ_{\mathbf{H}} (\mathbf{y} + \eta) = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} \eta - \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{d} \xi + \imath_{\mathbf{x}} \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{H}$$



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト・

Twisting

This suggest the introduction of a twisted Dorfman bracket, with $H \in \Omega^{3}(M), dH = 0$

$$(\mathbf{x} + \xi) \circ_{\mathbf{H}} (\mathbf{y} + \eta) = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} \eta - \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{d} \xi + \imath_{\mathbf{x}} \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{H}$$

such that

$$\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \cdot \left((\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}) \circ_{\boldsymbol{H}} (\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\eta}) \right) = \left(\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}) \right) \circ_{\boldsymbol{H} + \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{b}} \left(\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\eta}) \right)$$



ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

Twisting

This suggest the introduction of a twisted Dorfman bracket, with $H \in \Omega^{3}(M), dH = 0$

$$(\mathbf{x} + \xi) \circ_{\mathbf{H}} (\mathbf{y} + \eta) = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} \eta - \imath_{\mathbf{y}} d\xi + \imath_{\mathbf{x}} \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{H}$$

such that

$$e^{b} \cdot ((x + \xi) \circ_{H} (y + \eta)) = (e^{b} \cdot (x + \xi)) \circ_{H+db} (e^{b} \cdot (y + \eta))$$

and a twisted differential

$$d_H\omega = d\omega + H \wedge \omega$$



Properties of the (twisted) Dorfman bracket

Properties (for $A, B, C \in \Gamma E, f \in C^{\infty}(M)$) (i) $A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C + B \circ (A \circ C)$ (ii) $A \circ (fB) = f(A \circ B) + (\rho(A)f)B$



ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Properties (for $A, B, C \in \Gamma E, f \in C^{\infty}(M)$) (i) $A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C + B \circ (A \circ C)$ (ii) $A \circ (fB) = f(A \circ B) + (\rho(A)f)B$

The Courant bracket is defined as the anti-symmetrization

$$\llbracket A,B \rrbracket = \frac{1}{2}(A \circ B - B \circ A)$$

or, conversely,

$$\boldsymbol{A} \circ \boldsymbol{B} = [\![\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}]\!] + \boldsymbol{d} \langle \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B} \rangle$$



ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨ ト 人 ヨ ト

Dorfman bracket as a derived bracket

Recall the usual Cartan relations

$$\{i_x, i_y\} = 0 \{d, i_x\} = \mathcal{L}_x [\mathcal{L}_x, i_y] = i_{[x,y]} [\mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{L}_y] = \mathcal{L}_{[x,y]} [d, \mathcal{L}_x] = 0$$



< ∃→

Dorfman bracket as a derived bracket

Recall the usual Cartan relations

$$\{i_x, i_y\} = 0 \{d, i_x\} = \mathcal{L}_x [\mathcal{L}_x, i_y] = i_{[x,y]} [\mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{L}_y] = \mathcal{L}_{[x,y]} [d, \mathcal{L}_x] = 0$$

Here we have the analogue

$$\{\gamma_{A}, \gamma_{B}\} = 2\langle A, B \rangle$$
$$\{d_{H}, \gamma_{A}\} = \mathcal{L}_{A}$$
$$[\mathcal{L}_{A}, \gamma_{B}] = \gamma_{A \circ B}$$
$$[\mathcal{L}_{A}, \mathcal{L}_{B}] = \mathcal{L}_{A \circ B} = \mathcal{L}_{\llbracket A, B \rrbracket}$$
$$[d_{H}, \mathcal{L}_{A}] = 0$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{x+\xi}\omega = \mathcal{L}_{x}\omega + (d\xi + \imath_{x}H) \wedge \omega$

Definition

A Leibniz algebroid (E, \circ, ρ) is a vector bundle $E \to M$, with a composition (Leibniz/Loday bracket) \circ on ΓE , and a morphism of vector bundles $\rho : E \to TM$ (anchor) such that

$$(L1) A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C + B \circ (A \circ C)$$

(L2)
$$\rho(\boldsymbol{A} \circ \boldsymbol{B}) = [\rho(\boldsymbol{A}), \rho(\boldsymbol{B})]$$

$$(L3) A \circ (fB) = f(A \circ B) + (\rho(A)f)B$$



프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

Definition

A Courant algebroid $(E, \circ, \langle , \rangle, \rho)$ is a vector bundle $E \to M$, with a composition \circ on ΓE , a morphism of vector bundles $\rho : E \to TM$, and a field of nondegenerate bilinear forms \langle , \rangle on ΓE such that

(C1)
$$A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C + B \circ (A \circ C)$$

(C2)
$$\rho(A)\langle B, C\rangle = \langle A, B \circ C + C \circ B \rangle$$

(C3)
$$\rho(A)\langle B, C \rangle = \langle A \circ B, C \rangle + \langle B, A \circ C \rangle$$



(B) → (A) B →

Definition

A Courant algebroid $(E, \circ, \langle , \rangle, \rho)$ is a vector bundle $E \to M$, with a composition \circ on ΓE , a morphism of vector bundles $\rho : E \to TM$, and a field of nondegenerate bilinear forms \langle , \rangle on ΓE such that

$$(C1) \ A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C + B \circ (A \circ C)$$

(C2)
$$\rho(A)\langle B, C\rangle = \langle A, B \circ C + C \circ B \rangle$$

$$(C3) \ \rho(A)\langle B, C \rangle = \langle A \circ B, C \rangle + \langle B, A \circ C \rangle$$

It follows that (E, \circ, ρ) is a Leibniz algebroid. Moreover

$$A \circ B + B \circ A = 2D\langle A, B \rangle$$

where $D = \frac{1}{2}\rho^* d$: $C^{\infty}(M) \to \Gamma E$, i.e. $\langle Df, A \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\rho(A)f$



ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

Exact Courant algebroids

An exact Courant algebroid E is a Courant algebroid that fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T^*M \xrightarrow{\rho^*} E \xrightarrow{\rho} TM \longrightarrow 0$$



프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

Exact Courant algebroids

An exact Courant algebroid E is a Courant algebroid that fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T^*M \xrightarrow{\rho^*} E \xrightarrow{\rho} TM \longrightarrow 0$$

Such a Courant algebroid admits an isotropic splitting $s : TM \to E$, which allows us to identify $E \cong TM \oplus T^*M$. The composition on $x + \xi \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ is uniquely determined by

$$H(x,y,z) = \langle x \circ y, z \rangle$$

It turns out $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}(M)$



Exact Courant algebroids

An exact Courant algebroid E is a Courant algebroid that fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T^*M \xrightarrow{\rho^*} E \xrightarrow{\rho} TM \longrightarrow 0$$

Such a Courant algebroid admits an isotropic splitting $s : TM \to E$, which allows us to identify $E \cong TM \oplus T^*M$. The composition on $x + \xi \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ is uniquely determined by

$$H(x,y,z) = \langle x \circ y, z \rangle$$

It turns out $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}(M)$

Theorem (Ševera)

Equivalence classes of exact Courant algebroids are in 1–1 correspondence with $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

We now consider the vector bundle

 $E = TM \oplus 1 \oplus T^*M$

with nondegenerate bilinear form

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{g} + \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\imath_{\mathbf{x}}\eta + \imath_{\mathbf{y}}\boldsymbol{\xi}) + f\mathbf{g}$$



We now consider the vector bundle

 $E = TM \oplus 1 \oplus T^*M$

with nondegenerate bilinear form

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{g} + \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\imath_{\mathbf{x}}\eta + \imath_{\mathbf{y}}\boldsymbol{\xi}) + f\mathbf{g}$$

Dorfman bracket

 $(x+f+\xi)\circ(y+g+\eta)=[x,y]+(x(g)-y(f))+\mathcal{L}_x\eta-\imath_yd\xi+2gdf$



く 同 と く ヨ と く ヨ と
We now consider the vector bundle

 $E = TM \oplus 1 \oplus T^*M$

with nondegenerate bilinear form

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f} + \xi, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{g} + \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\imath_{\mathbf{x}}\eta + \imath_{\mathbf{y}}\xi) + \mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}$$

Dorfman bracket

 $(x+f+\xi)\circ(y+g+\eta)=[x,y]+(x(g)-y(f))+\mathcal{L}_x\eta-\imath_yd\xi+2gdf$

and anchor map

$$\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f} + \xi) = \mathbf{x}$$

(4回) (日) (日)

÷.

 $\wedge^2 E = \wedge^2 TM \oplus TM \oplus \text{End}(TM) \oplus T^*M \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M$



ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

 $\wedge^2 E = \wedge^2 TM \oplus TM \oplus \text{End}(TM) \oplus T^*M \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M$

In particular

$$a \cdot (x + f + \xi) = \imath_x a - fa, \quad a \in \Omega^1$$

 $b \cdot (x + f + \xi) = \imath_x b, \quad b \in \Omega^2$



・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

 $\wedge^2 E = \wedge^2 TM \oplus TM \oplus \text{End}(TM) \oplus T^*M \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M$

In particular

$$a \cdot (x + f + \xi) = \imath_x a - fa, \quad a \in \Omega^1$$

 $b \cdot (x + f + \xi) = \imath_x b, \quad b \in \Omega^2$

Note

$$[a_1,a_2]=a_1\wedge a_2$$



・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

 $\wedge^2 E = \wedge^2 TM \oplus TM \oplus \text{End}(TM) \oplus T^*M \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M$

In particular

$$a \cdot (x + f + \xi) = \imath_x a - fa, \quad a \in \Omega^1$$

 $b \cdot (x + f + \xi) = \imath_x b, \quad b \in \Omega^2$

Note

$$[a_1,a_2]=a_1\wedge a_2$$

Symmetries of the Dorfman bracket iff da = 0 = db.



ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

Consider $F \in \Omega^2(M)$, $H \in \Omega^3(M)$, and a twisted Dorfman bracket

$$(x + f + \xi) \circ (y + g + \eta) = [x, y] + (x(g) - y(f)) + \imath_x \imath_y F$$

+ $\mathcal{L}_x \eta - \imath_y d\xi + 2gdf + \imath_x \imath_y H + \imath_x Fg - \imath_y Ff$



Consider $F \in \Omega^2(M)$, $H \in \Omega^3(M)$, and a twisted Dorfman bracket

$$(x + f + \xi) \circ (y + g + \eta) = [x, y] + (x(g) - y(f)) + \imath_{x}\imath_{y}F$$

+ $\mathcal{L}_{x}\eta - \imath_{y}d\xi + 2gdf + \imath_{x}\imath_{y}H + \imath_{x}Fg - \imath_{y}Ff$

This defines a Courant algebroid provided

$$dF = 0$$
$$dH + F \wedge F = 0$$



*B*_{2*n*}-geometry (cont'd)

The bracket can be obtained as a derived bracket using

$$d_{F,H}\omega = d\omega + (-1)^{|\omega|}F \wedge \omega + H \wedge \omega$$

and

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{f}+\boldsymbol{\xi}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}=\imath_{\mathbf{x}}\boldsymbol{\omega}+(-1)^{|\boldsymbol{\omega}|}\boldsymbol{f}\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{\xi}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}$$



*B*_{2*n*}-geometry (cont'd)

The bracket can be obtained as a derived bracket using

$$d_{F,H}\omega = d\omega + (-1)^{|\omega|}F \wedge \omega + H \wedge \omega$$

and

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{f}+\boldsymbol{\xi}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}=\imath_{\mathbf{x}}\boldsymbol{\omega}+(-1)^{|\boldsymbol{\omega}|}\boldsymbol{f}\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{\xi}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}$$

Note

$$d_{F,H}^{2} = 0$$

$$\{\gamma_{x+f+\xi}, \gamma_{y+g+\eta}\} = 2\langle x + f + \xi, y + g + \eta \rangle$$

iff F and H satisfy the Bianchi identities as before.



→ Ξ → < Ξ →</p>

A ►

*B*_{2*n*}-geometry (cont'd)

The bracket can be obtained as a derived bracket using

$$d_{F,H}\omega = d\omega + (-1)^{|\omega|}F \wedge \omega + H \wedge \omega$$

and

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{f}+\boldsymbol{\xi}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}=\imath_{\mathbf{x}}\boldsymbol{\omega}+(-\mathbf{1})^{|\boldsymbol{\omega}|}\boldsymbol{f}\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{\xi}\wedge\boldsymbol{\omega}$$

Note

$$d_{F,H}^{2} = 0$$

$$\{\gamma_{x+f+\xi}, \gamma_{y+g+\eta}\} = 2\langle x + f + \xi, y + g + \eta \rangle$$

iff *F* and *H* satisfy the Bianchi identities as before. This is an example of non-exact transitive Courant algebroid

$$T^*M \longrightarrow TM \oplus 1 \oplus T^*M \longrightarrow TM \longrightarrow 0$$

Transitive Courant Algebroids [Chen-Stiénon-Xu]

Transitive Courant algebroids are of the form

 $E = TM \oplus \mathfrak{G} \oplus T^*M$

where $\mathfrak{G}=\text{ker}\rho/(\text{ker}\rho)^{\perp}$ is a bundle of Lie algebras with bracket

$$[r, s]_{\mathfrak{G}} = \mathsf{pr}_{\mathfrak{G}}(r \circ s)$$

and

$$\rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r} + \xi) = \mathbf{x}$$



伺き くほき くほう

Transitive Courant Algebroids [Chen-Stiénon-Xu]

Transitive Courant algebroids are of the form

 $E = TM \oplus \mathfrak{G} \oplus T^*M$

where $\mathfrak{G}=\text{ker}\rho/(\text{ker}\rho)^{\perp}$ is a bundle of Lie algebras with bracket

$$[r, s]_{\mathfrak{G}} = \mathsf{pr}_{\mathfrak{G}}(r \circ s)$$

and

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}+\xi)=\mathbf{x}$$

Suppose

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r} + \xi, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} + \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\imath_{\mathbf{x}} \eta + \imath_{\mathbf{y}} \xi) + \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}}$$



Transitive Courant Algebroids (cont'd)

Then the Dorfman bracket on E is completely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{H}(x,y,z) &= \langle \mathsf{pr}_{T^*M}(x \circ y), z \rangle \\ \mathsf{R}(x,y) &= \mathsf{pr}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x \circ y) \\ \nabla_x r &= \mathsf{pr}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x \circ r) \,. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out $H \in \Omega^3(M)$, $R \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{g})$, and ∇_x a *TM*-connection on \mathfrak{G}



Transitive Courant Algebroids (cont'd)

Then the Dorfman bracket on E is completely determined by

$$H(x, y, z) = \langle \mathsf{pr}_{T^*M}(x \circ y), z \rangle$$
$$R(x, y) = \mathsf{pr}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x \circ y)$$
$$\nabla_x r = \mathsf{pr}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x \circ r).$$

It turns out $H \in \Omega^3(M)$, $R \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{g})$, and ∇_x a *TM*-connection on \mathfrak{G} Namely

$$(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r} + \xi) \circ (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{s} + \eta) = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] - \imath_{\mathbf{x}}\imath_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{R} + [\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}]_{\mathfrak{G}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{s} - \nabla_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{r} - \imath_{\mathbf{x}}\imath_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{H} + \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}_{\nabla}\mathbf{r} \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}\eta - \imath_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{d}\xi + \langle \imath_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{s} \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}} - \langle \imath_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r} \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}}$$



where we have defined a 'twisted differential' $d_{\nabla} : \Omega^{k}(M, \Gamma \mathfrak{G}) \to \Omega^{k+1}(M, \Gamma \mathfrak{G})$ by

$$(d_{\nabla}\omega)(x_0,\ldots,x_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \nabla_{x_i}\omega(x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x}_i,\ldots,x_k) + \sum_{0 \le i < j \le k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([x_i,x_j],x_0,\ldots,\ldots,\widehat{x}_i,\ldots,\widehat{x}_j,\ldots,x_k)$$

[Note that $d_{\nabla}^2 = 0$ iff the curvature corresponding to ∇_x vanishes]



・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

Transitive Courant Algebroids (cont'd)

where

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{x} \langle r, s \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}} &= \langle \nabla_{x} r, s \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}} + \langle r, \nabla_{x} s \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}} \\ \nabla_{x} [r, s]_{\mathfrak{G}} &= [\nabla_{x} r, s]_{\mathfrak{G}} + [r, \nabla_{x} s]_{\mathfrak{G}} \\ d_{\nabla} R &= 0 \\ d_{\nabla}^{2} r &= \left(\nabla_{x} \nabla_{y} - \nabla_{y} \nabla_{x} - \nabla_{[x,y]} \right) r = [R, r]_{\mathfrak{G}} , \\ dH &= \langle R \wedge R \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}} . \end{split}$$



▲圖> ▲ ヨ> ▲ ヨ>

M-geometry [Hull, Pacheco-Waldram, Baraglia]

In M-theory we have a 3-form C_3 with

$$F_4 = dC_3$$

satisfying

$$dF_4 = 0$$
 (Bianchi)

and

$$d(*F_4) + \frac{1}{2}F_4 \wedge F_4 = 0$$
 (e.o.m.)



M-geometry [Hull, Pacheco-Waldram, Baraglia]

In M-theory we have a 3-form C_3 with

$$F_4 = dC_3$$

satisfying

$$dF_4 = 0$$
 (Bianchi)

and

$$d(*F_4) + \frac{1}{2}F_4 \wedge F_4 = 0$$
 (e.o.m.)

After putting $F_7 = *F_4$ we have

 $d(F_7 + \frac{1}{2}C_3 \wedge F_4) = 0, \qquad F_7 + \frac{1}{2}C_3 \wedge F_4 = dC_6$



ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Summarizing

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_4 &= \mathcal{d}\mathcal{C}_3 \ \mathcal{F}_7 &= \mathcal{d}\mathcal{C}_6 - rac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}_3 \wedge \mathcal{F}_4 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$dF_4 = 0$$

$$dF_7 + \frac{1}{2}F_4 \wedge F_4 = 0$$



Peter Bouwknegt Courant Algebroids and Generalizations of Geometry

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Symmetries by $z_3 \in \Omega^3_{cl}$, $z_6 \in \Omega^6_{cl}$

$$egin{array}{ll} C_3' &= C_3 + z_3 \ C_6' &= C_6 + z_6 + rac{1}{2} C_3 \wedge z_3 \end{array}$$

Group law

$$(z_3, z_6) \cdot (z'_3, z'_6) = (z_3 + z'_3, z_6 + z'_6 - \frac{1}{2}z_3 \wedge z'_3)$$



・ロン ・雪 と ・ ヨ と

The relevant bundle in this case is

$$E = TM \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M \oplus \wedge^5 T^*M$$

with Dorfman bracket

$$(x + a_2 + a_5) \circ (y + b_2 + b_5) = [x, y] + \mathcal{L}_x b_2 - i_y da_2 + \mathcal{L}_x b_5 - i_y da_5 + da_2 \wedge b_2$$



The relevant bundle in this case is

$$E = TM \oplus \wedge^2 T^*M \oplus \wedge^5 T^*M$$

with Dorfman bracket

.

$$(x + a_2 + a_5) \circ (y + b_2 + b_5) = [x, y] + \mathcal{L}_x b_2 - i_y da_2 + \mathcal{L}_x b_5 - i_y da_5 + da_2 \wedge b_2$$

The bracket is invariant under infinitesimal symmetries generated by $z_3 \in \Omega^3_{cl}$, $z_6 \in \Omega^6_{cl}$

$$z_3 \cdot (x + a_2 + a_5) = \imath_x z_3 - z_3 \wedge a_2$$

 $z_6 \cdot (x + a_2 + a_5) = -\imath_x z_6$



伺き くほき くほう

It can be twisted by $F_4 \in \Omega^4$, $F_7 \in \Omega^7$

$$(x + a_2 + a_5) \circ (y + b_2 + b_5) = [x, y] + \mathcal{L}_x b_2 - \imath_y da_2 + \imath_x \imath_y F_4 + \mathcal{L}_x b_5 - \imath_y da_5 + da_2 \wedge b_2 + \imath_x F_4 \wedge b_2 + \imath_x \imath_y F_7$$



・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

It can be twisted by $F_4 \in \Omega^4$, $F_7 \in \Omega^7$

$$(x + a_2 + a_5) \circ (y + b_2 + b_5) = [x, y] + \mathcal{L}_x b_2 - \imath_y da_2 + \imath_x \imath_y F_4 + \mathcal{L}_x b_5 - \imath_y da_5 + da_2 \wedge b_2 + \imath_x F_4 \wedge b_2 + \imath_x \imath_y F_7$$

and is a Leibniz algebroid iff

$$dF_4 = 0$$

$$dF_7 + \frac{1}{2}F_4 \wedge F_4 = 0$$



It can be twisted by $F_4 \in \Omega^4$, $F_7 \in \Omega^7$

$$(x + a_2 + a_5) \circ (y + b_2 + b_5) = [x, y] + \mathcal{L}_x b_2 - \imath_y da_2 + \imath_x \imath_y F_4 + \mathcal{L}_x b_5 - \imath_y da_5 + da_2 \wedge b_2 + \imath_x F_4 \wedge b_2 + \imath_x \imath_y F_7$$

and is a Leibniz algebroid iff

$$dF_4 = 0$$

$$dF_7 + \frac{1}{2}F_4 \wedge F_4 = 0$$

Note that we have $\langle , \rangle : E \otimes E \to T^*M \oplus \wedge^4 T^*M$

 $\langle x + a_2 + a_5, y + b_2 + b_5 \rangle = (\imath_x b_2 + \imath_y a_2) + (\imath_x b_5 + \imath_y a_5 + a_2 \wedge b_2)$

(cf. notion of E-Courant algebroid [Chen-Liu-Sheng])



Suppose we have a pair (E, H), consisting of a principal circle bundle



and a so-called H-flux H, a Čech 3-cocycle.

Topologically, *E* is classified by an element in $F \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ while *H* gives a class in $H^3(E, \mathbb{Z})$



→ E → < E →</p>

T-duality for principal S^1 -bundles (cont'd)

The T-dual of (E, H) is given by the pair $(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H})$, where the principal S^1 -bundle



and the dual H-flux $\widehat{H} \in H^3(\widehat{E},\mathbb{Z})$, satisfy

$$\widehat{F} = \pi_* H$$
, $F = \widehat{\pi}_* \widehat{H}$

where $\pi_*: H^3(E, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and $\widehat{\pi}_*: H^3(\widehat{E}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ are the pushforward maps ('integration over the S^1 -fiber')



T-duality for principal S^1 -bundles (cont'd)





T-duality for principal S¹-bundles (cont'd)

The ambiguity in the choice of \hat{H} is removed by requiring that

$$p^*H - \widehat{p}^*\widehat{H} \equiv 0$$

in $H^3(E \times_M \widehat{E}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $E \times_M \widehat{E}$ is the correspondence space $E \times_M \widehat{E} = \{(x, \widehat{x}) \in E \times \widehat{E} \mid \pi(x) = \widehat{\pi}(\widehat{x})\}$



→ E → < E →</p>

T-duality for principal S^1 -bundles (cont'd)

The ambiguity in the choice of \hat{H} is removed by requiring that

$$p^*H - \widehat{p}^*\widehat{H} \equiv 0$$

in $H^3(E \times_M \widehat{E}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $E \times_M \widehat{E}$ is the correspondence space

$$E imes_M \widehat{E} = \{(x, \widehat{x}) \in E imes \widehat{E} \mid \pi(x) = \widehat{\pi}(\widehat{x})\}$$

Theorem (B-Evslin-Mathai)

This T-duality gives rise to an isomorphism between the twisted cohomologies and twisted K-theories of (E, H) and $(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H})$ (with a shift in degree by 1)



T-duality and generalized geometry

Given a principal circle bundle *E* with H-flux $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}(E)^{S^1}$



T-duality and generalized geometry

Given a principal circle bundle *E* with H-flux $H \in \Omega^3_{cl}(E)^{S^1}$

$$S^{1} \longrightarrow E$$

$$\pi \downarrow \qquad \qquad H = H_{(3)} + A \wedge H_{(2)}, \ F = dA$$

$$M$$

there exists a T-dual principal circle bundle

$$S^{1} \longrightarrow \widehat{E}$$

$$\widehat{\pi} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \widehat{H} = H_{(3)} + \widehat{A} \wedge F, \ \widehat{F} = H_{(2)} = d\widehat{A}$$

$$M$$



(< ∃) < ∃)</p>

Theorem [B-Evslin-Mathai, Cavalcanti-Gualtieri] (a) We have an isomorphism of differential complexes $\tau : (\Omega^{\bullet}(E)^{S^{1}}, d_{H}) \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(\widehat{E})^{S^{1}}, d_{\widehat{H}})$



Theorem [B-Evslin-Mathai, Cavalcanti-Gualtieri] (a) We have an isomorphism of differential complexes $\tau : (\Omega^{\bullet}(E)^{S^{1}}, d_{H}) \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(\widehat{E})^{S^{1}}, d_{\widehat{H}})$

$$\tau(\Omega_{(k)} + \mathbf{A} \land \Omega_{(k-1)}) = -\Omega_{(k-1)} + \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \land \Omega_{(k)}$$
$$\tau \circ \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{H}} = -\mathbf{d}_{\widehat{\mathbf{H}}} \circ \tau$$



Theorem [B-Evslin-Mathai, Cavalcanti-Gualtieri] (a) We have an isomorphism of differential complexes $\tau : (\Omega^{\bullet}(E)^{S^{1}}, d_{H}) \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(\widehat{E})^{S^{1}}, d_{\widehat{H}})$

$$\tau(\Omega_{(k)} + \mathbf{A} \land \Omega_{(k-1)}) = -\Omega_{(k-1)} + \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \land \Omega_{(k)}$$
$$\tau \circ \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{H}} = -\mathbf{d}_{\widehat{\mathbf{H}}} \circ \tau$$

Hence, τ induces an isomorphism on twisted cohomology



・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

Theorem [B-Evslin-Mathai, Cavalcanti-Gualtieri] (a) We have an isomorphism of differential complexes $\tau : (\Omega^{\bullet}(E)^{S^{1}}, d_{H}) \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(\widehat{E})^{S^{1}}, d_{\widehat{H}})$

$$egin{aligned} & au(\Omega_{(k)}+oldsymbol{A}\wedge\Omega_{(k-1)})=-\Omega_{(k-1)}+\widehat{oldsymbol{A}}\wedge\Omega_{(k)} \ & au\circoldsymbol{d}_{H}=-oldsymbol{d}_{\widehat{H}}\circ au \end{aligned}$$

Hence, τ induces an isomorphism on twisted cohomology (b) We can identify $(X + \Xi \in \Gamma(TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1}$ with a quadruple $(x, f; \xi, g)$

$$X = x + f\partial_A, \qquad \Xi = \xi + gA$$

and define a map $\phi : \Gamma(TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1} \to \Gamma(T\widehat{E} \oplus T^*\widehat{E})^{S^1}$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x} + f\partial_{\mathbf{A}} + \xi + g\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{x} + g\partial_{\widehat{\mathbf{A}}} + \xi + f\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$$


(b) The map ϕ is orthogonal wrt pairing on $TE \oplus T^*E$, hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford algebras



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

(b) The map φ is orthogonal wrt pairing on TE ⊕ T*E, hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford algebras
 (c) Σ = V(z) = z(TΣ = T*Σ)^{S1}

(c) For $X + \Xi \in \Gamma((TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1})$ we have

$$\tau(\gamma_{X+\Xi}\cdot\Omega)=\gamma_{\phi(X+\Xi)}\cdot\tau(\Omega)$$



ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- (b) The map φ is orthogonal wrt pairing on TE ⊕ T*E, hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford algebras
 (c) For X + Ξ ∈ Γ((TE ⊕ T*E)S¹) we have
- (c) For $X + \Xi \in \Gamma((TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1})$ we have

$$\tau(\gamma_{X+\Xi}\cdot\Omega)=\gamma_{\phi(X+\Xi)}\cdot\tau(\Omega)$$

Hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford modules



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- (b) The map φ is orthogonal wrt pairing on TE ⊕ T*E, hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford algebras
 (c) E = V(z) = E((TE = T*E)S¹)
- (c) For $X + \Xi \in \Gamma((TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1})$ we have

$$au(\gamma_{X+\Xi}\cdot\Omega)=\gamma_{\phi(X+\Xi)}\cdot\tau(\Omega)$$

Hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford modules (d) For $X_i + \Xi_i \in \Gamma((TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1})$ we have

$$\phi([\![X_1 + \Xi_1, X_2 + \Xi_2]\!]_H) = [\![\phi(X_1 + \Xi_1), \phi(X_2 + \Xi_2)]\!]_{\hat{H}}$$



ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- (b) The map φ is orthogonal wrt pairing on TE ⊕ T*E, hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford algebras
 (a) For Y + Ξ = Ε Ε ((TE ⊕ T*E)S¹) we have
- (c) For $X + \Xi \in \Gamma((TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1})$ we have

$$au(\gamma_{X+\Xi}\cdot\Omega)=\gamma_{\phi(X+\Xi)}\cdot\tau(\Omega)$$

Hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford modules (d) For $X_i + \Xi_i \in \Gamma((TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1})$ we have

$$\phi([\![X_1 + \Xi_1, X_2 + \Xi_2]\!]_H) = [\![\phi(X_1 + \Xi_1), \phi(X_2 + \Xi_2)]\!]_{\hat{H}}$$

Hence ϕ gives a homomorphism of twisted Courant brackets



ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- (b) The map φ is orthogonal wrt pairing on TE ⊕ T*E, hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford algebras
 (a) For Y + Ξ = Ε Ε ((TE ⊕ T*E)S¹) we have
- (c) For $X + \Xi \in \Gamma((TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1})$ we have

$$au(\gamma_{X+\Xi}\cdot\Omega)=\gamma_{\phi(X+\Xi)}\cdot\tau(\Omega)$$

Hence τ induces an isomorphism of Clifford modules (d) For $X_i + \Xi_i \in \Gamma((TE \oplus T^*E)^{S^1})$ we have

$$\phi([\![X_1 + \Xi_1, X_2 + \Xi_2]\!]_H) = [\![\phi(X_1 + \Xi_1), \phi(X_2 + \Xi_2)]\!]_{\widehat{H}}$$

Hence ϕ gives a homomorphism of twisted Courant brackets

It follows that T-duality acts naturally on generalized complex structures, generalized Kähler structures, generalized Calabi-Yau structures, ... The dimensionally reduced Dorfman bracket

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1, f_1; \xi_1, g_1) \circ (x_2, f_2; \xi_2, g_2) = \\ &([x_1, x_2], x_1(f_2) - x_2(f_1) + \imath_{x_1} \imath_{x_2} F; \\ &(\mathcal{L}_{x_1} \xi_2 - \imath_{x_2} d\xi_1) + \imath_{x_1} \imath_{x_2} H_{(3)} + (df_1 g_2 + f_2 dg_1) \\ &+ (g_2 \imath_{x_1} F - g_1 \imath_{x_2} F) + (f_2 \imath_{x_1} H_{(2)} - f_1 \imath_{x_2} H_{(2)}), \\ &x_1(g_2) - x_2(g_1) + \imath_{x_1} \imath_{x_2} H_{(2)}) \end{aligned}$$

is that of the transitive Courant algebroid $E = TM \oplus (\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^*) \oplus T^*M$ with $R = -(F, H_{(2)})$, $H = -H_{(3)}$ and $\langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}}$ the canonical pairing between \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{t}^* .



伺き くほき くほう

The dimensionally reduced Dorfman bracket

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1, f_1; \xi_1, g_1) \circ (x_2, f_2; \xi_2, g_2) = \\ &([x_1, x_2], x_1(f_2) - x_2(f_1) + \imath_{x_1} \imath_{x_2} F; \\ &(\mathcal{L}_{x_1} \xi_2 - \imath_{x_2} d\xi_1) + \imath_{x_1} \imath_{x_2} H_{(3)} + (df_1 g_2 + f_2 dg_1) \\ &+ (g_2 \imath_{x_1} F - g_1 \imath_{x_2} F) + (f_2 \imath_{x_1} H_{(2)} - f_1 \imath_{x_2} H_{(2)}), \\ &x_1(g_2) - x_2(g_1) + \imath_{x_1} \imath_{x_2} H_{(2)}) \end{aligned}$$

is that of the transitive Courant algebroid

 $E = TM \oplus (\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}^*) \oplus T^*M$ with $R = -(F, H_{(2)})$, $H = -H_{(3)}$ and $\langle , \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}}$ the canonical pairing between \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{t}^* .

[Doubling of the Atiyah algebroid corresponding to the principal S^1 -bundle]



We have

$$H = H_{(3)} + A_i \wedge H_{(2)}^i + rac{1}{2}A_i \wedge A_j \wedge H_{(1)}^{ij} + rac{1}{6}A_i \wedge A_j \wedge A_k \wedge H_{(0)}^{ijk}$$



(신문) (문)

< 🗇 ▶

We have

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{(3)}+\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^i+rac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{A}_j\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(1)}^{ij}+rac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{A}_j\wedge\mathcal{A}_k\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^{ijk}$$

such that

$$egin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{H}} = &ar{d} + \mathcal{H}_{(3)} + \mathcal{F}_{(2)i}\partial_{\mathcal{A}_i} \ &+ \mathcal{A}_i \wedge \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^i + rac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_i \wedge \mathcal{A}_j \wedge \mathcal{H}_{(1)}^{ij} + rac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}_i \wedge \mathcal{A}_j \wedge \mathcal{A}_k \wedge \mathcal{H}_{(0)}^{ijk} \end{aligned}$$



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

We have

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{(3)}+\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^i+rac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{A}_j\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(1)}^{ij}+rac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{A}_j\wedge\mathcal{A}_k\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^{ijk}$$

such that

$$d_{H} = \bar{d} + H_{(3)} + F_{(2)i}\partial_{A_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}F_{(1)ij}\partial_{A_{i}} \wedge \partial_{A_{j}} + \frac{1}{6}F_{(0)ijk}\partial_{A_{i}} \wedge \partial_{A_{j}} \wedge \partial_{A_{k}} \\ + A_{i} \wedge H_{(2)}^{i} + \frac{1}{2}A_{i} \wedge A_{j} \wedge H_{(1)}^{ij} + \frac{1}{6}A_{i} \wedge A_{j} \wedge A_{k} \wedge H_{(0)}^{ijk}$$



★ E → ★ E →

We have

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{(3)}+\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^i+rac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{A}_j\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(1)}^{ij}+rac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{A}_j\wedge\mathcal{A}_k\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^{ijk}$$

such that

$$d_{H} = \bar{d} + H_{(3)} + F_{(2)i}\partial_{A_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}F_{(1)ij}\partial_{A_{i}} \wedge \partial_{A_{j}} + \frac{1}{6}F_{(0)ijk}\partial_{A_{i}} \wedge \partial_{A_{j}} \wedge \partial_{A_{k}} \\ + A_{i} \wedge H_{(2)}^{i} + \frac{1}{2}A_{i} \wedge A_{j} \wedge H_{(1)}^{ij} + \frac{1}{6}A_{i} \wedge A_{j} \wedge A_{k} \wedge H_{(0)}^{ijk}$$

The $F_{(1)ij}$ and $F_{(0)ijk}$ are known as nongeometric fluxes



(画) (ヨ) (ヨ)

We have

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{(3)}+\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^i+rac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{A}_j\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(1)}^{ij}+rac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}_i\wedge\mathcal{A}_j\wedge\mathcal{A}_k\wedge\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^{ijk}$$

such that

$$d_{H} = \bar{d} + H_{(3)} + F_{(2)i}\partial_{A_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}F_{(1)ij}\partial_{A_{i}} \wedge \partial_{A_{j}} + \frac{1}{6}F_{(0)ijk}\partial_{A_{i}} \wedge \partial_{A_{j}} \wedge \partial_{A_{k}} \\ + A_{i} \wedge H_{(2)}^{i} + \frac{1}{2}A_{i} \wedge A_{j} \wedge H_{(1)}^{ij} + \frac{1}{6}A_{i} \wedge A_{j} \wedge A_{k} \wedge H_{(0)}^{ijk}$$

The $F_{(1)ij}$ and $F_{(0)ijk}$ are known as nongeometric fluxes

Theorem (B-Garretson-Kao)

T-duality provides an isomorphism of (certain) Courant algebroids



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

THANKS



Peter Bouwknegt Courant Algebroids and Generalizations of Geometry

(日)