

1. (a) If  $\mathbf{v}: S^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  is a function such that  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{x}$ , then  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$  points in a direction tangent to the sphere at  $\mathbf{x}$ . More computationally, since  $\mathbf{x} \in S^2$ , then  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  satisfies  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$ . But then  $\alpha = 2(x_1 dx_1 + x_2 dx_2 + x_3 dx_3) = 0$ . So for a vector  $\mathbf{v}$  to be tangent to the sphere at  $\mathbf{x}$ , we must have that  $\alpha(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ , i.e.,  $x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 + x_3 v_3 = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ .
- (b) If  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$  is a *unit* tangent vector field to  $S^2$ , then  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in S^2$  and  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$  (and  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 1$  of course). Let

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) = (\cos \pi t)\mathbf{x} + (\sin \pi t)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Then

- $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is a smooth function of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $t$  because  $\mathbf{u}$  is (and sine and cosine are)
- $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) \in S^2$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, t$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) &= [(\cos \pi t)\mathbf{x} + (\sin \pi t)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})] \cdot [(\cos \pi t)\mathbf{x} + (\sin \pi t)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})] \\ &= (\cos^2 \pi t)\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} + 2(\cos \pi t)(\sin \pi t)\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) + (\sin^2 \pi t)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= (\cos^2 \pi t)(1) + 2(\cos \pi t)(\sin \pi t)(0) + (\sin^2 \pi t)(1) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

- $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{x}$ , since  $\cos(0) = 1$  and  $\sin(0) = 0$ .
- $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, 1) = -\mathbf{x}$ , since  $\cos(\pi) = -1$  and  $\sin(\pi) = 0$ .

So  $\varphi$  is a homotopy between the identity map  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}$  and the antipodal map  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto -\mathbf{x}$ .

(c) The volume (area) form on  $S^2$  is  $\omega = x_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + x_2 dx_3 \wedge dx_1 + x_3 dx_1 \wedge dx_2$ , and so  $\int_{S^2} \omega = 4\pi$ . If  $A: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  is the antipodal map  $A(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{x}$ , then by part (b),  $A$  is homotopic to the identity map. By problem 1 on the take-home part, this would imply that

$$\int_{S^2} A^* \omega = \int_{S^2} \omega = 4\pi.$$

But  $A([x_1, x_2, x_3]) = [-x_1, -x_2, -x_3]$ , so

$$A^* \omega = (-x_1)d(-x_2) \wedge d(-x_3) + (-x_2)d(-x_3) \wedge d(-x_1) + (-x_3)d(-x_1) \wedge d(-x_2) = -\omega,$$

and so

$$\int_{S^1} A^* \omega = \int_{S^2} -\omega = -4\pi.$$

This contradiction means that the assumption that there is a nowhere-vanishing vector field on  $S^2$  is incorrect.

(d) Exactly the same reasoning applies to  $S^{2n}$  — the homotopy in part(b) is exactly the same, and for part(c), we use the volume form on  $S^{2n} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}$ :

$$\omega = x_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2n+1} - x_2 dx_1 \wedge dx_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2n+1} + \cdots + x_{2n+1} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{2n}.$$

Again, the take-home part would give  $\int A^* \omega = \int \omega = \text{vol}(S^{2n})$  but since each term in  $\omega$  has an odd number of factors,  $A^* \omega = -\omega$ , leading to the same contradiction.

(e) It's easy to check that the vector field on

$$S^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbf{R}^4 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 1\}$$

defined by

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = (-x_2, x_1, -x_4, x_3)$$

satisfies  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in S^3$  (it is called a "Hopf vector field".)

2. Let

$$S_n = H_n - \ln n$$

and

$$a_n = (H_{n+1} - \ln(n+1)) - (H_n - \ln n) = \frac{1}{n+1} - \ln \frac{n+1}{n},$$

so that  $S_1 = 1$  and  $S_{n+1} = S_n + a_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Note that  $-a_n$  is the area below the graph of  $y = 1/x$  and above the horizontal line  $y = 1/(n+1)$  and between the vertical lines  $x = n$  and  $x = n+1$ . Since  $1/(n+1) \leq 1/x \leq 1/n$  for  $x$  between  $n$  and  $n+1$ , we have that this area, and hence  $|a_n|$  is less than  $1/n - 1/(n+1) = 1/(n^2 + n)$ . Therefore, the series  $\sum |a_n|$  converges by the comparison test, and so the limit of  $S_n$ , which is the sum of the series  $\sum a_n$ , is finite.

You can do better than this and get an estimate for  $\lim S_n$ . First, since the graph of  $y = 1/x$  is concave up, the area described above that is equal to  $-a_n$  is actually less than half of  $1/(n^2 + n)$ . So

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{\infty} (-a_n) < \sum_{n=K+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n^2 + n} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{K+1},$$

since the latter is a telescoping series. Therefore, we know that  $\lim S_n$  is bounded as follows:

$$\sum_{n=1}^K a_n > \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n > -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{K+1} + \sum_{n=1}^K a_n.$$

So, for example, if we take  $K = 5$ , we get (using Maple to calculate the logarithms and add the fractions) that  $0.67 > \lim S_n > 0.57$ . If you take  $K = 1000$ , then you get that  $\gamma$  is between 0.5770 and 0.5777.

3. Let  $f(x) = \left\{ \frac{1}{x} \right\} \frac{x}{1-x}$ .

(a) First, observe that we can rewrite  $f$  as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{x} - n \right) \frac{x}{1-x} & \text{for } \frac{1}{n+1} < x \leq \frac{1}{n}, n \geq 2 \\ 1 & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Or, we could write  $f$  as:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(x) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{x} - n \right) \frac{x}{1-x} \chi_{(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}]}(x),$$

where  $\chi_A(x)$  is the characteristic function of the set  $A$ . Since the fractional part of any number is certainly less than or equal to 1, and since  $0 \leq x/(1-x) \leq 1$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ , we see that  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Since the constant function 1 is summable on  $[0, 1]$ , we can therefore use the dominated convergence theorem to interchange the integral and summation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(x) dx &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(x) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{x} - n \right) \frac{x}{1-x} \chi_{(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}]}(x) dx \\ &= 1/2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{x} - n \right) \frac{x}{1-x} \chi_{(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}]}(x) dx \\ &= 1/2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{1}{x} - n \right) \frac{x}{1-x} dx \end{aligned}$$

(b) In the chain of equalities above, we already observed that the first term in the sum for the integral is  $1/2$ .

(c) Now we have to calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{1}{x} - n \right) \frac{x}{1-x} dx &= \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{nx}{1-x} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{1-n}{1-x} + n \right) dx \\ &= -(1-n) \ln(1-x) \Big|_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\frac{1}{n}} + nx \Big|_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= (n-1) \ln \frac{1-\frac{1}{n}}{1-\frac{1}{n+1}} + 1 - \frac{n}{n+1} \\ &= (n-1) \ln \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n+1} \\ &= (n-1)[\ln(n-1) - 2 \ln n + \ln(n+1)] + \frac{1}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

So the  $N$ th partial sum of the series that evaluates the integral is

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=2}^N (n-1)[\ln(n-1) - 2 \ln n + \ln(n+1)] + \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

In the sum, for any given  $k$ , the quantity  $\ln k$  will appear three times, first in the  $k-1$ st term with coefficient  $k-2$ , then in the  $k$ th term with coefficient  $2(k-1)$  and then in the  $k+1$ st term with coefficient  $k$ . Since these three coefficients add up to zero, the terms involving  $\ln k$  for all  $k$  up to  $\ln(N-1)$  cancel, leaving only two logarithm terms. Also, there are  $1/k$  terms starting with  $1/2$  and ending at  $1/(N+1)$ . Therefore, using the  $H_n$  notation of the preceding problem, the  $N$ th partial sum is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{N+1} - 1 + (N-1) \ln(N+1) + [(N-2) - 2(N-1)] \ln N \\ &= H_{N+1} - 1 + (N-1) \ln(N+1) - N \ln N \\ &= H_{N+1} - 1 + (N-1) \ln \frac{N+1}{N} - \ln N \\ &= H_N - \ln N + \frac{1}{N+1} - 1 + \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{N} \right)^N - \ln \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right). \end{aligned}$$

And using the result of the last problem, the fact that  $e = \lim(1 + 1/n)^n$  and that  $\ln e = 1$ , we get that the limit of the  $N$ th partial sum, and hence the value of the integral, is

$$\gamma + 0 - 1 + 1 + 0 = \gamma.$$

4. Let

$$A = \{x \in \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Q} \mid \text{there are infinitely many fractions } p/q \text{ with } |x - p/q| < 1/q^3\},$$

and for each  $q \geq 1$ . let

$$B_q = \bigcup_{p=0}^q \left[ \frac{p}{q} - \frac{1}{q^3}, \frac{p}{q} + \frac{1}{q^3} \right].$$

Clearly, every element of  $A \cap [0, 1]$  is contained in infinitely many of the  $B_q$ . Also,  $\mu(B_q) = 2/q^2$  and  $\sum(2/q^2)$  converges, so the Borel-Cantelli lemma tells us that the set of  $x$  contained in infinitely many of the  $B_q$ 's is zero. Since  $A \cap [0, 1]$  is contained in this latter set, the measure of  $A \cap [0, 1]$  is also zero. Moreover, every element of  $A \cap [n, n + 1]$  is obtained by adding  $n$  to an element of  $A \cap [0, 1]$ , and so

$$A = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (A \cap [n, n + 1]),$$

being a union of a countable number of sets of measure zero, has measure zero as well.

There's nothing particularly special about the exponent 3 in  $1/q^3$  in this problem, except that 3 is greater than 2. The same result is true for any  $p > 2$  – the set of irrational numbers  $x$  such that there are infinitely many fractions  $p/q$  in lowest terms with  $|x - p/q| < 1/q^p$  has measure zero.

This notwithstanding, there are numbers, called *Liouville numbers*, which have the property that there are infinitely many fractions  $p/q$  with  $|x - p/q| < 1/q^p$  for *all* values of  $p$ . One such number is  $0.10100100000010000\dots$ , where the number of zeroes between successive 1's is  $n!$ . On the other hand, it can be shown that if  $\gamma$  is an algebraic irrational of degree  $n$  (this means that  $\gamma$  is a root of a polynomial of degree  $n$  with integer coefficients), then  $\gamma$  is not a Liouville number and one may choose  $p = n$  in the condition above. Liouville used this observation to exhibit the first known transcendental number around 1850.