

Jonathan Block (I) 2 schools of NCG: (of several)

- |               |                   |          |          |
|---------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| 1) Connes     | Operator algebras | Today    | ↗ Friday |
| 2) Kontsevich | Categories        | Tomorrow |          |

Beginning: Famous thm. of Gelfand, Naimark

$\exists$  equivalence of cats. btm.

Cpt. top spaces  $\longleftrightarrow$  commutative  $C^*$ -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longmapsto & C(X) \\ \hat{A} & \longleftarrow & A \end{array}$$

Lusztig (1971) Thesis proved Novikov's Theorem.

Signature theorem

$$H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{Q}) \times H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^{4k}(M, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$$

$\text{sgn}(M)$  homotopy invariant. and

Hirzebruch:  $\text{sgn}(M) = \langle L(M), [M] \rangle$

↑  
characteristic class in  $H^{4k}(M, \mathbb{Q})$

$\text{sgn}(M) = \text{Index } D$  where  $D$  is an elliptic diff'l op.

map  $\Omega^+(M) \rightarrow \Omega^-(M)$ ,  $\text{dker } D - \text{d(coker } D) =: \text{Ind } D$ .

Q: Are there other CC's which are homotopy invariant? (rational CC's)

If  $M$  is simply-connected, answer is no.

Novikov Conjecture

$f: M \rightarrow B\pi$  let  $\alpha \in H^*(B\pi; \mathbb{Q})$

$\pi$  is grp.

form  $\langle L(M) \cup f^* \alpha, [M] \rangle$

"higher signature"

Conjecture: there are homotopy invariants.

Losztig proved the conjecture when  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

let  $T = V/\Lambda$ , let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $T$  is a torus and is a  $K(\Lambda, 1)$

let  $T^\vee = V^\vee/\Lambda^\vee$ ,  $\Lambda^\vee = \{ \xi \in V^\vee \mid \langle \xi, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \} \forall \lambda$

$T^\vee \cong$  Pontryagin dual of  $\Lambda$

$=$  irreps. of  $\Lambda =$  flat unitary line bundles on  $T$ .

So,  $\exists$  universal line bundle  $P$  s.t.  $P|_{T \times \xi} = \xi$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ T \times T^\vee & & \end{array}$$

consider  $f: X \rightarrow T = K(\Lambda, 1)$

form  $(f \times 1): X \times T^\vee \rightarrow T \times T^\vee$ ,  $(f \times 1)^* P \rightarrow X \times T^\vee$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & & \parallel \\ & & \bar{P} \\ & & \end{array}$$

can form the family of Dirac-like ops.  $D_{\bar{P}}$  on  $X \times T^\vee$  over  $\xi \in T^\vee$ .  $D_{\bar{P}}$  is the Dirac-like op on  $X$  w/ "values in  $\xi$ "

$\text{Ind } D_{\bar{P}} = (\ker D_{\bar{P}} - \text{coker } D_{\bar{P}})_{\xi} \in K^0(T^\vee)$  which you can show

is a homotopy invariant.

Families Index thm of Atiyah-Singer says:

$$\langle f^* \alpha \cup L(X), [X] \rangle \quad \blacksquare \quad (X \text{ is the same as } M)$$

Mishchenko, Fomenko, Kasprow generalized this

Recall,  $T = V/\Lambda = B\Lambda$ ,  $T^\vee = \text{Dual of } \Lambda$

Can take  $C[\Lambda] \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\Lambda))$  (by natural rep on left)

Can close  $C[\Lambda]$  inside  $B(\ell^2(\Lambda))$  to get  $C^*(\Lambda)$ .

Pontrjagin duality:  $C^*(\Lambda) \cong C(\hat{\Lambda}) \cong C(T^\vee)$   
↑  
Pontrjagin dual.

If want to apply GNS's idea to non-abelian fundamental groups then need to consider  $C^*(\Gamma)$  and families index them should take place on "Spec  $C^*\Gamma$ ."

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -alg. write  $\hat{A} :=$  space of all irred.  $*$ -reps on Hilb. spc.

Mishchenko, Fomenko, Kuperov generalized A-S families index them so that it takes place using  $A = C^*(\mathbb{T}, M)$  as a parameter spc.

Assume for simplicity,  $\Gamma$  is torsion free:

Aside:  
For  $A$  a Banach algebra, define  $K_*^*(A) := \pi_k(KA_\infty(A))$   
 $= \pi_k(BKA_\infty(A))$

then,  
(Bartlett-Gomes) Conj:  $K_*^*(B\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_*^*(C^*\Gamma)$  Isomorphism.

note:  $K_*^*(B\Lambda) \rightarrow K_*^*(C^*\Lambda) = K_*^*(T^\vee)$   
T-duality

What is the sense of treating  $C^*\Gamma$  as functions on some space of irreducible modules over  $C^*\Gamma$ ?

What does  $C^*\Gamma$  look like? (It is a kind of moduli space of unitary reps of  $\Gamma$ )

Let  $\alpha$  be a cardinal  $\# \leq \aleph_0$  and let  $H_\alpha$  be a Hilbert space of this cardinality.  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Inrep}(\Gamma; H_\alpha)$  is a separable, metrisable, space.

$U(H_\alpha)$  acts on  $\mathcal{I}$ . Quotient is  $\widehat{C^*\Gamma} := \mathcal{I}/U(H_\alpha)$ .

didotomy: either,

Type 1 1)  $\widehat{C^*\Gamma}$  is very nice i.e. not too non-Hausdorff and can understand the structure of  $C^*\Gamma$  by analyzing this  $\widehat{C^*\Gamma}$

Type 2 2)  $\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \mathcal{I}/U(H_\alpha)$   $\nexists$  a Borel section of this projection.

many NC-spaces (in either context) arise from not wanting to take quotient (too early).

In both approaches think geometrically by looking at the category of modules

