## MATH 371 - Class notes/outline - October 15, 2013

## More on polynomials

We now consider polynomials with coefficients in rings (not just fields) other than $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$. (Our rings continue to be commutative and have multiplicative identities).

The formal definition of a polynomial $p$ with coefficients in a ring $R$ is that it is a function $p: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow$ $R$ such that $p(n)=0$ for all but finitely many $n$. We tend to write $p_{n}$ rather than $p(n)$, and instead of writing $\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots\right)$ we write $p_{0}+p+1 x+p_{2} x^{2}+p_{3} x^{3}+\cdots$. So $x$ is the function $(0,1,0,0, \ldots), x^{2}$ is the function $(0,0,1,0,0, \ldots)$ and we can identify an element $r$ of $R$ with the function $(r, 0,0,0, \ldots)$. Given two polynomials $p$ and $q$ we form $p+q$ by letting $(p+q)(n)=(p+q)_{n}=p_{n}+q_{n}$ and

$$
(p q)(n)=(p q) n=\sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i} q_{n-i}
$$

In this way we make the ring of polynomials (in one variable) with coefficients in $R$ into a ring, denoted $R[x]$. The degree of a polynomial $p$ is the largest value of $n$ for which $p_{n} \neq 0$, the leading coefficient is $p_{n}$, and the leading term of $p$ is $p_{n} x^{n}$. Two polynomials $p$ and $q$ are equal if and only if $p(n)=q(n)$ for all $n \geqslant 0$. There is a natural inclusion $R \rightarrow R[x]$ that sends $r \in R$ to the constant polynomial $r$ - this is a ring homomorphism.

There's some weirdness that can happen for polynomials with coefficients in an arbitrary commutative ring $R$. For instance, let $R=\mathbb{Z} /\langle 4\rangle$ and consider $p=q=2 x+1$. Then $\operatorname{deg}(p)=\operatorname{deg}(q)=2$ but $p q=1$ in this ring, so $\operatorname{deg}(p q)=0$. But if the leading coefficient of $p$ or $q$ is not a zero divisor then

$$
\operatorname{deg}(p q)=\operatorname{deg}(p)+\operatorname{deg}(q)
$$

Also, if $R$ is an integral domain, then $R[x]^{*}=R^{*}$ (where we identify $R$ with the polynomials of degree zero in $R[x]$ ).

Just as we have to be careful with the degree of a product, we have to be a little bit careful with the division algorithm. The most general statement one can make is that if the leading coefficient of the polynomial $d \in R[x]$ is not a zero-divisor, then given $f \in R[x]$, there exist polynomials $q, r \in R[x]$ such that

$$
f=q d+r
$$

where either $r=0$ or none of the terms in $r$ is divisible by the leading term of $d$. Note the care with which we have to say this, and the odd things that can happen in the division algorithm, which now goes as follows:

1. Given $f$ and $d$, where the leading coefficient $d_{n}$ of $d$ is not a zero divisor, begin by setting $q=0, r=0$ and $s=f$. Note that $f=q d+(r+s)$.
2. If $s=0$, then we're done, output $q$ and $r$.
3. Let $s_{m} x^{m}$ be the leading term of $s$.
4. If $d_{n} x^{n}$ divides $s_{m} x^{m}$, then $m \geqslant n$ and $s_{m}=c d_{n}$ for a unique $c \in R$ and so $s_{m} x^{m}=$ $\left(c x^{m-n}\right)\left(d_{n} x^{n}\right)$. In this case, put $q:=q+c x^{m-n}$ and $s:=x-\left(c x^{m-n} d\right)$.
5. On the other hand, of $d_{n} x^{n}$ does not divide $s_{m} x^{m}$, then put $r:=r+s_{m} x^{m}$ and $s:=s-s_{m} x^{m}$.
6. After all of this, we still have $f=q d+(r+s)$.
7. Go pack to step 2.

Because the degree of $s$ decreases each time through the loop, the process will stop after at most $\operatorname{deg}(s)+1$ times and yield the result described above.

If the leading coefficient of $d$ is a unit in $R$, then we have the standard result that $f=q d+r$ with $\operatorname{deg}(r)<\operatorname{deg}(d)$. Note that this is true for monic polynomials (leading coefficient is 1 ) and that a monic polynomial of degree $\geqslant 1$ is never a unit in $R[x]$ (proof?).

Roots. Given any $\rho \in R$, we have the evaluation homomorphism $\varphi_{\rho}: R[x] \rightarrow R$ (note which way it goes):

$$
\varphi_{\rho}(p)=p(\rho)=p_{0}+p_{1} \rho+\cdots+p_{n} \rho^{n}
$$

Borrow the notation from affine varieties and set $V(p)=\{\rho \in R \mid p(\rho)=0\}$ to be the set of roots of $p \in R[x]$. We have that $\rho \in R$ is a root of $p \in R[x]$ if and only if $x-\rho$ divides $p$. (The proof uses the division algorithm to write $p=q(x-\rho)+r$ with $\operatorname{deg}(r)=0$, i.e., $r \in R$, so $p(\rho)=r$ and $\rho$ is a root if and only if $r=0$, i.e., $(x-\rho) \mid p$.) The multiplicity of a root $\rho$ of $p$ is denoted $\nu_{\rho}(p)$ and is the largest value of $n$ such that $(x-\rho)^{n} \mid p$.

A little weirdness: Let $R=\mathbb{Z} /\langle 6\rangle$ and let $p=x^{2}+3 x+2 \in R[x]$. Here's a table of $p(\rho)$ for $\rho \in R$ :

$$
\begin{array}{c||c|c|c|c|c|c}
\rho & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline p(\rho) & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

So $V(p)=\{1,2,4,5\}$ and $p$ has four roots even though its degree is only 2 . It's certainly not true that $p=(x-1)(x-2)(x-4)(x-5)$, although $p=(x-1)(x-2)=(x-4)(x-5)$ (since $3=-3$ in $R$ etc).

On the other hand, if $R$ is an integral domain, and $p, q \in R[x]$ then $V(p q)=V(p) \cup V(q)$. This in turn implies that if $p \neq 0$ and $V(p)=\left\{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{s}\right\}$ then

$$
p(x)=Q(x)\left(x-\rho_{1}\right)^{\nu_{\rho_{1}}(p)} \cdots\left(x-\rho_{s}\right)^{\nu_{\rho_{s}}(p)}
$$

where $Q \in R[x]$ and $V(Q)=\varnothing$. The number of roots of $p$, counted with multiplicities, is bounded by the degree of $p$. (Prove this by induction on the degree of $p$ ).

An interesting example: Consider the polynomial $x^{p}-x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. Then $V\left(x^{p}-x\right)=\mathbb{F}_{p}$ by Fermat's little theorem, therefore

$$
x^{p}-x=x(x-1)(x-2) \cdots(x-(p-1))
$$

in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. Compare the coefficients of degree 1 on both sides and get $(p-1)!=-1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, which gives another (easier? more natural?) proof of Wilson's theorem.

Derivatives: In the context of a general commutative ring $R$, we can't use calculus (limits and such) to define the derivative of a polynomial. But we can just appropriate the formula from there, and define $p^{\prime}=n a_{n} x^{n-1}+(n-1) a_{n-1} x^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{1}$ if $p=a_{n} x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0}$. Then you can formally prove the sum and product rules for derivatives.

It's easy to prove that if $p^{2} \mid q$ then $p \mid q^{\prime}$ and an element $\rho \in R$ is a multiple root of $p$ (i.e., $\left.\nu_{\rho}(p)>1\right)$ if and only if $\rho$ is a root of both $p$ and $p^{\prime}$.

One fact about derivatives that doesn't carry over from calculus is the mean-value theorem. So there are non-constant polynomials with derivative zero - for instance $q=x^{p} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$.

Cyclotomic polynomials: Let's go back to $\mathbb{C}[x]$ for a bit and consider the " $n$th roots of unity", i.e., the complex numbers $\xi$ that satisfy $\xi^{n}=1$. As is well known, the $n$th roots of unity are $\xi=e^{2 \pi k i / n}$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. The number $\xi$ is called a primitive $n$th root of unity if $\xi^{n}=1$ but $\xi^{k} \neq 1$ for $0<k<n$. We have that $e^{2 \pi k i / n}$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1$. Thus there are $\varphi(n)$ primitive $n$th roots of unity (where $\varphi$ is Euler's $\varphi$-function). Moreover, if $\zeta$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity and $\zeta^{m}=1$. then $n \mid m$ (because then $e^{2 \pi m k i / n}=1$ so $m k / n$ is an integer; $n \mid m k$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1$ implies $n \mid m)$.

The $n$th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{n}(x)$ is defined to be the monic polynomial whose roots are precisely the primitive $n$th roots of unity. So

$$
\Phi_{n}(x)=\prod_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1}\left(x-e^{2 \pi k i / n}\right)
$$

The first few $\Phi_{n}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}(x)=x-1 \\
& \Phi_{2}(x)=x+1 \\
& \Phi_{3}(x)=\left(x-\left(-\frac{1}{2}+i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)\right)\left(x-\left(-\frac{1}{2}-i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)\right)=x^{2}+x+1 \\
& \Phi_{4}(x)=(x-i)(x+i)=x^{2}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

It is remarkable that the cyclotomic polynomials seem to (and do) all have integer coefficients, which allows us to define them as polynomials over any ring, and the following is true:

Proposition: For all $n \geqslant 1$, (i) $x^{n}-1=\prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_{d}(x)$, and (ii) $\Phi_{n}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, i, e., the cyclotomic polynomials have integer coefficients.

Proof. The roots of $x^{n}-1$ are all the $n$th roots of unity. The roots of the $\Phi_{d}(x)$ are the primitive $d$ th roots of unity, where $d \mid n$, so all the roots of the product on the right side of (i) are roots of $x^{n}-1$. But each root of $x^{n}-1$ must be a primitive $d$ th root of unity for some $d \leqslant n$ for which $d \mid n$. Thus the polynomials on the left and right sides of (i) have the same roots, and they are both monic, so they are equal (since $\mathbb{C}$ is a field). To prove $\Phi_{n}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ we use induction on $n$. We know the first few cases are true. For $n>1$, set $f=\prod_{d<n, d \mid n} \Phi_{d}$, so that $x^{n}-1=\Phi_{n} f$. By induction (since $f$ is the product of $\Phi_{d}$ 's for $d<n$ ), we know that $f$ is a monic integer polynomial. Division of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ gives $x^{n}-1=q f+r$ where $r=0$ or $\operatorname{deg}(r)<\operatorname{deg}(f)$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since $f$ is monic, we have that $q$ and $r$ are unique in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ as well as in $\mathbb{C}[x]$, so we must have $q=\Phi_{n}$ and $r=0$. Therefore $\Phi_{n}=q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

The identity (i) above is true in any $R[x]$, via the canonical homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $R$, extended to be a homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ to $R[x]$. So we generalize the notion of primitive $n t h$ root of unity to any commutative ring $R: \alpha \in R$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity if $\alpha^{n}=1$ and $\alpha^{k} \neq 1$ for $1 \leqslant k<n$.

Lemma: Suppose $R$ is an integral domain, and let $\alpha \in R$. If $\Phi_{n}(\alpha)=0$ and if $\alpha$ is not a multiple root of $x^{n}-1 \in R[x]$, then $\alpha$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity in $R$.

Proof. The identity $x^{n}-1=\prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_{d}(x)$ in $R[x]$ means there is a factorization $q \Phi_{n}=x^{n}-1$ for some $q \in R[x]$. Therefore $\alpha^{n}-1=q(\alpha) \Phi_{n}(\alpha)=0$ and so $\alpha^{n}=1$. If $\alpha$ is a primitive $d$ th root of unity for some $1 \leqslant d<n$, then we must have $d \mid n$ by the parenthetical remark above. In this case, we have $x^{n}-1=\prod_{c \mid d} \Phi_{c}(x)$ by (i) again, and since $R$ is an integral domain we'll have $\Phi_{c}(\alpha)=0$ for some $c \mid d$. But now $\alpha$ is a root of at least two of the factors in $x^{n}-1=\prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_{d}(x)$, namely $\Phi_{n}$ and $\Phi_{c}$ for some $c \leqslant d<n$, so $\alpha$ is a multiple root of $x^{n}-1$, a contradiction.

Using this lemma, we can prove an important result due to Gauss:
Theorem: Let $F$ be a field and let $G \subset F^{*}$ be a finite subgroup of the group of units in $F$. Then $G$ is cyclic.

Proof. Let $N=|G|$ and consider the polynomial $x^{N}-1=\prod_{d \mid N} \Phi_{d}(x) \in F[x]$. The roots of $x^{N}-1$ are precisely the elements of $G$, since every element of $G$ is a root, and there are at most $N$, and hence exactly $N$ such roots. This tells us that none of the roots of $x^{N}-1$ are multiple roots. But then $\Phi_{N}$ must have $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi_{N}\right)=\varphi(N)$ roots, which are primitive $N$ th roots of unity by the lemma above, and hence are generators of $G$.

A corollary of this theorem is that $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ is a cyclic group. An integer $a$ such that $[a]$ generates $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ is called a primitive root $\bmod p$. For instance, 2 is a primitive root mod 13 (try it!). There doesn't seem to be any way to identify the $\varphi(p-1)$ primitive roots among the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ (the proportion of them can be arbitrarily small).

Another application of cyclotomic polynomials:
Theorem: There are infinitely many prime numbers $\equiv 1(\bmod n)$ for any $n \geqslant 2$.
Proof. It is enough to show that there exists a prime number $\equiv 1(\bmod n)$ for every $n \geqslant 2$ (why?). From the definition of $\Phi_{n}$, we have for $n \geqslant 2$ that $\left|\Phi_{n}(n)\right|>1$. So there is a prime $p$ such that $p \mid \Phi_{n}(n)$. Now the constant term of $\Phi_{n}$ is $\pm 1$ since $\left|\Phi_{n}(0)\right|=1$ and $\Phi_{n}(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$, which shows that $p \nmid n$ (since if $p \mid n$ then $p$ would divide every term of $\Phi_{n}(n)$ except the constant term 1 , but we're assuming $p \mid \Phi_{n}(n)$ ). Therefore $[n]$ is not a multiple root of $x^{n}-1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ (since $p$ does not divide the derivative of $x^{n}-1$ evaluated at $\left.x=n\right)$. Since $\Phi_{n}([n])=0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, this implies by the lemma above that the order of $[n]$ is $n$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$. Therefore $n$ divides $\left|\mathbb{F}_{p}\right|=p-1$ and so $p \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.

More on ideals in polynomial rings. We already know that if $F$ is a field, then $F[x]$ is a Euclidean domain (the degree of a polynomial is the Euclidean function). Therefore $F[x]$ is a principal ideal domain and a unique factorization domain and the division algorithm works in $F[x]$

We illustrate this by finding $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{5}+x+1, x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\right)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$.

| $i$ | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{i}$ | $x^{5}+x+1$ | $x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1$ | $x^{3}+x^{2}+x$ | $x^{2}+x+1$ | 0 |
| $q_{i}$ | - | - | $x+1$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| $\lambda_{i}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | $x$ | - |
| $\mu_{i}$ | 0 | 1 | $x+1$ | $x^{2}+x+1$ | - |

So the gcd is $x^{2}+x+1$ and $x^{2}+x+1=x\left(x^{5}+x+1\right)+\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\right)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$.

Recall that the units in $F[x]$ are the non-zero constants, and if $p$ is not irreducible then there are polynomials $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ such that $p=q_{1} q_{2}$ and $0<\operatorname{deg}\left(q_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(q_{2}\right)<\operatorname{deg}(p)$. So the following are direct consequences of things we already know:

Proposition: For $p \in F[x]$,
(i) The ideal $\langle p\rangle$ is maximal if and only if $p$ is irreducible, in which case $F[x] /\langle p\rangle$ is a field.
(ii) $p$ is a unit if and only if $\operatorname{deg}(p)=0$.
(iii) If $\operatorname{deg}(p)=1$ then $p$ is irreducible (and $F[x] /\langle p\rangle \cong F$ ).
(iv) If $p$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{deg}(p)>1$ then $p$ does not have any roots.
(v) If $\operatorname{deg}(p)=2$ or 3 then $p$ is irreducible if and only if it has no roots.

Examples: The polynomial $p=x^{3}+x+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{5}[x]$ is irreducible since it is degree 3 and has no roots:

$$
\begin{array}{c||c|c|c|c|c}
x & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline p(x) & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 4
\end{array}
$$

But $q=x^{4}+x^{2}+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$ has no roots since $q(0)=1$ and $q(1)=1$, but $x^{4}+x^{2}+1=\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)^{2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$.

Gauss proved (and we might prove one of these days) that the cyclotomic polynomials are irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. In the homework we'll explore which cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_{n}$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$.

In Galois theory, one studies the situation where there is a field $F$ and a polynomial $p \in F[x]$ with no roots in $F$, along with an extension field $E \supset F$ containing an element $\alpha$ for which $p(\alpha)=0$ (we view $p$ also as an element of $E[x])$. The most familiar case of this is $F=\mathbb{R}, E=\mathbb{C}, p=x^{2}+1$ and $\alpha=i$. There is a natural construction of such an $E$, given $F$ and $p$. For instance $\mathbb{R}[x] /\left\langle x^{2}+1\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Because it's really no harder, we'll do this construction in the general case $R[x]$ where the coefficients come from a ring that is not necessarily a field. First a remark: Suppose $I$ is an ideal in $R[x]$ such that $R \cap I=\langle 0\rangle$ (where we consider $R$ to be the subring of constant polynomials in $R[x]$, so the only constant polynomial in $I$ is the zero polynomial). If $r_{1}, r_{2} \in R$ and $\left[r_{1}\right]=\left[r_{2}\right] \in R / I$, then $r_{1}-r_{2} \in R \cap I$ and so $r_{1}=r_{2}$. So if $R \cap I=\langle 0\rangle$ we can simply write $r$ to denote the element $[r]$ in $R[x] / I$.

Proposition: Let $R$ be a ring and

$$
p=x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0} \in R[x]
$$

be a monic polynomial of degree $n$. Then $R \cap\langle p\rangle=\langle 0\rangle$. Each element $[q]=q+\langle p\rangle$ in the quotient ring $R[x] /\langle p\rangle$ can be expressed uniquely as a polynomial of degree less than $n$ in $[x]$ : $b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}+\cdots+b_{1} \alpha+b_{0}$, where $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in R$ and $\alpha=[x]$. In $R[x] /\langle p\rangle$ we have the identity

$$
\alpha^{n}=-a_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}-\cdots-a_{1} \alpha-a_{0}
$$

It is essential that $p$ is a monic polynomial so that the considerations about degree on page 1 of these notes apply. Note that the natural ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow R[x] /\langle p\rangle$ given by $\varphi(r)=[r]$ is injective, so we can view $R$ as a subring of $R[x] /\langle p\rangle$.

In the special case that $R=F$, a field and $p$ is an irreducible polynomial, then $\langle p\rangle$ is a maximal ideal and $F[x] /\langle p\rangle$ is an extension field $E$ of $F$, and $\alpha=[x] \in E$ is actually a root of $p$.

Example. Let $p=x^{2}+x+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$, which is irreducible since it has no roots. By the proposition, the quotient ring $E=\mathbb{F}_{2}[x] /\left\langle x^{2}+x+1\right\rangle$ is a field, whose elements are of the form $a+b \alpha$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $\alpha^{2}=-1-\alpha=1+\alpha$ determines the multiplication rule:

$$
(a+b \alpha)(c+d \alpha)=a c+(a d+b c) \alpha+b d \alpha^{2}=(a c-b d)+(a d+b c-b d) \alpha
$$

(it doesn't matter whether we use plus or minus signs since the characteristic of the field is 2 ). Note that $E$ is an extension field of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ having 4 elements.

The law of quadratic reciprocity. Before the break, we were concerned with which in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ are quadratic residues, i.e., which half of the non-zero elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ can be expressed as the squares of elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. We introduced the Legendre symbol:

$$
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } p \mid a \\
1 & \text { if } a \text { is a quadratic residue modulo } p \\
-1 & \text { if } a \text { is a quadratic non-residue modulo } p
\end{array}\right.
$$

Recall that the Legendre symbol satisfies

$$
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{a+k p}{p}\right)
$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and if $p$ is an odd prime and $a$ is an integer not divisible by $p$, then we have Euler's formula

$$
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=a^{(p-1) / 2}(\bmod p)
$$

This allows us to conclude that if $p$ is an odd prime, then the Legendre symbols satisfy:

$$
\left(\frac{a b}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)
$$

and we noted that

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=(-1)^{(p-1) / 2}
$$

tells us that if $p$ is an odd prime, then -1 is a quadratic residue $\bmod p$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and -1 is a quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$ if $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

We can get a little more information in an elementary way by following in Gauss's footsteps. We start as follows: For odd primes $p$, we're used to writing the numbers in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ as $0,1, \ldots, p-1$, but we could just as easily write them as

$$
-\frac{p-1}{2},-\frac{p-3}{2}, \ldots,-2,-1,0,1,2, \ldots, \frac{p-3}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2} .
$$

For any integer $a$ such that $p \nmid a$, we consider the list of numbers

$$
a, 2 a, 3 a, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2} a .
$$

None of these numbers is divisible by $p$, and no pair of these are congruent to each other mod $p$. We set $\mu_{p}(a)$ (or just $\mu(a)$ if $p$ is clear from the context) equal to the number of elements of this list that are congruent to negative numbers in the above listing of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ (or to numbers bigger than $p / 2$ in the standard listing of $\left.\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. For instance, if $p=11$ then $\mu(6)=3$, since $6,12,18,24,30$ are
congruent to $-5,1,-4,2,-3 \bmod 11$. Using the $\mu$ function, we can give another characterization of Legendre symbols:

Lemma (Gauss): With the above notation, if $p \nmid a$, then $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=(-1)^{\mu_{p}(a)}$.
Idea of proof: Each number $k a$ for $k=1, \ldots,(p-1) / 2$ is congruent to $\pm m_{k}$ for $1 \leqslant m_{k} \leqslant(p-1) / 2$. When $1 \leqslant j, k \leqslant(p-1) / 2$ and $j \neq k$, we cannot have $j a \equiv \pm k a(\bmod p)\left(\right.$ since $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is a field $)$, and by the definition of $\mu$ we conclude that

$$
a^{(p-1) / 2}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\equiv(-1)^{\mu_{p}(a)}\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!(\bmod p)
$$

and so Gauss's result follows from Euler's after canceling off the $((p-1) / 2)$ !.
Using this, we can determine when 2 is a quadratic residue $\bmod p$ for $p$ an odd prime. Namely, 2 is a quadratic residue $\bmod p$ of $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ or $p \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, and 2 is a quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$ if $p \equiv 3,5(\bmod 8)$. To see this, we need to compute $\mu_{p}(2)$, i.e., how many of the numbers $2,4, \ldots, p-1$ are greater than $p / 2$. And if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ then this number is $(p-1) / 4$, where if $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ it's $(p+1) / 4$. Therefore

$$
\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1 & \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \\
-1 & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 8) \\
-1 & \text { if } p \equiv 5(\bmod 8) \\
1 & \text { if } p \equiv 7(\bmod 8)
\end{array}\right.
$$

To do much more, we need the powerful law of quadratic reciprocity, due to Gauss. It states that if $p$ and $q$ are odd primes then

$$
\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1) / 4}
$$

Another way to say this is

$$
\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv q \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \\
\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is remarkable that the two congruences

$$
x^{2} \equiv q(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad x^{2} \equiv p(\bmod q)
$$

should have any connection. But here's an example that shows the usefulness of the law of quadratic reciprocity in computing Legendre symbols:

$$
\left(\frac{19}{43}\right)=-\left(\frac{43}{19}\right)=-\left(\frac{5}{19}\right)=-\left(\frac{19}{5}\right)=-\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)=-\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)=-1
$$

and so the congruence $x^{2} \equiv 19(\bmod 43)$ has no solutions.
To prove Gauss's law of quadratic reciprocity we will work in the ring

$$
R=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\left\langle 1+x+\cdots+x^{q-1}\right\rangle .
$$

From the proposition on page 5 , an element in $R$ can be written uniquely in terms of $\alpha=[x]$ as

$$
c_{0}+c_{1} \alpha+\cdots+c_{q-1} \alpha^{q-2}
$$

where $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{q-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.
Lemma: The element $\alpha$ is a primitive $q$ th root of unity in $R$. Moreover, if $q \nmid \ell$ and $\beta=\alpha^{\ell}$ then

$$
1+\beta+\cdots+\beta^{q-1}=0
$$

in $R$.
Proof. We know from the proposition that $\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \ldots, \alpha^{q-2} \neq 1$ and $\alpha^{q-1}=-1-\alpha-\cdots-\alpha^{q-2} \neq 1$. But $\alpha^{q}=\alpha \alpha^{q-1}=1$, and so $\alpha$ is a primitive $q$ th root of unity. If $q \nmid \ell$ then $\operatorname{gcd}(q, \ell)=1$, and so $\left\{1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{q-1}\right\}=\left\{1, \beta, \ldots, \beta^{q-1}\right\}$, which gives the equation in the lemma.

Gauss sums. We define the Gauss sum in $R$ to be

$$
G=\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) \alpha^{k}
$$

Because we're working in $R$ (where $\alpha^{q}=1$ ), the individual terms satisfy

$$
\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) \alpha^{k}=\left(\frac{k+q m}{q}\right) \alpha^{k+q m}
$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We'll use this often to prove two important properties of $G$ :

1. $G^{2}=(-1)^{(q-1) / 2} q$.
2. If $q \neq p$, then $G$ is an invertible element in the ring $R$.

Proof. The invertibility of $G$ follows from (1) since $q \in \mathbb{F}_{p} \subset R$ is invertible in $R$ since it is invertible in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ for $q \neq p$. To prove (1), we start calculating:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{2} & =\left(\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) \alpha^{k}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) \alpha^{k}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) \alpha^{j}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{-k}{q}\right) \alpha^{-k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(where we reversed the second sum and used that $\left(\frac{q-k}{q}\right) \alpha^{q-k}=\left(\frac{-k}{q}\right) \alpha^{-k}$ ). Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{j}{q}\right)\left(\frac{-k}{q}\right) \alpha^{j-k} \\
& =\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{j k}{q}\right) \alpha^{j-k} \\
& =(-1)^{(q-1) / 2} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{j^{2} k}{q}\right) \alpha^{j(1-k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last equality we used the fact about $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$ from near the bottom of page 6 and we replaced $k$ with $j k$, since as $k$ runs through $1, \ldots, q-1$ the remainders of $j k \bmod q$ also run through $1, \ldots, q$ (though not necessarily in the same order). Since $\left(\frac{j^{2}}{q}\right)=1$ by definition, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{2} & =(-1)^{(q-1) / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \alpha^{j(1-k)} \\
& =(-1)^{(q-1) / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \alpha^{j(1-k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{k}{q}\right)=0$ (half the numbers between 1 and $q-1$ are quadratic residues $\bmod q$ ). From the lemma above, we have that $\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \alpha^{j(1-k)}=0$ unless $k=1$, in which case the sum is $q$. This gives the formula for $G^{2}$ in (1) above.

Proof of the law of quadratic reciprocity. Raise $G$ to the $p$ th power in $R$ and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{p} & =\left(G^{2}\right)^{(p-1) / 2} G=(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1) / 4} q^{(p-1) / 2} G \\
& =(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1) / 4}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) G
\end{aligned}
$$

using Euler's formula for the Legendre symbol. On the other hand, we can calculate $G^{p}$ from the definition and use the "freshman dream" in the ring $R$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{p} & =\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) \alpha^{j}\right)^{p}=\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{j}{q}\right) \alpha^{p j} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{q-1}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{p j}{q}\right) \alpha^{p j}=\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) G
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G$ is invertible, we can cancel $G$ from the two expressions for $G^{p}$ and get the law of quadratic reciprocity:

$$
\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)=(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1) / 4}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) .
$$

The above is one of the half-dozen or so proofs that Gauss gave of the law of quadratic reciprocity. He was so taken with the theorem that he called it his "Theorema Aureum".

## Finite fields.

Next we turn to the remarkable fact that for every prime $p$ and every $n \geqslant 1$ there exists a unique field with $p^{n}$ elements (we constructed a field with $2^{2}$ elements above).

Lemma: Suppose $F$ is a finite field, then $|F|=p^{n}$, where $p$ is a prime number, $n \geqslant 1$, and there exists an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ of degree $n$ such that $F \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle$.

Proof. Start with the unique ring homomorphism $\kappa: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F$, which is not injective since $F$ is finite. Therefore the characteristic (generator of the kernel of $\kappa$ ) of $F$ is a prime number $p$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, being the image of $\kappa$, is a subring of $F$. By the first theorem on page 4, we have that $F^{*}$ is a cyclic group, so let $\sigma$ be a generator of $F^{*}$. Thus, every element in $F$ is either 0 or else some power $\sigma^{n}$ of $\sigma$. Since $\varphi_{\sigma}(x)=\sigma$, and so $\varphi_{\sigma}\left(x^{n}\right)=\sigma^{n}$, the ring homomorphism $\varphi_{\sigma}: F[x] \rightarrow F$ is surjective, and in fact, since $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] \subseteq F[x]$, we can restrict $\varphi_{\sigma}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ and get a surjective homomorphism

$$
\varphi: \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] \rightarrow F .
$$

The kernel of $\varphi$ is a principal ideal $\langle f\rangle \subset \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, and $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle \cong F$, so $\langle f\rangle$ is a maximal ideal. Therefore $f$ is an irreducible polynomial (by (i) of the Proposition on page 5). And $|F|=p^{n}$, where $n=\operatorname{deg}(f)$ by the other proposition on page 5 .

Our goal now is to prove the main result of this subsection:
Theorem: There exists a finite field with $p^{n}$ elements, where $p$ is a prime number and $n \geqslant 1$. More precisely:
(i) There exists an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ of degree $n$.
(ii) If $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are finite fields with $p^{n}$ elements, then there is a ring isomorphism $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$.

Proof. To prove (i), we are going to use cyclotomic polynomials - since the cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{k}$ has integer coefficients, we can use the homomorphism $\kappa: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$ to consider $\Phi_{k}$ as an element of $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. We are going to show that if $f$ is an irreducible polynomial dividing $\Phi_{p^{n}-1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, then $\operatorname{deg}(f)=n$.

To do this, suppose $\operatorname{deg}(f)=d$, then we know that $E=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle$ is a field with $p^{d}$ elements and $\alpha=[x]$ is a root of $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] \subset E[x]$. Since $f \mid \Phi_{p^{n}-1}$ we have $g f=\Phi_{p^{n}-1}$ for some $g \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ and we get that $\Phi_{p^{n}-1}(\alpha)=g(\alpha) f(\alpha)=0$. The derivative of $x^{p^{n}-1}-1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ is $-x^{p^{n}-2}$, therefore $\alpha$ is not a multiple root of $x^{p^{n}}-1$ and so $\alpha$ is a primitive $\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ th root of unity. But $\alpha^{p^{d}-1}=1$ (that's the order of the group $E^{*}$ ), and so $p^{n}-1 \mid p^{d}-1$.

On the other hand, let $R=\left\{\xi \in E \mid \xi^{p^{n}}=\xi\right\}$, which is a subring of $E$ (use the freshman dream to get additivity). Since $\alpha^{p^{n}-1}=1$, we must have $\alpha \in R$, and since $E=\left\{a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha+\cdots+a_{d-1} \alpha^{d-1} \mid a_{i} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{F}_{p}\right\}$, it follows that $R=E$ (since $R$ contains 1 and all powers of $\alpha$ and is a subring of $E$ ). Now we know there is a primitive ( $p^{d}-1$ )th root of unity $\zeta$ in $E$, and since $E=R$ we have $\zeta \in R$ and so $\zeta^{p^{n}-1}=1$. But then $p^{d}-1 \mid p^{n}-1$ and combining this with the preceding paragraph tell us that $p^{d}-1=p^{n}-1$, or $d=n$. This completes the proof of (i).

To prove (ii), suppose $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are finite fields with $p^{n}$ elements. By the lemma above, $F \cong$ $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle$ for some irreducible polynomial $f$ of degree $n$, and $f(\alpha)=0$, where $\alpha=[x] \in F$. The set $I=\left\{g \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] \mid g(\alpha)=0\right\} \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, and $f \in I$. Therefore $\langle f\rangle \subset I$, but $\langle f\rangle$ is a maximal ideal (because $F$ is a field) and so $I=\langle f\rangle$.

Now $F^{*}$ is a finite group with $p^{n}-1$ elements, therefore $\beta^{p^{n}-1}-1=0$ for every $\beta \in F^{*}$, which implies that $x^{p^{n}}-x \in I$ and therefore $f \mid x^{p^{n}}-x$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. On the other hand, in $F^{\prime}[x]$ we have that

$$
x^{p^{n}}-x=\prod_{\gamma \in F^{\prime}}(x-\gamma),
$$

since every $\gamma \in F^{\prime}$ satisfies $\gamma^{p^{n}}-\gamma=0$ as well. Therefore $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] \subset F^{\prime}[x]$ must have a root $\alpha^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ since $f$ divides $x^{p^{n}}-x$. So consider the ring homomorphism

$$
\varphi_{\alpha^{\prime}}: \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] \rightarrow F^{\prime}
$$

Chearly $\langle f\rangle \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)$, but since $\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)$ is a proper ideal and $\langle f\rangle$ is a maximal ideal in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, we must have $\langle f\rangle=\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)$. Therefore there is an injective ring homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle \rightarrow F^{\prime}
$$

which must also be surjective since $F^{\prime}$ has the same number of elements as $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle \cong F$. Thus $F \cong F^{\prime}$ and we are done.

We know that $x^{p^{n}}-x=x\left(x^{p^{n}-1}-1\right)=x \prod_{d \mid p^{n}-1} \Phi_{d}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. And by the theorem on the preceding page, we know that $x^{p^{n}}-x$ is divisible by an irreducible polynomial of degree $n$. But we can say a bit more about this, in particular we can calculate the complete irreducible factorization of $x^{p^{n}}-x$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. For instance in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$,

$$
x^{2^{2}}-x=x^{4}-x=x(x+1)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)
$$

and in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[x]$,

$$
x^{3^{2}}-x=x^{9}-x=x(x+1)(x+2)\left(x^{2}+1\right)\left(x^{2}+x+2\right)\left(x^{2}+2 x+2\right) .
$$

In general we have the following:
Theorem. The polynomial $x^{p^{n}}-x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ is the product $x^{p^{n}}-x=f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{k}$ of all the monic irreducible polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ of all degrees $d$ for which $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n$ and $d \mid n$.

Proof. We can restate the theorem as follows: For $d$ such that $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n$ and $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ an irreducible monic polynomial of degree $d, f \mid x^{p^{n}}-x$ if and only if $d \mid n$. Furthermore $x^{p^{n}}-x$ is not divisible by the square of any irreducible polynomial.

So we suppose $d$ satisfies $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n$ and $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ is an irreducible monic polynomial of degree $d$. Then we have $E=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle$ is a field with $p^{d}$ elements, and $\alpha=[x] \in E$ satisfies $\alpha^{p^{d}}=\alpha$ (because $E^{*}$ is a cyclic group of order $p^{d}-1$ ). Now if $d \mid n$, then raising both sides of $\alpha^{p^{d}}=\alpha$ to the $p^{d}$ power $q$ times, where $n=q d$, gives us that $\alpha^{p^{n}}=\alpha$ in $E$. And this means that $\alpha^{p^{n}}-\alpha=\left[x^{p^{n}}-x\right]=[0] \in E=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle$, in other words, $x^{p^{n}}-x \in\langle f\rangle$, in other words $f \mid x^{p^{n}}-x$.

Now let's assume that the monic irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ of degree $d$ divides $x^{p^{n}}-x$ and we wish to show that $d \mid n$. Once again consider the field $E=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle$, and let $g(x)=x^{p^{n}}-x \in$ $E[x]$. Clearly $1 \in E$ satisfies $g(1)=0$, and $\alpha=[x] \in E$ satisfies $g(\alpha)=0$, since $f \mid g$ and $f(\alpha)=0$ in $E$. Now use the "freshman's dream" to conclude that the set of elements $e$ of $E$ which satisfy $g(e)=0$ is a subring of $E$, and hence it is all of $E$. But $E$ has $p^{d}$ elements, so $E^{*}$ is a cyclic group of order $p^{d}-1$. And if $\sigma$ is a generator of $E^{*}$ then $\sigma^{p^{d}-1}=1$, and also $\sigma^{p^{n}-1}=1$ since this is true for all elements of $E^{*}$. Thus $p^{d}-1 \mid p^{n}-1$. We claim that this implies $d \mid n$ and will prove this below.

Up to this point, we've shown that the $x^{p^{n}}-x$ is the product of the monic irreducible polynomials of degrees $d$ which divide $n$. Now we have to show that none of these irreducible polynomials occur
to a power higher than 1 in the factorization of $x^{p^{n}}-x$. But if $f$ is an irreducible factor of $x^{p^{n}}-x$, then $f^{2}$ cannot divide evenly into $x^{p^{n}}-x$, since the derivative of $x^{p^{n}}-x=p^{n} x^{p^{n}-1}-1=-1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ (and use the first sentence on page 3 ).

So the last detail we have to take care of is a proof that if $t, d$ and $n$ are positive integers, with $t>1$, then $t^{d}-1 \mid t^{n}-1$ if and only if $d \mid n$. Start by writing $n=d q+r$ with $0 \leqslant r<d$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{t^{n}-1}{t^{d}-1} & =\frac{\left(t^{d}\right)^{q} t^{r}-1}{t^{d}-1}=\frac{\left(t^{d}\right)^{q} t^{r}-t^{r}+t^{r}-1}{t^{d}-1} \\
& =t^{r} \frac{\left(t^{d}\right)^{q}-1}{t^{d}-1}+\frac{t^{r}-1}{t^{d}-1} \\
& =t^{r}\left(1+t^{d}+\cdots+\left(t^{d}\right)^{q-1}\right)+\frac{t^{r}-1}{t^{d}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

But $0 \leqslant t^{r}-1<t^{d}-1$, so the division works if and only if $r=0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

If we take the degree of both sides of the factorization $x^{p^{n}}-x=f_{1} \cdots f_{k}$ from the theorem, we get the equation

$$
p^{n}=\sum_{d \mid n} d N_{d}
$$

where $N_{d}$ is the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$.
Since we know that there are $p$ monic irreducible polynomials of degree 1 in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, namely

$$
x, \quad x-1, \quad x-2, \quad \ldots, \quad x-(p-1)
$$

we have $N_{1}=p$. So if $q$ is a prime number, then

$$
p^{q}=q N_{q}+N_{1}=q N_{q}+p
$$

and we can conclude that

$$
N_{q}=\left(p^{q}-p\right) / q
$$

More generally, we have

$$
N_{n}=\frac{1}{n}\left(p^{n}-\sum_{d<n, d \mid n} d N_{d}\right)
$$

Another important consequence of the theorem above is the following lemma:
Lemma: Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree $d$. Then $f \mid x^{p^{d}}-x$ and $f$ does not divide $x^{p^{c}}-x$ if $c<d$.

Using this result we can find factors of a given polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ using the Euclidean algorithm. Suppose that $g \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x], \operatorname{deg}(g)=d$ and $g=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{d}$ where $g_{i}$ is the product of all the irreducible polynomials of degree $i$ that divide $g$. It then follows from the theorem that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{p^{i}}-x, g\right)$ is the product of all the $g_{j}$ for $j \mid i$. So we can find the $g_{j}$ by successively inserting $i=1,2, \ldots$ into $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{p^{i}}-x, g\right)$ and using the Euiclidean algorithm to compute the gcd.

Factoring in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ : We can use linear algebra to help decide whether a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ of degree $\geqslant 4$ is irreducible. To do this, we consider the Frobenius map $F: \mathbb{F}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$ where $F(\lambda)=\lambda^{p}$
(this is a ring homomorphism because of the "freshman's dream"). Given a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ we extend $F$ to the ring $R=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle$, and we'll still call this map $F: R \rightarrow R$.

But we can view $R$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and because $\lambda^{p}=\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, the map $F$ (extended to $R$ ) is a linear mapping of vector spaces. It might help to do an example of this.

Example: Let $f=x^{5}+x+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$. Then $R=\mathbb{F}_{2}[x] /\langle f\rangle$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with basis $\left\{1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \alpha^{4}\right\}$ where $\alpha=[x]$. Since $f(\alpha)=0$ in $R$, we have that $\alpha^{5}=\alpha+1$. What doe the Frobenius map $F(\lambda)=\lambda^{2}$ do to this basis? Well, $F(1)=1, F(\alpha)=\alpha^{2}, F\left(\alpha^{2}\right)=\alpha^{4}$, $F\left(\alpha^{3}\right)=\alpha^{6}=\alpha\left(\alpha^{5}\right)=\alpha(\alpha+1)=\alpha^{2}+\alpha$, and $F\left(\alpha^{4}\right)=\alpha^{8}=\alpha^{3}\left(\alpha^{5}\right)=\alpha^{3}(\alpha+1)=\alpha^{4}+\alpha^{3}$. Therefore the matrix of the map $F$ with respect to this basis is

$$
M_{F}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that this matrix is invertible, since if we apply the permutation (2453) to it, it becomes upper triangular with 1 s on the diagonal (so det $M_{F}=1$ ).

Now if $M_{F}$ were not invertible, then we could find a non-constant polynomial $g \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(g)<\operatorname{deg}(f)$ and $[g]^{p}=0$. And if $q$ were an irreducible polynomial such that $q \mid f$ then we would have $q \mid g$. Therefore $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)$ is a non-trivial divisor of $f$ (i.e., $0<\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{gcd}(f, g))<\operatorname{deg}(f))$.

Next, suppose $g \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ is a polynomial such that $0<\operatorname{deg}(g)<\operatorname{deg}(f)$ and $[g]^{p}-[g]=0$ in $R=\mathbb{F}_{p} /\langle f\rangle$. In other words, $[g]$ is in the kernel of the linear map $F-I: R \rightarrow R$ (viewing $R$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ ). Since

$$
x^{p}-x=x(x-1) \cdots(x-p+1)
$$

in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, we also have the factorization

$$
g^{p}-g=g(g-1) \cdots(g-p+1)
$$

in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. If $q$ is an irreducible factor of $f$, and since $f \mid g^{p}-g$ (because $\left[g^{p}-g\right]=0 \in R=$ $\mathbb{F}_{p} /\langle f\rangle$ ), we obtain that $q$ will divide one of $g, g-1, \ldots, g-p+1$. And so one of $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)$, $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g-1), \ldots, \operatorname{gcd}(f, g-p+1)$ is a non-trivial factor of $f($ since $\operatorname{deg}(g)<\operatorname{deg}(f))$.

Example (continued): The matrix of $F-I$ for the example above is

$$
M_{F-I}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now $[1,0,0,0,0]^{T} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(M_{F-I}\right)$, but we knew that would happen since $a^{p}-a=0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. But there is a second, linearly independent element of $\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{F-I}\right)$, namely $[1,1,0,1,1]^{T}$. This means that the polynomial $g=1+x+x^{3}+x^{4}$ satisfies $f \mid g^{2}-g$. Using the Euclidean algorithm, we can compute that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{5}+x+1, x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1\right)=x^{2}+x+1
$$

and so $x^{2}+x+1$ is a nontrivial factor of $x^{5}+x+1$.
So we have a way to find non-trivial factors of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. It might be a bit surprising to know that if the method given above doesn't work to find a factor of $f$, then $f$ is irreducible:

Theorem: Suppose $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ is a non-constant polynomial and let $F: R \rightarrow R$ be the Frobenius map, where $R=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\langle f\rangle$. Then $f$ is irreducible if and only if $\operatorname{ker}(F)=0$ and $\operatorname{ker}(F-I)=\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Proof. We have seen above that $\operatorname{ker}(F)=0$ and $\operatorname{ker}(F-I)=\mathbb{F}_{p}$ if $f$ is irreducible, since otherwise we can use the method above to find a non-trivial factor of $f$. So conversely, assume that $\operatorname{ker}(F)=0$ and $\operatorname{ker}(F-I)=\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and let $r$ be a non-zero element of $R$. We're going to show that $r$ is invertible in $R$, which will imply that $R$ is a field, and thus that $f$ is irreducible. Consider the $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-linear map $A: R \rightarrow R$ given by $A(x)=r x$, and suppose that $x \in \operatorname{ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{im}(A)$. Then $x=r y$ for some $y \in R$ and $r x=0$. But then $F(x)=F(r y)=r^{p} y^{p}=r^{p-2} y^{p-1} r x=0$, and so $x \in \operatorname{ker}(F)$. Therefore $x=0$ and so $\operatorname{ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{im}(A)=0$. But since $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(A))+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{im}(A))=\operatorname{dim}(R)$ (the dimensions are taken as vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ ), we have $\operatorname{ker}(A)+\operatorname{im}(A)=R$

Now, if $x \in \operatorname{ker}(A)$ then so is $F(x)$, since $A(F(x))=r x^{p}=(r x) x^{p-1}=0$. Likewise, if $x \in \operatorname{im}(A)$ then so is $F(x)$, since if $x=A(y)=r y$ then $F(x)=x^{p}=(r y)^{p}=r\left(r^{p-1} y^{p}\right) \in \operatorname{im}(A)$. We can express $1 \in R$ uniquely as $x+y$ where $x \in \operatorname{ker}(A)$ and $y \in \operatorname{im}(A)$. But then $F(1)=1=F(x)+F(y)$, and so $F(x)=x$ and $F(y)=y$. But since $\operatorname{ker}(F-I)=\mathbb{F}_{p}$ we have $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $y \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. The only way $x$ can also be in $\operatorname{ker}(A)$ is for $x=0$ (since $x$ is a "scalar"), and so $y=1$. But now $1 \in \operatorname{im} A$ so there is a $z \in R$ such that $r z=A(z)=1$, and we are done.

