

## Sally Clark Case

- ▶ Clark was a British mother whose first baby died of SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome) in 1996.

# Sally Clark Case

- ▶ Clark was a British mother whose first baby died of SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome) in 1996.
- ▶ After her second baby died of SIDS in 1998, she was arrested for murder.

## Sally Clark Case

- ▶ Professor Sir Roy Meadow: probability that a child will die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{8543}$

# Sally Clark Case

- ▶ Professor Sir Roy Meadow: probability that a child will die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{8543}$
- ▶ So chances of having two children die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{73,000,000}$

# Sally Clark Case

- ▶ Professor Sir Roy Meadow: probability that a child will die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{8543}$
- ▶ So chances of having two children die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{73,000,000}$ 
  - ▶ How did he arrive at these figures?

# Sally Clark Case

- ▶ Professor Sir Roy Meadow: probability that a child will die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{8543}$
- ▶ So chances of having two children die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{73,000,000}$ 
  - ▶ How did he arrive at these figures?
- ▶ Since there are only 700,000 births in Britain each year, it was argued that the probability that the deaths were accidental were non-existent.

# Sally Clark Case

- ▶ Professor Sir Roy Meadow: probability that a child will die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{8543}$
- ▶ So chances of having two children die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{73,000,000}$ 
  - ▶ How did he arrive at these figures?
- ▶ Since there are only 700,000 births in Britain each year, it was argued that the probability that the deaths were accidental were non-existent.
- ▶ Clark was convicted of murder.

# Sally Clark Case

- ▶ Professor Sir Roy Meadow: probability that a child will die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{8543}$
- ▶ So chances of having two children die from SIDS is  $\frac{1}{73,000,000}$ 
  - ▶ How did he arrive at these figures?
- ▶ Since there are only 700,000 births in Britain each year, it was argued that the probability that the deaths were accidental were non-existent.
- ▶ Clark was convicted of murder.
- ▶ Is this reasoning valid?



# Sally Clark Case

## Problems:

- ▶ Prosecutor argued that since the defendant's story is highly improbable, the defendant's innocence is also highly improbable.

# Sally Clark Case

## Problems:

- ▶ Prosecutor argued that since the defendant's story is highly improbable, the defendant's innocence is also highly improbable.
  - ▶ An example of "the prosecutor's fallacy".
  - ▶ (the odds of winning the lottery are really low - but someone still usually wins)

# Sally Clark Case

## Problems:

- ▶ Prosecutor argued that since the defendant's story is highly improbable, the defendant's innocence is also highly improbable.
  - ▶ An example of "the prosecutor's fallacy".
  - ▶ (the odds of winning the lottery are really low - but someone still usually wins)
- ▶ One can't assume that the probability of siblings dying of SIDS are independent without evidence.

# Sally Clark Case

## Problems:

- ▶ Prosecutor argued that since the defendant's story is highly improbable, the defendant's innocence is also highly improbable.
  - ▶ An example of "the prosecutor's fallacy".
  - ▶ (the odds of winning the lottery are really low - but someone still usually wins)
- ▶ One can't assume that the probability of siblings dying of SIDS are independent without evidence.
- ▶ Need to consider the probability of two children dying from SIDS given that two children died.

# Sally Clark Case

## Problems:

- ▶ Prosecutor argued that since the defendant's story is highly improbable, the defendant's innocence is also highly improbable.
  - ▶ An example of "the prosecutor's fallacy".
  - ▶ (the odds of winning the lottery are really low - but someone still usually wins)
- ▶ One can't assume that the probability of siblings dying of SIDS are independent without evidence.
- ▶ Need to consider the probability of two children dying from SIDS given that two children died.
  - ▶ How does this probability compare to the probability that both children were murdered?

# Sally Clark Case

Other Problems:

- ▶ On average, the chances dying of SIDS is 1 in 1300.

# Sally Clark Case

## Other Problems:

- ▶ On average, the chances dying of SIDS is 1 in 1300.
  - ▶ Meadows multiplied by probabilities that make SIDS more rare
    - such as a non-smoking household.
  - ▶ Meadows ignored probabilities that make SIDS more likely
    - such as both children being boys.

# Sally Clark Case

## Other Problems:

- ▶ On average, the chances dying of SIDS is 1 in 1300.
  - ▶ Meadows multiplied by probabilities that make SIDS more rare
    - such as a non-smoking household.
    - ▶ Meadows ignored probabilities that make SIDS more likely
      - such as both children being boys.
- ▶ Meadows then went on to compare this probability to all newborns.



# Sally Clark Case

## Other Problems:

- ▶ On average, the chances dying of SIDS is 1 in 1300.
  - ▶ Meadows multiplied by probabilities that make SIDS more rare
    - such as a non-smoking household.
    - ▶ Meadows ignored probabilities that make SIDS more likely
      - such as both children being boys.
- ▶ Meadows then went on to compare this probability to all newborns.
- ▶ The double SIDS ratio to the double homicide ratio was estimated as 9 : 1.

# Sally Clark Case

## Other Problems:

- ▶ On average, the chances dying of SIDS is 1 in 1300.
  - ▶ Meadows multiplied by probabilities that make SIDS more rare
    - such as a non-smoking household.
    - ▶ Meadows ignored probabilities that make SIDS more likely
      - such as both children being boys.
- ▶ Meadows then went on to compare this probability to all newborns.
- ▶ The double SIDS ratio to the double homicide ratio was estimated as 9 : 1.
- ▶ The conviction was overturned after the second appeal.

## Expected Value - Revisited

- ▶ Recall that if an experiment has numerical outcomes  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the expected value is

$$EV = \mu = A_1 \cdot P(A_1) + \dots + a_n \cdot P(A_n)$$

## Expected Value - Revisited

- ▶ Recall that if an experiment has numerical outcomes  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the expected value is

$$EV = \mu = A_1 \cdot P(A_1) + \dots + a_n \cdot P(A_n)$$

- ▶ Game 1: I pick a number between 1 and 2.
  - ▶ If you correctly guess the number, I'll pay you \$2.

## Expected Value - Revisited

- ▶ Recall that if an experiment has numerical outcomes  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the expected value is

$$EV = \mu = A_1 \cdot P(A_1) + \dots + a_n \cdot P(A_n)$$

- ▶ Game 1: I pick a number between 1 and 2.
  - ▶ If you correctly guess the number, I'll pay you \$2.
  - ▶ What is the expected value?

## Expected Value - Revisited

- ▶ Recall that if an experiment has numerical outcomes  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the expected value is

$$EV = \mu = A_1 \cdot P(A_1) + \dots + a_n \cdot P(A_n)$$

- ▶ Game 1: I pick a number between 1 and 2.
  - ▶ If you correctly guess the number, I'll pay you \$2.
  - ▶ What is the expected value?
- ▶ Game 2: I pick a number between 1 and 1000.
  - ▶ If you correctly guess the number, I'll pay you \$1000.

## Expected Value - Revisited

- ▶ Recall that if an experiment has numerical outcomes  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the expected value is

$$EV = \mu = A_1 \cdot P(A_1) + \dots + a_n \cdot P(A_n)$$

- ▶ Game 1: I pick a number between 1 and 2.
  - ▶ If you correctly guess the number, I'll pay you \$2.
  - ▶ What is the expected value?
- ▶ Game 2: I pick a number between 1 and 1000.
  - ▶ If you correctly guess the number, I'll pay you \$1000.
  - ▶ What is the expected value?

## Expected Value - Revisited

- ▶ Recall that if an experiment has numerical outcomes  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the expected value is

$$EV = \mu = A_1 \cdot P(A_1) + \dots + a_n \cdot P(A_n)$$

- ▶ Game 1: I pick a number between 1 and 2.
  - ▶ If you correctly guess the number, I'll pay you \$2.
  - ▶ What is the expected value?
- ▶ Game 2: I pick a number between 1 and 1000.
  - ▶ If you correctly guess the number, I'll pay you \$1000.
  - ▶ What is the expected value?
- ▶ Game 2 has more *variation* than game 1.



# Variance

- ▶ The variance is a statistic of how much *spread* there is in the expected value.

# Variance

- ▶ The variance is a statistic of how much *spread* there is in the expected value.
  - ▶ In some sense, it is measuring the volatility/risk of the experiment.
- ▶ If an experiment has numerical outcomes  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the **variance** is

$$\sigma^2 = (A_1 - \mu)^2 \cdot P(A_1) + \dots + (A_n - \mu)^2 \cdot P(A_n).$$

# Variance

- ▶ The variance is a statistic of how much *spread* there is in the expected value.
  - ▶ In some sense, it is measuring the volatility/risk of the experiment.
- ▶ If an experiment has numerical outcomes  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , the **variance** is

$$\sigma^2 = (A_1 - \mu)^2 \cdot P(A_1) + \dots + (A_n - \mu)^2 \cdot P(A_n).$$

- ▶ The **standard deviation** is

$$\sqrt{\sigma^2} = \sigma.$$

# Variance

- ▶ What is the variance and standard deviation of game 1?

# Variance

- ▶ What is the variance and standard deviation of game 1?
- ▶ What is the variance and standard deviation of game 2?

# Flipping a Coin

- ▶ Toss a coin 6 times, and count the number of heads.

# Flipping a Coin

- ▶ Toss a coin 6 times, and count the number of heads.

# of heads	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
▶ probability	$\frac{1}{64}$	$\frac{6}{64}$	$\frac{15}{64}$	$\frac{20}{64}$	$\frac{15}{64}$	$\frac{6}{64}$	$\frac{1}{64}$