

Exam II, October 18 – Solutions

Problem 1 From Exam I.

Problem 2 From Exam I.

Caution: This is a problem about limits of sequences, not about limits of functions.

Problem 3 Suppose $x_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Prove that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof: Assume the hypothesis. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(|x_n - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \quad (\forall n \geq N_1),$$

choose one such. Let $C := \left|\sum_{k=1}^{N_1-1} (x_k - a)\right|$. By the Archimedean property of the real numbers,

$$\left(\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}\right) \left(N_2 > \frac{2C}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

choose one such N_2 . Observe that $(N_2 > \frac{2C}{\varepsilon}) \Leftrightarrow (\frac{C}{N_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Set $N := \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Suppose $n \geq N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - a\right| &= \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - a)\right| \leq \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_1-1} (x_k - a)\right| + \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N_1}^n (x_k - a)\right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N_1}^n |x_k - a| < \frac{C}{n} + \frac{n - N_1 + 1}{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, we have $\frac{C}{n} \leq \frac{C}{N_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\frac{n - N_1 + 1}{n} \leq 1$. Thus

$$\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - a\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Hence $(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - a\right| < \varepsilon$,

QED.

Problem 4 Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose $x_n \geq 0$ for infinitely many n and $x_n \leq 0$ for infinitely many n . Prove that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Caution: The hypothesis *does not* imply that $(\exists M \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $(x_n \geq 0)(\forall n \geq M)$ or that $(\exists K \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $(x_n \leq 0)(\forall n \geq K)$; that is, the terms of the sequence need not be “eventually nonnegative/nonpositive”. For example, take $\{x_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n}\}$. Actually, if that were true, all terms after $\max\{M, K\}$

would be zero.

Proof: Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, $(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})$ s.t. $(|x_k - x_p| < \varepsilon)(\forall k, p \geq N)$. Let $n \geq N$. Then the element x_n is positive, negative or zero.

Case 1 $x_n \geq 0$. Since $x_m \leq 0$ for infinitely many m , we know $(\exists m \geq N)$ such that $x_m \leq 0$ (else, there would be less than N nonpositive terms in the sequence, which contradicts the assumption). Since $n, m \geq N$, $x_n \leq x_n - x_m = |x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$.

Case 2 $x_n < 0$. Since $x_m \geq 0$ for infinitely many m , we know $(\exists m \geq N)$ such that $x_m \geq 0$ (else, there would be less than N non-negative terms in the sequence, which contradicts the assumption). But then, since $n, m \geq N$, $x_n < -x_n \leq -x_n + x_m \leq |x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$. So, in any case, $(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $(\forall n \geq N)(|x_n| < \varepsilon)$, that is, $\{x_n\} \rightarrow 0$.

QED

Notice: We picked $\varepsilon > 0$, then it produced for us N since the sequence is Cauchy; we picked $n \geq N$ and then, considering cases, we deduced $|x_n| < \varepsilon$. In particular, ε, N, n are the same in Case 1 and Case 2. For a different proof see your lab notes.

Problem 5 Let $f(x) = x^3$ for $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Show f is differentiable in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We have to prove that the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow x_0} \frac{(x_0 + h)^3 - x_0^3}{h}$$

exists. We have

$$\frac{(x_0 + h)^3 - x_0^3}{h} = \frac{x_0^3 + 3x_0^2h + 3h^2x_0 + h^3 - x_0^3}{h} = 3x_0^2 + 3hx_0 + h^2$$

From this we obtain

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow x_0} \frac{(x_0 + h)^3 - x_0^3}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (3x_0^2 + 3hx_0 + h^2) = 3x_0^2 + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (3hx_0 + h^2).$$

Claim: $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (3hx_0 + h^2) = 0$

Proof of Claim:

Method 1: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, set $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{\varepsilon}{3|x_0|+1}\}$. Then

$$|h| < \delta \Rightarrow |3hx_0 + h^2| \leq |3x_0h| + |h^2| < 3|x_0|\delta + \delta^2 \leq 3|x_0|\delta + \delta < (3|x_0|+1)\delta \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus $|h| < \delta \Rightarrow |3hx_0 + h^2| < \varepsilon$, proving the Claim.

This was the method you were supposed to use in this problem.

Method 2: If you assume that you know properties of limits, and the fact that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h = 0$,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (3hx_0 + h^2) = 3x_0 \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h = 0 + 0 = 0$$

Alternatively, if you assume that you know that polynomials are continuous on \mathbb{R} , and if you assume the statement that a function is continuous at an

accumulation point of its domain if and only if it has a limit and the limit equals the value at that point, we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (3hx_0 + h^2) = 3x_0 \cdot 0 + 0^2 = 0.$$

QED(Claim)

We showed that

$$(\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}) \lim_{h \rightarrow x_0} \frac{(x_0 + h)^3 - x_0^3}{h} = 3x_0^2$$

QED