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## 1. Statement of Results

A. Grothendieck first coined the term "anabelian geometry" in a letter to G. Faltings [Gro97a] as a response to Faltings' proof of the Mordell conjecture and in his celebrated Esquisse d'un Programme [Gro97b]. The "yoga" of Grothendieck's anabelian geometry is that if the étale fundamental group $\pi_{1}^{\text {ett }}(X, \bar{x})$ of a variety $X$ at a geometric point $\bar{x}$ is rich enough, then it should encode much of the information about $X$ as a variety; such varieties $X$ are called anabelian in the sense of Grothendieck, and have the property that two anabelian varieties have isomorphic étale fundamental groups if and only if they are isomorphic; and that the isomorphisms between their étale fundamental groups are precisely the isomorphisms between the varieties. Grothendieck did not specify how much extra information should be encoded, and there is currently not a consensus on the answer. An anabelian theorem (or conjecture) is a theorem (or conjecture) which asserts that a class of varieties are anabelian.

Grothendieck wrote in [Gro97a] about a number of anabelian conjectures, one regarding the moduli of curves, defined over global fields (which is still open); one regarding hyperbolic curves, defined over global fields; and a birational anabelian conjecture, which asserts that Spec of finitely-generated, infinite fields are anabelian (in this case, we say the fields themselves are anabelian). The anabelian conjecture for hyperbolic curves was proved in the 1990's by A. Tamagawa and S. Mochizuki ([Tam97], [Moc99]). The birational anabelian conjecture for finitely-generated, infinite fields is a vast generalization of the pioneering Neukirch-Ikeda-Uchida theorem for global fields ([Neu69], [Uch77], [Ike77], [Neu77]), and is now a theorem due to F. Pop [Pop94].

Grothendieck remarked that "the reason for [anabelian phenomena] seems...to lie in the extraordinary rigidity of the full fundamental group, which in turn springs from the fact that the (outer) action of the 'arithmetic' part of this group... is extraordinarily strong" [Gro97a].
F. Bogomolov had the surprising insight [Bog91] that as long as the dimension of a variety is $\geqslant 2$, anabelian phenomena can be exhibited - at least birationally - even over an algebraically closed field, even in the complete absence of the "arithmetic" part of the group Grothendieck referenced.

Given a field $K$, we let $G_{K}$ denote the absolute Galois group of $K$, the profinite group of field automorphisms of its algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ (see [NSW08] for more details). Given two fields $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, we let $\operatorname{Isom}^{i}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ denote the set of isomorphisms between the pure inseparable closures of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, up to Frobenius twists. Given two profinite groups $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, we let Isom ${ }^{\mathrm{Out}}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of continuous isomorphisms from $\Gamma_{1}$ to $\Gamma_{2}$, modulo conjugation by elements of $\Gamma_{2}$. There is a canonical map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{F_{1}, F_{2}}: \operatorname{Isom}^{i}\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}^{\mathrm{Out}}\left(G_{F_{2}}, G_{F_{1}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]which, in general, is neither injective nor surjective.
The birational theory of a variety of dimension $n$ over $K$ is encoded in its field of rational functions, and every field finitely-generated over $K$ and of transcendence degree $n$ arises as the field of rational functions of a $K$-variety of dimension $n$. F. Pop, developing Bogomolov's insight, conjectured an anabelian theorem for fields, finitely-generated and of transcendence degree $n \geqslant 2$ over an algebraically closed field $k$. We complete the proof of:
Theorem 1 (The Conjecture of Bogomolov-Por for $k=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ ). Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be fields finitelygenerated and of transcendence degree $\geqslant 2$ over $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, respectively, where $k_{1}$ is either $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$, and $k_{2}$ is algebraically closed. Then $\varphi_{F_{1}, F_{2}}$ is a bijection. Thus, function fields of varieties of dimension $\geqslant 2$ over algebraic closures of prime fields are anabelian.

In Pop11b], Pop proved that if $G_{F_{1}} \simeq G_{F_{2}}$ then $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ have the same characteristic and transcendence degree. Thus, the conjecture reduces to the case when $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are of the same characteristic and transcendence degree. Bogomolov and Tschinkel [BT08] provide a proof in the case of transcendence degree $=2$ when $k=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. Pop proved that $\varphi$ is a bijection when $F_{1}$ has transcendence degree $\geqslant 2$ and $k=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ [Pop12]; and when $F_{1}$ has transcendence degree $\geqslant 3$ and $k=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ [Pop11a]. We prove the missing case:

Theorem 2 (The Birational Anabelian Theorem for Surfaces over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ). Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be fields finitely-generated and of transcendence degree 2 over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then $\varphi_{F_{1}, F_{2}}$ is a bijection.

The proof of Theorem 2 is substantially different in structure from the other cases of Theorem 1 . They both have the same starting point, two theorems due to Pop from [Pop11a] and described in Section 3;

1. Given a subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq G_{F}$ there is a profinite group-theoretic recipe Theorem 25) which determines whether or not $\Gamma$ is a decomposition or inertia group of a Parshin chain (Definition 14).
2. Given a collection $\mathcal{S}=\left\{T_{v}\right\}$ of inertia groups of rank-1 Parshin chains (which are group-theoretically definable by Theorem 25), there is a recipe to determine whether there is a model $X$ of $F$ - that is, a smooth variety with function field $F$ - for which $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of inertia groups of Weil prime divisors centered on $X$. In this case, $\mathcal{S}$ is called a geometric set of prime divisors (Definition 24).

Previous results took data such as these and reconstructed $F$ directly, in a process which we now term birational reconstruction. However, in our approach, we instead take the pair $\left(G_{F}, \mathcal{S}\right)$ and reconstruct a model $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ of $F$ for which $\mathcal{S}$ is the collection of inertia subgroups of all prime divisors on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$. We obtain a description of the geometry of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ without first reconstructing $F$, and we call this approach geometric reconstruction. The main tool is the ability to interpret intersection theory on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ using only group theoretic recipes applied to $\mathcal{S}$ and $G_{F}$, without any knowledge of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ other than its existence; this technique is the anabelian intersection theory of the title. In Section 10 we show how Theorem 2 follows from the geometric reconstruction results which take up the bulk of the paper. A generalization of the geometric reconstruction technique in transcendence degree $\geqslant 2$ for arbitrary characteristic will come in a sequel to this paper.

Grothendieck also asked for a "purely geometric" description of the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Anabelian geometry over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ gives such a description ${ }^{1}$

Let $X$ be an irreducible, geometrically integral, algebraic variety of dimension $\geqslant 2$ defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ so that $X$ has no birational automorphisms defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. We call such an $X$ birationally, geometrically rigid. Let $k$ be the intersection of all subfields of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ over which a variety birationally equivalent

[^1]to $X$ is defined. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ be the rational function field of $X$. By our assumptions, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is a field, finitely generated over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Equation 27 shows that $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(X)}$ is a geometric object: it is the inverse limit of the profinitely-completed fundamental groups of all complements of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-divisors in $X$.

## Theorem 3 (The Geometric Description of Absolute Galois Groups of Number Fields.).

 The natural map$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{cont}}\left(G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(X)}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism, and the compact-open topology induces the topology on $G_{k}$ induced by its structure as a Galois group.

Theorem 3 answers Grothendieck's question; this is analogous to a theorem of I. Bumagin and D. Wise, which realizes any countable group as the outer automorphism group of a finitely generated group [BW02]. In Section 12, we write down, for any number field $k$, an infinite family of explicit varieties $X$ which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3 .

Any group $G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(X)}$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3 is infinitely-generated and infinitelypresented. It is then natural to ask whether absolute Galois groups of number fields are outer automorphism groups of finitely-generated, finitely-presented, profinite groups of geometric provenance.

The "smallest group" currently considered to be a candidate to give such a geometric representation is the group $\widehat{G T}$, whose study was initiated by Drinfel'd [Dri90] and Ihara [Tha91]. $\widehat{G T}$ admits an injective group homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{G T} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not known whether $\rho$ is surjective. $\widehat{G T}$ is a much-studied yet poorly-understood object; we review in Section 11 the theory we will need, and refer to [LS06] for a more exhaustive survey.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ the moduli space of genus 0 curves with 5 distinct, marked, ordered points. As part of the construction of $\widehat{G T}$, we have an injection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta: \widehat{G T} \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ett}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}\right)\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \circ \rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Out}\left(\pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the injection induced by the theory of the fundamental group.
We prove a necessary and sufficient criterion for an element of $\widehat{G T}$, as determined by its image under $\eta$, to be in the image of $\rho . \mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ is birationally equivalent to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, which gives the scheme-theoretic inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma: \operatorname{Spec}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x, y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,5} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the generic point of $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$. The étale fundamental group functor then gives a continuous surjection of profinite groups

$$
\gamma_{*}: G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x, y)} \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}\right),
$$

well-defined up to conjugation by an element of $\pi_{1}^{\text {et }}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}\right)$.
Theorem 4. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{im} \eta$. Then $\alpha \in \operatorname{im} \eta \circ \rho$ if and only if $\alpha$ satisfies the following lifting condition: there exists an automorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}: G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x, y)} \rightarrow G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x, y)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the diagram

commutes up to inner automorphisms.
This is the first necessary and sufficient geometric condition for an element of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group to lie in the image of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In Section 12 we provide explicit examples of surfaces which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3, and we conclude with the proof of Theorem 4 .

## 2. The Geometric Interpretation of Inertia and Decomposition Groups of Parshin Chains

Definition 5. Let $F$ be a field finitely generated over some algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic zero; such a field will be called a function field. Note that the field $K$ is determined by $F$ (for instance, its multiplicative group is the set of all divisible elements in the multiplicative group of $F$ ); it will be denoted by $K(F)$, and be called the field of constants of $F$. The transcendence degree of $F$ over $K(F)$ will be called the dimension of $F$. We will denote by $G_{F}$ the absolute Galois group of $F$ and $\bar{F}$ the algebraic closure of $F$.

For general theory of valuations, including proofs of the algebraic theorems cited without proofs, see [FJ08]. We will also use results from [Ser56] and [Gro03] with impunity.

Definition 6. A valuation $v$ on $F$ is an ordered group $(v F, \leqslant)$, called the value group of $v$, along with a surjective map

$$
\begin{equation*}
v: F \rightarrow v F \cup\{\infty\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies

1. $v(x)=\infty$ if and only if $x=0$.
2. $v(x y)=v(x)+v(y)$ (here, we define $\infty+g=\infty$ for all $g \in v F \cup\{\infty\}$.)
3. $v(x+y) \geqslant \min \{v(x), v(y)\}$, where we extend the ordering $\leqslant t o v F \cup\{\infty\}$ by $g \leqslant \infty$ for all $g \in v F$.

A valuation $v$ gives rise to a valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{v}$, which is the set of all $x \in F$ such that $v(x) \geqslant 0 . \mathcal{O}_{v}$ is integrally closed in $F$ and local, and we call its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{v}$. We then define the residue field to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
F v=\mathcal{O}_{v} / \mathfrak{m}_{v}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A subring $R \subseteq F$ is a valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{w}$ for some valuation $w$ if and only if for every $x \in F^{\times}$either $x \in R$ or $x^{-1} \in R$. Therefore, equivalently, we may define a valuation $v$ by its place

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{v}: F \rightarrow F v \cup\{\infty\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{v}$ is mapped to its reduction $\bmod \mathfrak{m}_{v}$ and $F \backslash \mathcal{O}_{v}$ is mapped to $\infty$. Any map from a field $F$ to another field $L$ which is a ring homomorphism "with $\infty$ " thus gives rise to a valuation on $F$.

Definition 7. Two valuations will be called equivalent if and only if they have the same valuation ring.

DEFINITION 8. If $v$ is a valuation on $F$ and $w$ is a valuation on $F v$, then we may define a valuation $w \circ v$ on $F$ by considering the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{w} \circ p_{v}: F \rightarrow(F v) w \cup\{\infty\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a place map on $F$. This valuation is called the composition of $w$ with $v$.
DEfinition 9. A model $X$ of a function field $F$ is a smooth, connected $K(F)$-scheme of finite-type with a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{X}: \operatorname{Spec} F \rightarrow X \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the structure map of the model, which identifies $F$ with the field of rational $K(F)$-functions on $X$. A normal model is a model with the requirement of smoothness replaced by normality.

DEFINITION 10. By virtue of the structure map, the models form a full subcategory of the category of schemes under Spec $F$. We define an F-morphism of models to be a morphism of varieties under $\operatorname{Spec} F$, and $\mathfrak{B i v}(F)$ the full subcategory of varieties under $\operatorname{Spec} F$ whose objects are precisely the models of $F$.

DEFINITION 11. We say that a valuation $v$ on $F$ has a center on or is centered on $X$ if $X$ admits an affine open subset $\operatorname{Spec} A$ such that $A \subset \mathcal{O}_{v}$, the valuation ring of $v$. Let $R \subset F$ be a subring giving an affine open $\operatorname{Spec} R \subseteq X$. Then the center of $v$ on $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is the Zariski closed subset of $X$ defined as $Z\left(\mathfrak{m}_{v} \cap R\right)$; the center of $v$ on $X$ is the union of the centers of $v$ on $\operatorname{Spec} R$ as $\operatorname{Spec} R$ ranges over all affine opens of $X$. We denote by $|v|$ the center of $v$.

DEFINITION 12. A prime divisor $v$ on $F$ is a discrete valuation trivial on $K(F)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg} \cdot{ }_{K(F)} F v=\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg} \cdot{ }_{K(F)} F-1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This condition is very important in birational anabelian geometry in general, and we say that $v$ has no transcendence defect; see [Pop94] for more details.)

DEFINITION 13. A rank-1 Parshin chain for $F$ is a prime divisor. A rank-i Parshin chain is a composite $w \circ v$, where $v$ is a rank- $(i-1)$ Parshin chain, and $w$ is a prime divisor on $F v$.

Definition 14. We denote by $\operatorname{Par}_{i}(F)$ the collection of $i$-Parshin chains for $F$, where $i \leqslant \operatorname{tr}$. deg. ${ }_{K(F)} F$. Given a rank- $k$ Parshin chain $v$ we denote by $\operatorname{Par}_{i}(v)$ the collection of rank- $i$ Parshin chains of the form $w \circ v . \operatorname{Par}_{i}(v)$ is empty if $i<k$; is $\{v\}$ if $i=k$; and is infinite if $i>k$. If $S \subseteq \operatorname{Par}_{k}(F)$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Par}_{i}(S)=\bigcup_{v \in S} \operatorname{Par}_{i}(v) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Par}(S)=\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{Par}_{i}(S) \text { and } \operatorname{Par}(F)=\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{Par}_{i}(F) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

EXAMPLE 15. To describe the rank-1 Parshin chains on $F$, where $F$ is the function field of a surface over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we consider the set of all pairs $(X, D)$ where $X$ is a proper model of $F$ and $D$ is a prime divisor on $X$. On this collection, we have an equivalence relation generated by the relation $\sim$, where we say

$$
(X, D) \sim\left(X^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)
$$

if there exists a rational map

$$
\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}
$$

respecting the structure maps of the models $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ such that $D$ is mapped birationally to $D^{\prime}$ by $\varphi$.
The rank-2 Parshin chains are then equivalence classes $(X, D, p)$ where $D$ is a prime divisor on $D$ and $p$ is a smooth point on $D$, and the equivalence relation $\sim$ is now generated by saying

$$
(X, D, p) \sim\left(X^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)
$$

as long as there is a rational map

$$
\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}
$$

respecting the structure maps of the models $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ so that $D$ is mapped birationally to $D^{\prime}$ by $\varphi$ and $p$ is mapped to $p^{\prime}$ by $\varphi$.

Given an algebraic extension $L \mid F$ every valuation extends to $L$, though not necessarily uniquely.
Definition 16. We define $\mathcal{X}_{v}(L \mid F)$ to be the set of valuations on $L$ which restrict to $v$ on $F$. If $L \mid F$ is Galois, then $\operatorname{Gal}(L \mid F)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{X}_{v}(L \mid F)$. For any Galois extension $L \mid F$ and $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{X}_{v}(L \mid F)$ we define the decomposition group

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F)={ }_{\operatorname{def}}\left\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L \mid F) \mid \sigma\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{v}}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{v}}\right\} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $D_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F)$ has a normal subgroup, the inertia group $T_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F)$, defined as the set of elements which act as the identity on $L \tilde{v}$.

We have a short-exact sequence, the decomposition-inertia exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow T_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F) \rightarrow D_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(L \tilde{v} \mid F v) \rightarrow 1 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2} \in \mathcal{X}_{v}(L \mid F)$ and $\tilde{v}_{1}=\sigma \tilde{v}_{2}$ for some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L \mid F)$, then

$$
D_{\tilde{v}_{1}}(L \mid F)=\sigma^{-1} D_{\tilde{v}_{2}}(L \mid F) \sigma \text { and } T_{\tilde{v}_{1}}(L \mid F)=\sigma^{-1} T_{\tilde{v}_{2}}(L \mid F) \sigma .
$$

Thus, all $D_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F)$ and $T_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F)$, respectively, are conjugate for a given $v$, and when the lift is not important, we denote some element of the conjugacy class of subgroups by $D_{v}(L \mid F)$ and $T_{v}(L \mid F)$, respectively. We define $D_{v}$ and $T_{v}$, respectively, to be $D_{v}(\bar{F} \mid F)$ and $T_{v}(\bar{F} \mid F)$.

For any Galois extension $L \mid F$, a valuation $v$ on $F$ and a valuation $w$ on $F v$, we may choose $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{X}_{v}(L \mid F)$ and $\tilde{w} \in \mathcal{X}_{w}(L \tilde{v} \mid F v)$. There is then a natural short exact sequence, the composite inertia sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow T_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F) \rightarrow T_{\tilde{w} \circ \tilde{v}}(L \mid F) \rightarrow T_{\tilde{w}}(L \tilde{v} \mid F v) \rightarrow 1, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{w} \subseteq G_{F v}$, for any composite of valuations. Thus, if $T_{\tilde{v}}(L \mid F)$ is trivial,

$$
T_{\tilde{w}} \simeq T_{\tilde{w}} \circ \tilde{v} .
$$

We will use three different types of fundamental groups, with the following notation:

1. $\pi_{1}^{\text {top }}$ will denote the topological fundamental group, the fundamental group of a fiber functor on the category of topological covers of a topological space; covering space theory [Hat02] shows that $\pi_{1}^{\text {top }}$ can be computed using based homotopy classes of maps into $S^{1}$; this is the original fundamental group considered by Poincaré Poi10].
2. $\hat{\pi}_{1}$ will denote the profinite completion of $\pi_{1}^{\text {top }}$, the fundamental group of a fiber functor on the category of finite topological covers of a topological space.
3. $\pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}$ will denote the étale fundamental group.

For a normal variety $X$ over an algebraically closed subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, one has an equivalence between the category of finite, étale covers of $X$ and the finite, unramified covers of $X^{\text {an }}$, its corresponding analytic space over $\mathbb{C}$, by [GR57]. This leads immediately to the

Theorem 17 (Comparison Theorem). Let $X$ be a normal variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and $x \in X(\mathbb{C})$. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\pi}_{1}\left(X^{\mathrm{an}}, x\right) \simeq \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ett}}(X, x) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every known computation of nonabelian fundamental groups of varieties factors through this comparison theorem; in characteristic $p$, for instance, this is combined with Grothendieck's specialization theorem [Gro03, X.2.4] to obtain information about fundamental groups.

Let now $K=\mathbb{C}$ and $F$ be a function field over $\mathbb{C}$. Then we have the following interpretation of $D_{v}$ when $v$ is a prime divisor. First, $v$ is the valuation associated to a Weil prime divisor on some normal model $X$ of $F$ - that is, a normal variety with function field $F$, considered as a $\mathbb{C}$-scheme. Given $X$, there is a corresponding normal analytic space $X^{\text {an }}$. Let $X$ be a model of $F$ on which $|v|$ is a prime divisor.

Example 18. The exceptional divisor $E$ on $\mathrm{Bl}_{p}(X)$, the blowup at some closed point $p$ of $X$, gives a prime divisor on $F$ but its center on $X$ is not codimension 1 and so is not centered as a prime divisor on $X$.

Let $D^{\prime} \subseteq X$ be the nonsingular locus of $|v|$; notice that the underlying topological space of $D^{\prime}$ is connected, as $v$ is a prime divisor.

DEFINITION 19. Let $\mathcal{N}$ then be a normal disc bundle for (equivalently, a tubular neighborhood of) $D^{\prime}$ and

$$
\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{M} \backslash D^{\prime}
$$

the complement of $D^{\prime}$ in its normal disc bundle $\mathcal{N}$, which admits the normal bundle fiber sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\pi} D^{\prime} \longrightarrow 1, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is one of the fibers.
Let $p$ be a point on $\mathcal{F}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}$, like all fibers of $\pi$, is a once-punctured disk and thus is homotopy equivalent to a circle. There is a surjection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho: G_{F} \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{\text {et }}(X, p) \simeq \hat{\pi}_{1}\left(X^{\mathrm{an}}, p\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(proof: each normal étale cover of $X$ gives a normal extension of its field of functions) whose kernel we will define to have fixed field $F_{X}$, and the following commutative diagram:


Then we have
Proposition 20 (The Geometric Theory of Decomposition and Inertia Groups). In the short exact sequence [22:

1. The top row is a central extension of groups, as the normal bundle is complex-oriented.
2. The image of $\hat{\pi}_{1}(\mathcal{F}, p)$ in $\pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}(X, p)$ is a $T_{v}\left(F_{X} \mid F\right)$.
3. The image of $\hat{\pi}_{1}(\mathcal{T}, p)$ in $\pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}(X, p)$ is a $D_{v}\left(F_{X} \mid F\right)$.
4. $\hat{\pi}_{1}\left(D^{\prime}, \pi(p)\right)$ is a quotient of $G_{F v}$, corresponding to covers of $D^{\prime}$ pulled back from covers of $X$.

We will denote by $t_{v}$ a generator of $\pi_{1}^{\text {top }}(\mathcal{F}, p) \subseteq \hat{\pi}_{1}(\mathcal{F}, p)$, as well as any of its images in $\pi_{1}^{\text {ett }}(X, p)$ or $T_{v}\left(F_{X} \mid F\right)$.

DEfinition 21. We refer to such a $t_{v}$ as a meridian of $v$.
Each meridian is almost unique - its inverse also gives a meridian of $v$, albeit "in the opposite direction". This should be viewed as a "loop normal to or around $|v|$ ". Its image generates $\hat{\pi}_{1}(\mathcal{F}, p)$. In general, if we are working in a situation in which we do not specify a basepoint, the meridian becomes defined only up to conjugacy.

DEFINITION 22. Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of a group $\Pi$. Then the abelianization functor gives a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ab}: \Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\Gamma^{a}$ the image of ab. In particular, given $v$ a valuation, and $\Pi$ a quotient of $G_{F}$ or $\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{top}}(X)$ for some model of $X$, we will denote by $T_{v}^{a}$ and $D_{v}^{a}$ the images of inertia and decomposition, respectively, in $\Pi^{\mathrm{ab}}$, which will sometimes appear in the sequel as $H_{1}$. We let $t_{v}^{a}$ be the image of a meridian in $\Pi^{\mathrm{ab}}$.

We can also define the meridian of a valuation $v$ on a model $X$ if $|v|$ is smooth, and extend the definition to non-smooth $|v|$ as follows. We resolve the singularities of $|v|$ on $X$ to get a birational map

$$
\eta: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X
$$

such that

1. $\eta$ is an isomorphism outside of $|v|$.
2. $|v| \subseteq \tilde{X}$ is smooth.

Then we define a meridian $t_{v}$ on $X$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{v}=\eta_{*}\left(t_{v}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{v}$ is a meridian on $\tilde{X}$. To see this is well-defined, if we have two such maps $\eta, \eta^{\prime}$ as in the following diagram:

we may always construct $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ birational morphisms so that:

1. The above diagram commutes.
2. $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \eta \circ \varphi, \eta^{\prime} \circ \varphi^{\prime}$ are isomorphisms outside of $|v|$.
3. $|v|$ is smooth in $\tilde{X}^{\prime \prime}$.

In this case, the meridians in $X$ defined by $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\eta$ are the image of a meridian in $\tilde{X}^{\prime \prime}$ under $\eta \circ \varphi$ and $\eta^{\prime} \circ \varphi^{\prime}$ so, by commutativity of the diagram, the two meridians are the same.

There is also the notion of a meridian for a higher-rank Parshin chain; we give here the notion for a rank-2 Parshin chain.
Definition 23. Let $L$ be an algebraic extension of $F, w \circ v$ a rank-2 Parshin chain on $F$, and $X$ a model of $F$ on which $v$ has a center, but on which $w \circ v$ is not centered. Then the meridian of the rank-2 Parshin chain $t_{\text {wov }}$ for $L \mid F$ is the element of $\operatorname{Aut}(L \mid F)$ induced by the inverse limit of the monodromies of a loop on $|v|$ around the point induced by $w$ on the normalization of $|v|$. As in Definition 22, any image in an abelianization will be denoted $t_{\text {wov }}^{a}$.

Let $F$ have dimension $n$. Then if $v$ is an $n-1$-dimensional Parshin chain, $F v$ is the function field of a curve over $K . F v$ is equipped with a fundamental, birational invariant: its unramified genus $g(v)$. We can compute this as follows:

$$
g(v)=\operatorname{rk}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} D v^{a} /\left\langle T v^{a}, T_{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{p \in \operatorname{Par}_{n}(v)} .
$$

## 3. Geometric Sets and the Maximal Smooth Model

Definition 24. We say that a set $\mathcal{S}$ of prime divisors of a function field $F$ is a geometric set (of $F$ ) if and only if there exists a normal model $X$ of $F$ such that $\mathcal{S}$ is precisely the set of valuations with centers Weil prime divisors on $X$. In this case, we write

$$
\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{D}(X)
$$

If $X$ is smooth, we say $X$ is a model of $\mathcal{S}$.
THEOREM 25 (POP). If $F$ is a function field with $K(F)=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, tr. deg. $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} F \geqslant 2$, and let $\Gamma \subseteq G_{F}$ be a closed subgroup, up to conjugacy. Then there is a topological group-theoretic criterion, given one of the representatives of $\Gamma$ to determine whether there exists $i$ and $v \in \operatorname{Par}_{i}(F)$ such that $\Gamma=T_{v}$ or $\Gamma=D_{v}$, and what this $i$ is if it exists.

This theorem is proven with $G_{F}$ replaced by the pro- $\ell$ completion of $G_{F}$ in [Pop11a]. To see this for $G_{F}$ as a whole, we may apply Key Lemma 5.1 of [Pop11b]. As the maximal length of a Parshin chain is the transcendence degree of $F$, this recipe immediately determines the transcendence degree of $F$. Pop also proved [Pop11b]:
Theorem 26 (Pop). Given a geometric set $\mathcal{S}$ of prime divisors on $F$,

1. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a geometric set of prime divisors on $F$, then a (possibly different) set $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ of prime divisors on $F$ is a geometric set if and only if it has finite symmetric difference with $\mathcal{S}$.
2. There exists a group-theoretic recipe to recover

$$
\mathfrak{G e o m}(F)=\left\{\left\{\left(T_{v}, D_{v}\right) \mid v \in \mathcal{S}\right\} \mid \mathcal{S} \text { a geometric set }\right\}
$$

DEfinition 27. If $\mathcal{S}$ is any set of prime divisors on $F$, we define the fundamental group of $\mathcal{S}$ to be:

$$
\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}=G_{F} /\left\langle T_{v}\right\rangle_{v \in \mathcal{S}}
$$

Here, $\left\langle T_{v}\right\rangle_{v \in \mathcal{S}}$ is the smallest closed, normal subgroup of $G_{F}$ which contains every element in every conjugacy class in each $T_{v}$. If $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ is a subset, then we denote by

$$
\rho_{\mathcal{T S}}: \Pi_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}
$$

the restriction map, and drop subscripts when they are unambiguous.

Given a geometric set $\mathcal{S}$, there are many possible $X$ such that $\mathcal{D}(X)=\mathcal{S}$; for instance, any model less a finite subset of points has the same set of prime divisors. We now define the maximal model on which we will be able to effect our intersection theory.

Theorem 28. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a geometric set for a function field $F$ of dimension 2. There exists a unique model $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ of $F$ such that the following holds:

1. $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}))=\mathcal{S}$.
2. $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is smooth.
3. $\hat{\pi}_{1}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{an}}, p\right) \simeq \pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}), p) \simeq \Pi_{\mathcal{S}}$.
4. If $X$ is any other smooth model of $F$ which satisfies $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{D}(X)$, then there exists a unique $F$ morphism $X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$, and this is a smooth embedding.

Proof. Let $U$ be a model of $\mathcal{S}$, and let $X$ be a smooth compactification of $U$. Let

$$
\partial=\mathcal{D}(X) \backslash \mathcal{D}(U)
$$

be the collection of field-theoretic prime divisors in the boundary of $U$ in $X$. This is a finite set, as the boundary divisor is itself a finite union of prime divisors. We now define a sequence of pairs ( $X_{i}, \partial_{i}$ ) of varieties $X_{i}$ and finite sets of divisors $\partial_{i} \subset \mathcal{D}(X)$ inductively as follows:

1. Let $X_{1}=X, \partial_{1}=\partial$.
2. We now construct $\left(X_{i+1}, \partial_{i+1}\right)$ from $\left(X_{i}, \partial_{i}\right)$. First, take the collection $\left\{v_{j}\right\} \subseteq \partial_{i}$ such that each $\left|v_{j}\right|$ is a -1 -curve such that no other $\left|v^{\prime}\right|$ that intersects it in the boundary is a -1 -curve, and blow down. Set $X_{i+1}$ to be this blowdown, and $\partial_{i+1}=\partial_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{j}\right\}$.

As $\partial_{1}$ is finite, at some point, this sequence becomes stationary - let's say at $\left(X_{n}, \partial_{n}\right)$. Then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\max }=X_{n} \backslash \bigcup_{v \in \partial_{n}}|v| . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove that this satisfies property 4 let $U^{\prime}$ be another model and $X^{\prime}$ a smooth compactification of it. Run the algorithm on $X^{\prime}$ to get a pair $\left(X_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \partial_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ Then by strong factorization for surfaces (see Corollary 1-8-4, [Mat02]), there exists a roof

where $\delta$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are both sequences of blowups. For any morphism

$$
\varphi: Z \rightarrow Z^{\prime}
$$

of varieties we may define the exceptional locus

$$
\mathcal{E}(\varphi)=\left\{p \in Z^{\prime} \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi^{-1}(p)\right) \geqslant 1\right\}
$$

Let $p \in \mathcal{E}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \cap U_{\text {max }}$. Then $\delta^{\prime-1}(p)$ is connected, so $\delta\left(\delta^{\prime-1}(p)\right)$ is also connected. It is proper, so is either a union of divisors or a point. If it is a union of divisors and one of these divisors were in $U_{\max }^{\prime}$, this divisor
would be contracted, so $U_{\max }$ and $U_{\max }^{\prime}$ would not have the same codimension 1 theory. We may argue the same way for $\delta$. Thus, $\left.\delta \circ \delta^{\prime-1}\right|_{U_{\max } \backslash \mathcal{E}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)}$ is well-defined and regular outside codimension 2, so extends to a morphism

$$
\delta \circ \delta^{\prime-1}: U_{\max } \rightarrow U_{\max }^{\prime}
$$

injective on closed points. By the same argument we may produce the inverse

$$
\delta^{\prime} \circ \delta^{-1}: U_{\max }^{\prime} \rightarrow U_{\max }
$$

so we have that the maximal smooth model is indeed unique up to isomorphism.
To prove property 3 we note that the map

$$
U \rightarrow U_{\max }
$$

gives a natural equivalence of the category of étale covers of $U$ with the category of étale covers of $U_{\max }$, by the Nagata-Zariski purity theorem [Gro05, X.3.4], and thus gives an isomorphism on fundamental groups by Theorem 17 .

Corollary 29. Let $U$ be an affine or projective smooth variety with function field $F$. Then $U=$ $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}(U))$.

Proof. If $U$ is proper, the algorithm in the proof of Theorem 28 terminates immediately, so $U_{\max }=U$.
If $U$ is affine, let $\iota: U \rightarrow U_{\max }$ be the embedding of $U$ as an affine open of $U_{\max }$. Assume there were a closed point $x \in U_{\max } \backslash U$. Then there is an affine neighborhood $U^{\prime} \subset U_{\max }$ such that $x \in U^{\prime}$. Then $U^{\prime} \cap U$ is affine. Its complement $U^{\prime} \backslash\left(U^{\prime} \cap U\right)$ must then contain a divisor, which contains $x$, and is not contained in $U$. Thus, $U_{\text {max }}$ has a different codimension-1 theory from $U$, which gives a contradiction.

Now, let $F$ be a function field over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and fix an embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Then if $\mathcal{X}_{F}$ is the inverse system of all smooth models of $F$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{F} \simeq \lim _{X \in \mathcal{X}_{F}} \hat{\pi}_{1}\left(\left(X \times_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\right)^{\mathrm{an}}\right), \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we will leave the notion of basepoint ambiguous (as we never need to specify it); the isomorphism 27 is highly noncanonical, but well-defined up to conjugation.

## 4. The Local Theory: The Intersection Theorem

The following theorem shows how the fundamental group detects intersections in the best-case scenario.
Theorem 30 (The Local Anabelian Intersection Theorem). Let $X$ be a smooth (not necessarily proper) surface over $\mathbb{C}$, and let $C_{1}$ be a unibranch germ of an algebraic curve at a point $p \in X$ and $C_{2}$ an irreducible, reduced algebraic curve on $X$ (a prime divisor), with distinct branches $\gamma_{j}$ at $p$, with $C_{1}$ distinct from each branch of $C_{2}$. Let $Y=X \backslash C_{2}$ be the complement of $C_{2}$ in $X$; this is an open subvariety of $X$. Let $w \circ v_{1}$ be the rank-two Parshin chain corresponding to $C_{1}$ at $p$, and let $v_{2}$ be the Weil prime divisor corresponding to $C_{2}$. Then, we may choose meridians $t_{v_{2}}^{a}$ and $t_{w \circ v_{1}}^{a}$ in $\pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}\left(X \backslash C_{2}\right)^{\text {ab }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{w \circ v_{1}}^{a}=i\left(p, C_{1} \cdot C_{2} ; X\right) t_{v_{2}}^{a}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i\left(p, C_{1} \cdot C_{2} ; X\right)$ is the local intersection number as defined in Fulton [Ful98] Definition 7.1]. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{v_{2}}\left(F_{X \backslash C_{2}} \mid F\right)^{a}: T_{w \circ v_{1}}\left(F_{X \backslash C_{2}} \mid F\right)^{a}\right] \mid i\left(p, C_{1} \cdot C_{2} ; X\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality iff $i\left(p, C_{1} \cdot C_{2} ; X\right)\left|\left|T_{v_{2}}\left(F_{X \backslash C_{2}} \mid F\right)^{a}\right|\right.$ or $T_{v_{2}}\left(F_{X \backslash C_{2}} \mid F\right)^{a}$ or $T_{w \circ v_{1}}\left(F_{X \backslash C_{2}} \mid F\right)^{a}$ are infinite in $\pi_{1}^{\text {et }}\left(X \backslash C_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$.

Lemma 31 (Reeve's Lemma). We use the notation of Theorem 30 There is a neighborhood $B \subseteq X$ of $p$ biholomorphic to a unit ball $B \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2}$ about the origin so that $p$ corresponds to $(0,0)$. Let $\partial B$ be the boundary of $B$, which is homeomorphic to a 3 -sphere. Then for small enough such $B$,

1. $H_{1}\left(\partial B \backslash\left(C_{2} \cap \partial B\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \oplus_{j} \mathbb{Z} t_{\gamma_{j}}^{a}$, the direct sum generated by the meridian around each branch, where each curve goes in the counterclockwise direction after identifying the normal bundle with an open disc in $\mathbb{C}$.
2. $C_{1}$ intersects $\partial B$ in a loop $\ell$, which can be given an orientation by the complex orientation on $X$. Then in $H_{1}\left(\partial B \backslash\left(C_{2} \cap \partial B\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\sum_{j} i\left(p, C_{1} \cdot \gamma_{j} ; X\right) t_{\gamma_{j}}^{a} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We include a simple proof of this lemma (communicated to us by David Massey), which seems to have been first written down in the difficult-to-access Reeve [Ree55], and it seems to be sufficiently well-known to experts that it does not occur elsewhere in the literature.

Proof. We prove the two assertions in order.

1. Embedded algebraic curves have isolated singularities, so each $\gamma_{j}$ intersects $\partial B$ in a smoothly embedded $S^{1}$ (by the inverse function theorem), and each of these circles are disjoint. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence gives the first claim immediately.
2. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(x, y)=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a local equation for $\gamma_{j}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \mapsto(x(u), y(u)) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a local parameterization of the normalization $\tilde{C}_{1}$ of $C_{1}$ at $p$. By [Ful98, Example 1.2.5b]

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(p, C_{1} \cdot \gamma_{j} ; X\right)=v_{u}\left(f_{j}(x(u), y(u)),\right. \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $u$-adic valuation - or order of vanishing - of $f_{j}(x(u), y(u)) \in \mathbb{C}[[u]]$.
Then the intersection with $\partial B$ of $C_{1}$ can be taken to be a path $\eta:[0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with winding number one around the origin so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(t)=(x(\eta(t)), y(\eta(t))) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{j}: H_{1}\left(\partial B \backslash\left(C_{2} \cap \partial B\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} t_{\gamma_{j}}^{a} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the projection given by the direct sum decomposition as above. Consider the differential 1-form $d \log f_{j}(x, y)$ restricted to $\partial B$. Then

$$
\pi_{j}(\ell(t))=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\ell(t)} d \log f_{j}(x, y)
$$

on $B$, as $d \log f_{j}(x, y)$ is holomorphic away from the zero-set of $f_{j}$ and otherwise measures the winding of a loop around the zero-set. But this pulls back to the contour integral

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\eta(t)} d \log f(x(u(t)), y(u(t)))
$$

which evaluates exactly to $v_{u}\left(f_{j}(x(u), y(u))\right)$ by the Residue Theorem.

Proof of ThEOREM 30. Let $\partial B$ be as in Lemma 31. Then given the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota: \partial B \backslash\left(C_{2} \cap \partial B\right) \longrightarrow X \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

we must compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{*}: H_{1}\left(\partial B \backslash\left(C_{2} \cap \partial B\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

But from Proposition 20, for each $j$,

$$
i_{*}\left(t_{\gamma_{j}}^{a}\right)=t_{v_{2}}^{a}
$$

and in the notation of Proposition 20.

$$
\ell=t_{w \circ v_{1}}^{a} .
$$

Thus by linearity, we have

$$
t_{w \circ v_{1}}^{a}=\sum_{j} i\left(p, C_{1} \cdot \gamma_{j} ; X\right) t_{v_{2}}^{a}=i\left(p, C_{1} \cdot C_{2} ; X\right) t_{v_{2}}^{a}
$$

## 5. The Global Theory I: Points and Local Intersection Numbers

Definition 32. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a geometric set.

1. Let $v \in \mathcal{S}$. We define for every rank 2 Parshin chain $p \circ v$ the subset

$$
\Delta(p \circ v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
w \in \mathcal{S} & \begin{array}{l}
\forall Y \subset \mathcal{S} \text { finite, s.t. } w \in Y \text { and } T_{w} \text { is torsion-free in } \\
\Pi_{\mathcal{S} \backslash Y}^{a b}, T_{p \circ v}^{a} \neq\{0\} \text { in } \Pi_{\mathcal{S} \backslash Y}^{a b}
\end{array} \tag{38}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

2. We say $p \circ v \sim p^{\prime} \circ v^{\prime}$ if and only if $\Delta(p \circ v)=\Delta\left(p^{\prime} \circ v^{\prime}\right)$, and the equivalence class of rank-two Parshin chains will be denoted by $[p \circ v]$ and called a point. Given such a point $[p \circ v]$, we will use $\Delta([p \circ v])$ to denote, for any $p^{\prime} \circ w \in[p \circ v], \Delta\left(p^{\prime} \circ w\right)$.
3. We say that a prime divisor $w \in \mathcal{S}$ intersects a point $[p \circ v]$ if $w \in \Delta([p \circ v])$.
4. The set of points on $\mathcal{S}$ is denoted $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$.
5. If $v \in \mathcal{S}$ then $\mathcal{P}(v)$ will be the set of points which contain an element of the form $p \circ v$.
6. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ the closed $K$-points of the maximal smooth model $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{S}$.

By Theorem 30, Equation 38 roughly says that whenever we have removed so many divisors that $T_{w}^{a}$ is large enough to detect any possible intersection between $|p \circ v|$ and $|w|$, it does.

DEFINITION 33. Let $Y \subset \mathcal{S}$ be a finite subset. Then we say that $Y$ recognizes the intersection of $v$ at $p$ if $T_{v}^{a}$ is torsion-free in $\Pi_{\mathcal{S} \backslash Y}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $Y \cap \Delta(p)=\{v\}$.

DEFINITION 34. Let $X$ be a smooth surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $D_{1}, D_{2}$ be two divisors on $X$. We define the total intersection product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{1} \cdot D_{2}\right)=\sum_{p \in D_{1}} i\left(p, D_{1} \cdot D_{2} ; X\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 35 (The Algebraic Inertia Theorem). In this theorem (and its proof), all homology will be taken with integral coefficients. Let $\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite collection of smooth, distinct prime divisors on a smooth, proper, complex, algebraic surface $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\bigcup_{i \in I} D_{i} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

is simple normal crossing. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}: \mathrm{NS}(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} t_{v_{i}}^{a} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}(D)=\bigoplus_{i \in I}\left(D \cdot\left|v_{i}\right|\right) t_{v_{i}}^{a} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is a short-exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NS}(X) \xrightarrow{\eta_{1}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} t_{v_{i}}^{a} \longrightarrow\left\langle t_{v_{i}}^{a}\right\rangle_{i \in I} \subseteq H_{1}(X \backslash D) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

We omit the proof, as it is a long, but straightforward application of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and the Hodge-Lefschetz (1, 1)-theorem.

Corollary 36 (The Separation of Inertia Criterion). We preserve the notation of Theorem 35 Suppose that, in addition to the hypotheses, for each $i, j \in I$ there does not exist $E \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$ s.t. for every $k \in I \backslash\{i, j\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E \cdot D_{k}\right)=0, \text { but }\left(E \cdot D_{i}\right) \neq 0 \text { or }\left(E \cdot D_{j}\right) \neq 0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $i \in I$, let $v_{i}$ be the prime divisor on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ associated to $D_{i}$. Then in $H_{1}(X \backslash D)$,

1. $T_{v_{i}}^{a} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.
2. For $j \in I, j \neq i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{v_{i}}^{a} \cap T_{v_{j}}^{a}=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We apply the hypothesis in Corollary 36 to Equation 43 to see that there are no elements in the image of $\partial$ none which are zero at all but one component to prove the first claim, and which are zero at all but two components to prove the second claim.

Lemma 37 (DIVISOR EXISTENCE LEMMA). Let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{j}$ be a finite set of divisors on a smooth surface, and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be a finite set of points. Then there are infinitely (in fact "generically") many prime divisors which intersect each of the $D_{i}$ but not at the $p_{i}$.

Proof. Choose a very ample divisor $C$. Then $C \cdot D_{i}>0$ for all $i$. Then having an intersection at $p_{i}$ is a closed condition (since $C$ is basepoint-free), and so the divisors which do not intersect at those points form an open, nonempty subset of the linear system $|C|$, which is then infinite.

Corollary 38. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a geometric set and let $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$. Let $v \in \mathcal{S}$ and let $p \circ v$ be a rank-2 Parshin chain such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p \circ v|=P . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

1. $w \in \Delta(p \circ v)$ if and only if $P \in|w|$.
2. If $w \in \Delta(p \circ v)$ then there exists a set $Y \subset \mathcal{S}$ which recognizes the intersection of $w$ and $[p \circ v]$.
3. If $v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $p^{\prime} \circ v^{\prime}$ is not centered on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$, then $\Delta\left(p^{\prime} \circ v^{\prime}\right) \neq \Delta(p \circ v)$.

Then there is a canonical map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota: \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota(x)=\{v \in \mathcal{S}|x \in| v \mid\} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

This map is always an injection, and is a bijection if and only if $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is proper.
Proof. Let $X$ be a smooth compactification of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ with only simple normal crossings at the boundary and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \mathcal{S}=\operatorname{Div}(X) \backslash \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \operatorname{Par}_{1}(F) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the prime divisors supported as prime divisors on the boundary of $X$.

1. Let $P \in|w|$ and $\Upsilon \subset \mathcal{S}$ a cofinite subset which does not contain $w$ and so that $T_{w}^{a}$ is torsion-free in $\Pi_{\Upsilon}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Then Lemma 31 implies that the projection of $T_{p \circ v}^{a}$ to the $T_{w}^{a}$-part of the direct summand is torsion-free in $T_{w}^{a}$ in any $\Pi_{\Upsilon}^{a b}$, so must be torsion-free itself, so $w$ satisfies the properties of an element of $\Delta(p \circ v)$.
2. Lemma 37 shows that there exists a finite set $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of prime divisors such that $\left\{\left|\beta_{i}\right|\right\}_{i \in I} \cup\{|w|\} \cup$ $\{|\beta|\}_{\beta \in \partial \mathcal{S}}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 36, and so $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \cup\{w\}$ recognizes the intersection of $w$ with $p \circ v$.
3. This follows immediately from the fact that there exists a prime divisor in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ which intersects the boundary at $\left|p^{\prime} \circ v^{\prime}\right|$ and does not intersect $|p \circ v|$, so the valuation associated to this divisor will be in $\Delta\left(p^{\prime} \circ v^{\prime}\right)$ but not in $\Delta(p \circ v)$.

The existence and injectivity of the map $\iota$ is now straightforward. The bijectivity in case of properness follows from the valuative criterion for properness.

Definition 39. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a geometric set, $v, w \in \mathcal{S}$, and $p \circ w$ a rank-2 Parshin chain. Then we define the local intersection number $(p, v \cdot w ; \mathcal{S})$ as follows:

1. If there does not exist a set $Y$ which recognizes the intersection of $v$ at $p$ (and this includes the case where $v \notin \Delta(p)$, then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p, v \cdot w ; \mathcal{S})=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Otherwise, let $Y$ recognize the intersection of $v$ at $p$. Then we define

$$
(p, v \cdot w ; \mathcal{S})=\left[T_{v}: T_{p o w}\right]_{\Pi_{\mathcal{S} \backslash Y}} .
$$

THEOREM 40. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a geometric set, $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ a point with a center $|p| \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ and $v \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p^{\prime} \circ w \in p, w \in \mathcal{S}}\left(p^{\prime}, v \cdot w ; \mathcal{S}\right)=i(|p|,|v| \cdot|w| ; \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Corollary 38, either both sides of Equation 51 are zero, or there exists a geometric set which recognizes the intersection of $p$ with $v$. Now, each $p^{\prime} \circ w \in p$ represents a branch of $|w|$ at $p$, and we will call this germ $\xi_{p^{\prime} \circ w}$. We then have by the Local Anabelian Intersection Formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(|p|,|v| \cdot|w| ; \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}))=\sum_{\left|p^{\prime} \circ w\right| \in p} i\left(|p|,|v| \cdot \xi_{p^{\prime} \circ w} ; \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})\right)=\sum_{\left|p^{\prime} \circ w\right| \in p}\left(p^{\prime}, v \cdot w ; \mathcal{S}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

DEFINITION 41. Let $p \circ v$ be a rank-2 Parshin chain with $v \in \mathcal{S}$. Then we say that $p \circ v$ is a nonnodal chain for $\mathcal{S}$ if $[p \circ v]$ is distinct from every other $\left[p^{\prime} \circ v\right]$, and we say that $p \circ v$ is a noncuspidal chain if there exists a $v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\left(p, v^{\prime} \cdot v ; \mathcal{S}\right)=1$. A rank-2 Parshin chain which is both nonnodal and noncuspidal will be called a smooth chain.

Proposition 42. If $|p \circ v| \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ then $p \circ v$ is nonnodal (resp. noncuspidal) if and only if $|v|$ is not nodal (resp. cuspidal) at $|p \circ v|$.

PROOF. This follows directly from Corollary 38 and the definition of a nodal, resp. cuspidal, point on a curve.

Recall that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \subset 2^{\operatorname{Par}_{2}(F)}$; thus, each point of each geometric set is a subset of a larger set, and we will consider them as sets in the next sequence of definitions.

DEFINITION 43. Let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ be a geometric set, and let $p \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)$. Then we define the $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$-limits of $p$ in $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{\mathcal{S}}(p)=\{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \mid \pi \cap p \neq \varnothing\} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

DEfinition 44. If $v \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, then we define the $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$-limits of $v$ in $\mathcal{S}$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{\mathcal{S}}(v)=\bigcup_{p \circ v \in \operatorname{Par}_{2}(v)} \operatorname{Lim}_{\mathcal{S}}([p \circ v]) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

DEFINITION 45. Let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ be a geometric set so that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Then we define the boundary points of $\mathcal{S}$ relative to $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{S})=\bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \backslash \mathcal{S}} \operatorname{Lim}_{\mathcal{S}}(v) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the interior points of $\mathcal{S}$ relative to $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \backslash \partial_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{S}) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

DEFINITION 46. We say that a point $[p \circ v]$ is absolutely uncentered on $\mathcal{S}$ if $T_{p^{\prime} \circ v^{\prime}}$ is nontrivial in the total fundamental group $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $S$ for some $p^{\prime} \circ v^{\prime} \in[p \circ v]$. We define

$$
a(\mathcal{S})=\{[p \circ w] \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \mid[p \circ w] \text { absolutely uncentered }\}
$$

We define the candidate points of $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \backslash a(\mathcal{S})
$$

and these are the points which are not absolutely uncentered.

We have immediately:
Proposition 47. For any geometric set $\mathcal{S}$,

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})
$$

That is, absolutely uncentered points of $\mathcal{S}$ do not have centers on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$, and candidate points have a chance.
The converse to this proposition is false in general:
Example 48. Let $F=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x, y)$, and $X=\operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x, y]$ and $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{Div}(X)$. If $p \circ v$ is not centered on $X$, then the algebraic inertia theorem (accounting for resolution of singularities) shows that $T_{p o v}^{a}=\mathbb{Z}$ in any divisor complement, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(p \circ v)=\mathcal{S} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

But as $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is trivial,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})=X \cup\{\infty\} . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for the "visible affine opens" we define below, the converse is true. The first goal of Anabelian Intersection Theory is to identify these special geometric sets, and use this to construct a salvage of the converse.

## 6. The Global Theory II: Visible Affines and Properness

In this section, we fix a two-dimensional function field $F$.
DEFINITION 49. Let $U$ be a model of $F$ which admits a surjective map

$$
\pi: U \longrightarrow B
$$

to a hyperbolic curve B, with smooth, hyperbolic fibers of the same genus with at least three punctures. We call $U$ a visible affine of $F$ (this is a topological fibration, if not a Zariski fibration). There is then a horizontal-vertical decomposition

$$
\mathcal{D}(U)=\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V}
$$

into horizontal divisors (the members of $\mathcal{H}$ ) and vertical divisors (the members of $\mathcal{V}$ ), where the vertical divisors are given as the fibers of $\pi$. This $\pi$ determines the horizontal-vertical decomposition, and a horizontal-vertical decomposition determines $\pi$ up to automorphisms of the base.

Proposition 50. Let $U$ be a visible affine of $F$ with horizontal-vertical decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}(U)=\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

1. $\iota(\mathcal{P}(U))=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}(U))$.
2. For any $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}, D_{v}=D_{v^{\prime}}$ in $\Pi_{\mathcal{D}(U)}$.
3. For any $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $\pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}(B)=\Pi_{\mathcal{D}(U)} / D_{v}$.
4. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial B=\bar{B} \backslash B \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for each $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $p \in \partial B$ there exists $q \circ h \in \operatorname{Par}_{2}(h)$ such that $0 \neq \pi_{*}\left(T_{q \circ h}^{a}\right) \subseteq T_{p}^{a}$, the closure of a group generated by a meridian around $p$ in $B$. In particular, let

$$
I_{U}^{h}=\left\langle T_{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{p \in \operatorname{Par}_{2}(h)}
$$

be the closure of the subgroup of $\pi_{1}^{\text {ett }}(U)^{\text {ab }}$ generated by all inertia of rank-2 valuations, and let $I_{B}$ be the divisible hull of $\pi_{*}\left(I_{U}^{h}\right) \subseteq \pi_{1}^{\text {ett }}(B)^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Then $I_{B}$ is independent of the choice of $h$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(B)=\operatorname{rk}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{et}}(B)^{\mathrm{ab}} / I_{B}\right), \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(B)$ is the unramified genus of $B$.
Proof. 1. By Corollary $38, \iota(U) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}(U))$. Let $C$ be any smooth, hyperbolic, possibly open curve. Then for any choice of basepoint $p \in C, \pi_{2}(C, p)=0$ and $\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{top}}(C, p)$ is residually finite. Thus, for $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $p \in|v|$ there is a short-exact fiber sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{\text {et }}(|v|, p) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}(U, p) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{\text {ett }}(B, \pi(p)) \longrightarrow 1 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q \circ v$ be a rank-2 Parshin chain that is not centered on $U$. If $w \in \mathcal{V}, T_{q \circ w}$ is a nontrivial subgroup of the first term of the short exact sequence; otherwise, $T_{q \circ w}$ projects to a nontrivial subgroup of $\pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}(B)$. In either case, $T_{\text {q०w }}$ is nontrivial, so $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}(U)) \subseteq \iota(U)$.
2. The fibration short exact sequence and Proposition 20 gives for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{v}=\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}: \pi_{1}^{\text {et }}(U) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}(B) . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. By Proposition 20,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}^{\text {ett }}(|v|, p)=D_{v} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the short exact sequence 63, and the desired statement follows.
4. As $\left.\pi\right|_{|h|}$ is nonconstant, we can complete and we get a diagram

where $\bar{\pi}$ is surjective, and branch points are isolated. If $p \in \partial B$ then $t_{p}$ has inverse image a disjoint union of loops in $|h|$ and a choice of one such loop for each $p \in \partial B$ provides the necessary meridians of rank-2 Parshin chains by Proposition 20.

We can similarly define $I_{B}$ and $g(B)$ for any geometric set having a horizontal-vertical decomposition. THEOREM 51. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a geometric set of $F$ with a disjoint union decomposition

$$
\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V}
$$

where we call $\mathcal{H}$ the horizontal and $\mathcal{V}$ the vertical fibers. Then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is a visible affine of $F$ with horizontalvertical decomposition $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V}$ if and only if it satisfies the following properties:

1. Fullness. Let $v \in \operatorname{Par}_{1}(F)$ and $v \notin \mathcal{S}$. Then either $\partial_{\mathcal{S} \cup\{v\}}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq a(\mathcal{S})$ or $\# \partial_{\mathcal{S} \cup\{v\}}(\mathcal{S})=1$.
2. Homeomorphicity of Fibers. For $v \in \mathcal{S}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(v)=\mathcal{P}(v) \cap a(\mathcal{S}) \text { and } \mathcal{A}(v)=\mathcal{P}(v) \cap \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# a\left(v_{1}\right)=\# a\left(v_{2}\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Disjointness of Fibers. $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})=\coprod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{A}(v)$, (a disjoint union). Furthermore, there exists a geometric set $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \supseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, for any $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ distinct elements of $\mathcal{V}$, and any $p_{1} \in \operatorname{Par}_{2}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2} \in \operatorname{Par}_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[p_{1}\right] \neq\left[p_{2}\right] . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is called fiber-separating.
4. Hyperbolicity of Base. The base has at least three punctures; that is, the $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-rank of $I_{B}$ is $\geqslant 2$.
5. Numerical Equivalence of Fibers. For $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$, let

$$
S_{h}(v)=\sum_{\substack{p \circ v \in \operatorname{Par}_{2}(v) \\[p \circ v] \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})}}(p, h \cdot v ; \mathcal{S}) .
$$

For every $h \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $n_{h} \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite subset $\Sigma_{h} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ such that for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$
S_{h}(v) \leqslant n_{h}
$$

with inequality strict only at $\Sigma_{h}$, and

$$
\bigcap_{h \in H} \Sigma_{h}=\varnothing
$$

for any cofinite subset $H \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.
6. Triviality of Monodromy. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $T_{v}^{a}$ is torsion-free in $\Pi_{\mathcal{S} \backslash\{v\}}^{a b}$, and the action of $T_{v}$ by conjugation on any $D_{v^{\prime}}$ for $v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}$ is inner in $\Pi_{\mathcal{S} \backslash\{v\}}$.
7. Inheritance. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ be any cofinite subset of $\mathcal{V}$. Then all the above properties hold for $\mathcal{V}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{H}$.

In this case, $\mathcal{S}$ will be called a visible affine geometric set.
Proof. It is straightforward that every visible affine satisfies the hypotheses. Let

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}}=\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})})
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})}$ is a smooth compactification of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ which is also fiber-separating. Such an $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ exists by applying resolution of singularities to a compactification of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T})$ for any fiber-separating $\mathcal{T}$; such a $\mathcal{T}$ exists by Disjointness of Fibers.

We define two subsets of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i}=\partial_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S}) \cap a(\mathcal{S}) \text { and } \beta_{e}=\partial_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S}) \cap \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the vertical support of $\partial_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S})$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\beta_{e}\right)=\bigcup_{p \in \beta_{e}} \Delta(p) \cap \mathcal{V} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

By fullness, $\Delta\left(\beta_{e}\right)$ is finite.
We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{\prime}=\mathcal{V} \backslash \Delta\left(\beta_{e}\right) . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\mathcal{V}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{H}$ is a geometric set with horizontal-vertical decomposition, by Inheritance.
If $C, D$ and $E$ are divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \sim_{E} D \text { if and only if }(C \cdot E)=(D \cdot E), \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use the total intersection product as in Definition 34. If $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$ generates $\mathrm{NS}(X)$, then we see that $C$ is numerically equivalent to $D$ if and only if $C \sim_{E_{i}} D$ for every $i=1, \ldots, n$. If $C$ and $D$ are numerically equivalent, effective and pairwise disjoint, they are algebraically equivalent by [Ful98][19.3.1].

Thus, to prove that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is a visible affine open, we need to prove that there exists a cofinite subset $\mathcal{V}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ and a finite set $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that:

1. $\left|h_{i}\right|$ generate $\operatorname{NS}(\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.
2. For all $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathcal{V}^{\prime \prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{1}\right| \sim_{\left|h_{i}\right|}\left|v_{2}\right| \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.
Once we know this, we will know that the $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\prime \prime} \cup \mathcal{H}\right)$ is indeed an affine open, for the divisors each vary in an algebraic family. Triviality of Monodromy allows us to use [Tam97, Theorem 0.8] to "plug the holes" and deduce that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ itself is indeed a visible affine open. It is here that we use homeomorphicity of fibers to make sure we're "plugging the holes" with the right divisors.
$\mathrm{NS}(\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is spanned by very ample, prime divisors. As the $|v|$ with $v \in \mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ are mutually disjoint, they cannot be very ample, as each very ample divisor intersects every other divisor. Thus, all very ample divisors must be horizontal. We thus can choose $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in \mathcal{H}$ so that $\left\{\left|h_{i}\right|\right\}$ generates $\operatorname{NS}(\overline{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For each $h_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|h_{i}\right| \cdot \bigcup_{b \in \overline{\mathcal{S}} \backslash \mathcal{S}}|b|\right)<\infty . \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by separation of points, there is at most a finite subset $\sigma_{i}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ for which if $s \in \sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ there is an intersection between $|s|$ and $\left|h_{i}\right|$ at a point in $\beta_{i}$, and we may take

$$
\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left(\Sigma_{h_{i}} \cap \mathcal{V}^{\prime}\right)
$$

But for each $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathcal{V}^{\prime} \backslash \sigma_{i}$, we have by Theorem 30.

$$
\left|v_{1}\right| \sim_{h_{i}}\left|v_{2}\right| .
$$

Thus, we may take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{V}^{\prime} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} . \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 52. Let $U$ be a visible affine and $X$ a maximal smooth model of $F$. Then there is an open immersion

$$
U \longrightarrow X
$$

under $\operatorname{Spec} F$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}(U))=\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(\mathcal{D}(U)) . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. There is a birational map

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{-}->X \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined outside a set of codimension 2 . The minimal such exceptional set is, however, exactly $\partial_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(\mathcal{D}(U))$, so this arrow extends to a regular map if and only if $\partial_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(\mathcal{D}(U))$ is empty or, equivalently, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}(U))=$ $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(\mathcal{D}(U))$.

As immediate corollaries we have:
Corollary 53. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a geometric set. Then a point $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ is in the image of $\iota$ if and only if there is a visible affine geometric set $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ such that $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)$. This is a group-theoretic criterion, and we will call these points, as group-theoretic objects, geometric points and denote the collection of all of them by $\mathcal{P}^{\text {geom }}(\mathcal{S}) ; \mathcal{P}^{\text {geom }}(\mathcal{S})$ is identified by ८ with $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$.

Corollary 54. Given $\mathcal{S}$, there is a group-theoretical recipe to determine whether $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is proper.
Definition 55. A geometric set $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is proper will be called itself proper.
DEFINITION 56. We define a partial ordering $\leq$ on $\mathfrak{G e o m}(F)$ by saying that

$$
\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{S}^{\prime}
$$

if the following two conditions hold:

1. $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$.
2. $\mathcal{P}^{\text {geom }}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}(\mathcal{S})$.

The category formed by this partial ordering (so a morphism $\varphi: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is the relation $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ ) is denoted by $\mathfrak{G B i} \mathfrak{B r a x}_{\max }(F)$. The maximal smooth model $\mathcal{M}$ thus extends uniquely to a functor

$$
\mathcal{M}: \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{B i r ^ { \operatorname { m a x } }}(F) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B i r}(F)
$$

and the set of prime divisors likewise extends

$$
\mathcal{D}: \mathfrak{B i r}(F) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G B i}^{\max }(F) .
$$

Corollary 57. $\mathcal{M}$ is fully faithful. The functors

$$
\mathfrak{G B i r} \max _{\max }(F) \underset{\mathcal{D}}{\stackrel{\mathcal{M}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathfrak{B i r}(F)
$$

form an adjoint pair, with $\mathcal{M}$ right-adjoint to $\mathcal{D}$.

## 7. Algebraic, Numerical, and Linear Equivalence of Divisors

In this section, $\mathcal{S}$ will denote a proper geometric set. The divisor $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ is defined to be the free abelian group generated by $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 58. 1. We call an element $\sum a_{i} v_{i} \in \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ effective if and only if each $a_{i} \geqslant 0$, and we denote this by $D \geqslant 0$. If $D \geqslant 0$ and $D \neq 0$ then we write $D>0$. We also define a preorder on the divisors by:

$$
D \geqslant(\text { resp. }>) D^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow D-D^{\prime} \geqslant(\text { resp. }>) 0 .
$$

2. The support of a divisor $D$, denoted $\operatorname{supp}(D)$, is the collection of $v \in \mathcal{S}$ such that the coefficient of $v$ in $D$ is nonzero.
3. Given a divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ we may write $D$ uniquely as

$$
D=D_{+}-D_{-}
$$

where $D_{+}$and $D_{-}$are effective divisors, and $\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{+}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{-}\right)=\varnothing$.
It is clear that
Proposition 59. The map

$$
\mu: \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}))
$$

given by

$$
\mu\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} v_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left|v_{i}\right|
$$

is an isomorphism.
Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be two distinct prime divisors. We define the intersection pairing to be

$$
\left(v_{1} \cdot v_{2}\right)=\sum_{p \circ v_{2} \in \operatorname{Par}_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)}\left(p, v_{1} \cdot v_{2} ; \mathcal{S}\right),
$$

By Theorem 30 .
Proposition 60. The intersection pairing $\left(v_{1} \cdot v_{2}\right)$ coincides under pushforward with the intersection pairing on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ when $v_{1} \neq v_{2}$ and otherwise extends by linearity to give self-intersection on $\operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$.

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{S}$ be a visible affine with horizontal-vertical decomposition $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V}$, and

1. Let $p$ be a puncture of the base, and let $T_{p}$ be its inertia group; this is the divisible hull of the image of a corresponding inertia group in $\mathcal{F}$. Then if $v \in \mathcal{S} \backslash \mathcal{F}$, we say its multiplicity at $p$ is the index

$$
m_{p}(v)= \begin{cases}{\left[T_{p}^{a}: \pi\left(T_{v}^{a}\right)\right]} & \text { if } T_{p}^{a} \cap T_{v}^{a} \neq\{0\} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

in $\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} / D_{v}\right)^{\text {ab }}$, with this equal to zero if the two groups are disjoint.
2. The complete family of $\mathcal{F}$ will be the subset

$$
\operatorname{Fam}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})
$$

given by

$$
\mathcal{V} \cup\left\{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{S}} m_{p_{i}}(v) v \mid p_{i} \text { a puncture of the base }\right\} .
$$

3. We define group-theoretical algebraic equivalence to be the equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ generated by $\operatorname{Fam}(\mathcal{F})$ for all visible affines $\mathcal{F}$ and denote this by $\sim_{\text {alg }}$. We define group-theoretical linear equivalence to be the equivalence relation generated by $\operatorname{Fam}(\mathcal{F})$ for all visible affines with base having trivial unramified fundamental group (that is, for genus 0 base) and denote this by $\sim_{\operatorname{lin}}$. Two divisors $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are said to be group-theoretically numerically equivalent if and only if for any divisor $E$ we have $\left(D_{1} \cdot E\right)=\left(D_{2} \cdot E\right)$. This equivalence relation is denoted by $\sim_{\text {num }}$.
4. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ and $D>0$. Then we define $|D|$ to be the set of effective divisors linearly equivalent to $D$.
5. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=E-E^{\prime} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

be some expression of $D$ as a difference of two effective divisors.
Then we define the group-theoretical complete linear system to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D|=\left\{D^{\prime}-E^{\prime}\left|D^{\prime} \in\right| E \mid \text { and } D^{\prime}-E^{\prime} \geqslant 0\right\} . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see immediately:
Proposition 61. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a proper geometric set of prime divisors. Then the pushforward of grouptheoretical linear (respectively, algebraic and numerical) equivalence on $\operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ by $\mu$ induces linear (respectively, algebraic and numerical) equivalence on $\operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}))$.

Corollary 62. The group-theoretical complete linear systems $|D|$ coincide with complete linear systems $|\mu(D)|$ on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ and form finite-dimensional projective spaces over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and the lines in this projective space are given by linear families.

In particular, the Picard and Néron-Severi groups of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ are group-theoretical invariants of $\left(G_{F}, \mathcal{S}\right)$.

## 8. Local Geometry: Tangent Spaces

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a geometric set on a two-dimensional function field $F$. As we work locally, we do not need properness.

Definition 63. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\text {geom }}(\mathcal{S})$ be a point. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{s}(p)={ }_{\operatorname{def}}\{v \in \Delta(p) \mid v \text { is smooth at } p\} . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 64. Let $w \in \mathcal{S}$, smooth at a rank-2 Parshin chain $q \circ w$ such that $[q \circ w] \in \mathcal{P}^{\text {geom }}(\mathcal{S})$ and let $p \in \Delta^{s}([q \circ w])$. Then we say that $v$ and $w$ are tangent to order $n$ at $p$ if and only if the local intersection number

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p, v \cdot w ; \mathcal{S}) \geqslant n+1 \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $|v|$ and $|w|$ are actually tangent to order $n$ at $p$, tangency to order $n$ forms an equivalence relation, which we call $\sim_{n-\tan }$, and we thus recover the projectivized jet space

$$
\mathbb{P} \mathcal{J}_{p}^{n}=\Delta^{s}(p) / \sim_{n-\tan }
$$

at $p$. In particular,

$$
\mathbb{P} \mathcal{J}_{1}=\mathbb{P} T_{p}
$$

the projectivized tangent space to $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ at $p$. As $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is a smooth surface, $\mathbb{P} T_{p}$ is a projective line.

## 9. Projective Embeddings and Projective Coordinate Rings

We start with some basic projective geometry, as in Artin [Art88]. Let ( $X, L$ ) be an abstract projective space, given as its set of points $X$ and a set of lines $L$.

1. A subset $Y \subseteq X$ is linearly closed if for any two points $P, P^{\prime} \in Y$ the line $\overline{P P^{\prime}} \subseteq Y$. The linearly closed sets are closed under intersection. The linear closure of $Y$ in $X$ is the intersection of all linearly closed spaces which contain $Y$. As $X$ is linearly closed, the linear closure always exists. We denote the linear closure of the union of a collection of subsets $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n} \subseteq X$ by $\overline{V_{1} \cdots V_{n}}$.
2. A point $P \in X$ is said to be linearly independent of a subset $Y$ if and only if $P \notin \bar{Y}$. In particular, we call a set $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n} \in X$ linearly independent if for any subset $M \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and any $k \notin M$ we have $\overline{\left(P_{m}\right)_{m \in M}} \subsetneq \overline{P_{k}\left(P_{m}\right)_{m \in M}}$.
3. The dimension of $X$ is the cardinality of a maximal set of linearly independent points minus 1 , and is denoted $\operatorname{dim} X$ (this is possibly infinite).

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a proper geometric set on a function field $F$ of dimension 2 .
Definition 65. We say a point $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ is supported on a divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $\Delta(p) \cap$ $\operatorname{supp}(D)$ is nonempty. We say a set $X \subseteq \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ separate points if and only if for any two points $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ there are two divisors $D_{1}, D_{2} \in X$ such that $p_{1} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{1}\right), p_{1} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{2}\right)$ and $p_{2} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{1}\right), p_{2} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(D_{2}\right)$. Given a set $S \subseteq \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$, we will define its support

$$
\operatorname{supp}(S)=\bigcup_{E \in S} \operatorname{supp}(E)
$$

DEFINITION 66. A point $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ is said to be in the base locus (and is called a base point) of $X \subseteq \operatorname{Div}(\mathcal{S})$ if p is supported on every element of $X$; a set without base locus is called basepoint free.

Separating points is strictly stronger than basepoint free.
Definition 67. We say the linear system $|D|$ separates tangent lines at $p$ if and only if for any $\ell \in$ $\mathbb{P} T_{p},|D| \cap \ell$ is nonempty.

Definition 68. We call a divisor $D$ very ample if $|D|$ separates points and tangent lines.
For any divisor $D$ and any effective divisor $E$ there is an injective map

$$
\alpha:|D| \longrightarrow|D+E|
$$

given by adding $E$ to each effective divisor in $|D|$. This invokes a map in general

$$
\alpha:|D| \times|E| \longrightarrow|D+E|
$$

and in our particular case,

$$
\alpha^{n}: \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(|D|) \longrightarrow|n D|
$$

as addition is symmetric. We will now call a divisor $D n$-adequate if the linear closure of $\alpha^{n}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(|D|)\right)$ in $|n D|$ is all of $|n D|$. Any very ample divisor is adequate; indeed, a very ample divisor gives relations on the projective coordinate ring, which is generated in the first dimension.

DEFINITION 69. We define a projectivizing datum to be a quadruple ( $\mathcal{S}, D, V, \rho$ ) where:

1. $\mathcal{S}$ is a proper geometric set.
2. $D$ is a very ample divisor.
3. $V$ is $a \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-vector space.
4. $\rho:|D| \longrightarrow \mathbb{P V}$ is an isomorphism.

We know by the fundamental theorem of projective geometry that this $\rho$ is determined up to a semilinear automorphism of $V$, and fixing a $\rho$ rids us of the indeterminacy. Let $\operatorname{ProjData}(\mathcal{S})$ be the collection of projectivizing data for $\mathcal{S}$. The outer automorphisms of $G_{F}$ act on the collection of all projectivizing data, by translation of the corresponding $\mathcal{S}, D$, and $|D|$.

Proposition 70. Given a projectivizing data ( $\mathcal{S}, D, V, \rho$ ), the maps

$$
\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(|D|) \longrightarrow|n D|
$$

induce a canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(\rho): \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(V) / I_{n}\right) \simeq|n D|
$$

compatible with all $\alpha^{i}$, where $I_{n} \subset \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(V)$ is a vector subspace.
Finally:
Theorem 71. A projectivizing datum ( $\mathcal{S}, D, V, \rho$ ) gives $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ uniquely the structure of a smooth, projective $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-variety, so that

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})_{/ \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \simeq \operatorname{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} \operatorname{Sym}^{n}(V) / I_{n}\right),
$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$
\eta: \operatorname{Frac}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}))) \longrightarrow F,
$$

and a corresponding isomorphism

$$
\eta: G_{F} \longrightarrow G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}))} .
$$

which respects inertia and decomposition groups of divisors.

## 10. The Proof of the Birational Anabelian Theorem For Surfaces

We can now apply the theory developed above to prove Theorem 2.
Proof of THEOREM 2 Let $F$ be a field, finitely generated over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and of transcendence degree 2 . There is a canonical, injective map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \operatorname{Aut}(F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{cont}}\left(G_{F}\right), \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

as any automorphism of $F$ which fixes each of its prime divisors must be the trivial automorphism. We construct the inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi: \operatorname{Out}_{\mathrm{cont}}\left(G_{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(F) . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a projectivizing datum $(\mathcal{S}, D, V, \rho)$ fixed by no non-trivial automorphisms of $F$. By Theorem 71, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}) \backslash D] \rightarrow F \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives an injection from a finitely generated ring to its field of fractions; the automorphisms of $F$ then act simply transitively on this set, as $(\mathcal{S}, D, V, \rho)$ is fixed by no non-trivial automorphisms of $F$; however, as $(\mathcal{S}, D, V, \rho)$ are determined by group theory, Out $\left.\operatorname{cont}^{( } G_{F}\right)$ acts on this set and this gives our section $\psi$.

We must now prove that every continuous outer automorphism $\zeta \in \operatorname{Out}_{\text {cont }}\left(G_{F}\right)$ for which $\psi(\zeta)=e$ is an inner automorphism. Choose $\zeta^{\prime}$ to be a genuine continuous automorphism in the class of $\zeta$.

Let $\left\{L_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of finite, Galois extensions of $F$ and

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\bar{F} \mid L_{n}\right)
$$

which satisfy the following properties:

1. $\zeta\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)=\Gamma_{n}$.
2. $\bigcap_{n} \Gamma_{n}=\{e\}$.

That such a filtration exists comes from the fact that there are only finitely many translates of any finiteindex, closed subgroup of $G_{F}$, which follows from the fact that there is a group-theoretic recipe to detect ramification information, and that there are only finitely many covers of a given degree with prescribed ramification (which in turn follows from the fact that geometric fundamental groups in question are finitelypresented). But we can reconstruct $L_{n}$ from $\Gamma_{n}$, so any class of $\zeta$ then gives us an action of $\mu$ on $\bigcup_{n} L_{n}=\bar{F}$ trivial on $F$, which shows that its action on $\Gamma_{n}$ is induced by conjugation by an element of $G_{F} / \Gamma_{n}$ and as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{F}=\underset{\leftrightarrows}{\lim } G_{F} / \Gamma_{n}, \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\zeta$ is inner, and $\psi$ is an isomorphism.

## 11. Application: Grothendieck-Teichmüller Theory

Let $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ be the moduli space of genus 0 curves with 5 distinct, marked, ordered points over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. There is a natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
i: \mathcal{M}_{0,5} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{L} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, if $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is given projective coordinates $X, Y, Z, \mathcal{L}$ is the union of the six lines given by homogeneous equations $L_{X}: X=0, L_{Y}: Y=0, L_{Z}: Z=0, L_{X Y}: X=Y, L_{X Z}: X=Z, L_{Y Z}: Y=Z . S^{3}$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$ by permuting these coordinates. Harbater and Schneps defined a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}\left(\pi_{1}^{\text {ét }}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}\right)\right)$

$$
\text { Out }_{5}^{\#}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\alpha \in \operatorname{Out}\left(\pi_{1}^{\text {et }}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}\right)\right) & \begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { commutes with the action of } S_{3} \\
\alpha \text { sends generators of inertia subgroups of each } L_{X}, \text { etc., } \\
\text { to generators of possibly conjugate inertia subgroups }
\end{array} \tag{90}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

A special case of the Main Theorem of [HS00] is that there is an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime}: \widehat{G T} \rightarrow \text { Out }_{5}^{\#} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\eta$ of Formula 4 is the composition of $\eta^{\prime}$ with the inclusion of $\operatorname{Out}{ }_{5}^{\#}$ into $\operatorname{Out}\left(\pi_{1}^{\text {et }}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}\right)\right)$. To prove Theorem 4 we need first a lemma about visible affine opens.
Lemma 72. Let $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ be visible affine geometric sets with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \rho_{\varnothing \mathcal{S}_{1}}=\operatorname{ker} \rho_{\varnothing \mathcal{S}_{2}} . \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{1}=\mathcal{S}_{2} . \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We note that a divisor $v$ is not centered on $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ if and only if $\rho_{\varnothing \mathcal{S}_{i}}\left(T_{v}\right)$ is nontrivial, so under our assumptions $v$ is not centered on $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ if and only if it is not centered on $\mathcal{S}_{2}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{1}=\mathcal{S}_{2} \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i \in V} \backslash\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{i \in W}, \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some (possibly empty) finite, disjoint sets $V$ and $W$. If $W$ were nonempty, then each $w_{i}$ would be uncentered on $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ so $\rho_{\varnothing \mathcal{S}_{1}}\left(T_{w_{2}}\right)$ must be nontrivial, contradicting that $\operatorname{ker} \rho_{\varnothing \mathcal{S}_{1}}=\operatorname{ker} \rho_{\varnothing \mathcal{S}_{2}}$. As any divisor complement of a visible affine adds generators to its fundamental group, $\mathcal{S}_{1}=\mathcal{S}_{2}$.

PROOF OFTHEOREM $4 \alpha$ as given in the hypotheses of the theorem satisfies the defining conditions of Out ${ }_{5}^{\#}$, by the Main Theorem of Harbater and Schneps. Let $\alpha$ also satisfy the lifting condition of the theorem. Then by the birational anabelian theorem for surfaces, $\tilde{\alpha}=\varphi(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \operatorname{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x, y))$. If $\tilde{\alpha}$ preserves the kernel of $\gamma_{*}$, by Lemma 72, $\tilde{\alpha}$ must preserve the unique geometric set $\mathcal{S}$ which satisfies:

1. $\left\langle T_{v}\right\rangle_{v \in \mathcal{S}}=\operatorname{ker} \gamma_{*}$.
2. $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$.

This implies that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is such an automorphism of the affine $\mathcal{M}_{0,5 / \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$, considered as a $\mathbb{Z}$-scheme (so that $\tilde{\alpha}$ could come from $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for example). But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}\right) \simeq G_{\mathbb{Q}} \times S_{5}, \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the centralizer of $S_{5}$ is $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \eta \circ \rho$.

## 12. Application: Absolute Galois Groups of Number Fields are Geometric Outer Automorphism Groups

If a field $F$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3, then $\operatorname{Aut}(F)=G_{k}$, so Theorem 3 follows immediately from Theorem 2.

We can write down explicit examples of fields $F$ which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3 . We recall the following consequence of the main theorem from [Tur94]:

Proposition 73. Consider the affine curve

$$
C_{\alpha}: x^{5}+y^{4}+\alpha x y+x=0 .
$$

Then for all but finitely many $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, this curve is nonsingular, hyperbolic, and has trivial automorphisms over its field of definition, which is $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be two non-isomorphic, complete, hyperbolic curves over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, with no $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-automorphisms, so that the compositum of their minimal fields of definition is $k . C \times C^{\prime}$ is the image of any variety birational to it under the canonical map (induced by the canonical bundle), so is a birational invariant. As $C \times C^{\prime}$ has no automorphisms over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, there are no automorphisms of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\left(C \times C^{\prime}\right)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$; otherwise they would have to act on the image of the canonical map. Let $k=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$; for any number field $k$, there are infinitely many such $\alpha$, by Steinitz's theorem. Then, the field $F=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\left(C_{1} \times C_{\alpha}\right)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3 , so

$$
\operatorname{Out}\left(G_{F}\right) \simeq G_{k} .
$$
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