

Recall: We're proving the Hasse-Minkowski
Thm over a global field F :

local-global principle for isotropy
of quadratic forms over F :

$$q \text{ isotropic/every } F_v \Rightarrow q \text{ isotropic } / F$$

We proved this for $\dim q \leq 5$. Now show H-M
for $\dim \geq 5$, by induction on $\dim q$.

Write $q = \langle a, b, c, d, e, \dots \rangle$ isotropic/ F_v
or
possibly more entries
 q_1 q_2

regular, so entries all $\neq 0$

Let $S = \{v \mid q_2 \text{ isotropic on } F_v\}$.

$T = \{v \mid q_2 \text{ anisotropic on } F_v\}$.

Claim T is finite. I.e.: all but finitely many $v \in S$.

Proof of claim:

For v non-archimedean, have

valuation ring R_v (local ring)

maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_v ; $R_v / \mathfrak{m}_v = k_v$

these are all but
finitely many v on F

finite field

$c, d, e \in \mathbb{R}_r$ for all but finitely many r ;
 c, d, e lie in only finitely many \mathcal{M}_r ;
 & only finitely many \mathcal{M}_r have $\text{char } k_r = 2$.
 (We're assuming $\text{char } F \neq 2$)

Exclude these. Then $c, d, e \notin \mathcal{M}_r$, so
 $\bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e} \neq 0$ in k_r ; $\langle \bar{c}, \bar{d}, \bar{e}, \dots \rangle$ isotropic/ k_r
 & the $\langle c, d, e, \dots \rangle$ isotropic/ F_r . $\dim(k_r) = 2$
 So all but finitely many r lie in S ; i.e.
 \mathcal{Q}_2 isotropic/ F_r . This proves the claim. ✓

Returning to the main pf of H-M:

Have $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \underbrace{a, b, c, d, e, \dots}_{\text{entries } \neq 0} \rangle$ isotropic/all F_r
 ($\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2$ regular) \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 , isotropic over all but fin many F_r

Claim: Let $r \in T$, i.e. \mathcal{Q}_2 anisotropic/ F_r .

Then $\exists z_r \in F_r^\times$ st

$$z_r \in D_{F_r}(\mathcal{Q}_1), -z_r \in D_{F_r}(\mathcal{Q}_2).$$

Pf of claim: By assumption,

\mathcal{Q} isotropic/ F_r .

So $\exists x \neq 0 \in F_r^n$ st $q(x) = 0$

Write $x = (x_1, x_2)$ in F_r^{n-2}
in F_r^2 $q(x) = q_1(x_1) + q_2(x_2)$

Case 1: $x_2 = 0 \in F_r^{n-2}$

$x \neq 0 \Rightarrow x_1 \neq 0$, b/c $q_2(x_2) = 0$

So $0 = q(x) = q(x_1, x_2) = q_1(x_1)$

So q_1 is isotropic / F , + regular;
 \therefore universal / F .

Also q_2 regular, $\Rightarrow \exists y_2 \neq 0 \in F_r^{n-2}$ st $q_2(y_2) \neq 0$.

q_1 universal $\Rightarrow \exists y_1 \in F_r^2$ st $q_1(y_1) = -q_2(y_2) \neq 0$

Take $z_r = q_1(y_1) = -q_2(y_2)$. So $z_r \in F_r^x$
and $z_r \in D_{F_r}(q_1)$, $-z_r \in D_{F_r}(q_2)$,
giving the claim in this case.

Case 2: $x_2 \neq 0 \in F_v^{n-2}$.

$v \in T$; i.e. q_v anisotropic / F_v .

So $q_v(x_2) \neq 0$. But

Since $x_2 \neq 0$

$$0 = q(x_1, x_2) = q_1(x_1) + q_2(x_2)$$

So take $z_v = q_1(x_1) = -q_2(x_2) \in F_v^\times$

with $z_v \in D_{F_v}(q_1)$, $-z_v \in D_{F_v}(q_2)$. ✓

So the claim is proved; i.e.

$\forall v \in T \exists z_v \in F_v^\times$ with $z_v \in D_{F_v}(q_1)$, $-z_v \in D_{F_v}(q_2)$.

Take $v \in T$.

Since $z_v \in D_{F_v}(q_1)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} z_v &= q_1(x_v, y_v) \quad \text{with } x_v, y_v \in F_v \\ &= ax_v^2 + by_v^2 \quad \text{not both 0.} \end{aligned}$$

If $x, y \in F_v$ are suff. close to x_v, y_v resp.,

wrt $|\cdot|_v$, then $z := ax^2 + by^2 = q_1(x, y) \neq 0$

(being suff. close to $z_v \neq 0$).

Also, z_v/z suff. close to 1, so in $F_v^{\times 2}$; so

z, z_v in same square class in F_v .

Since there are only finitely many
such $v \in T$, Weak Approx \Rightarrow

$\exists x, y \in F$ that are suff. close
to x_v, y_v resp for all $v \in T$.

So $z = ax^2 + by^2 = q_1(x, y) \in F^\times$.

So q_1 represents z over F .

So $q_1 \cong \langle z, w \rangle$ for some $w \in F^\times$.

Let $q' = \langle z \rangle \perp q_2$. So $q \cong q' \perp \langle w \rangle$.

By def of S , q_2 is isotropic $/F_v$ for all $v \in S$.

Hence $q' = \langle z \rangle \perp q_2$ is isotropic $/F_v$ for all $v \in S$.

For $v \notin S$ (i.e. $v \in T$), q_2 reps. $-z_v / F_v$.

Since $-z, -z_v$ are in the same square class $/F_v$,

q_2 also reps $-z / F_v$.

$\therefore q' = \langle z \rangle \perp q_2$ is isotropic $/F_v$ for all $v \notin S$.

Thus q' is isotropic / F_v for all v .

But $q \cong q' \perp \langle w \rangle$, so $\dim q' = \dim q - 1$.

So by induction hypothesis, H-M holds for q' .

$\therefore q'$ is isotropic / F . But $q \cong q' \perp \langle w \rangle$.

So q is isotropic / F . ✓

This completes the proof of

Hass - Minkowski.

Back to Galois cohomology

— via group cohomology.

Recall: if Γ is a finite group

or a profinite group $\varprojlim \Gamma_i$, ↗ finite Γ

and Γ acts on an abelian group A ,

We can define $H^i(\Gamma, A)$:

$$C^i(\Gamma, A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Cont.} \\ \text{maps } \Gamma^i \rightarrow A \end{array} \right\} \quad i\text{-cochains}$$

U|

$$Z^i(\Gamma, A) = \ker d: C^i(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(\Gamma, A)$$

U|

i-cocycles

$$B^i(\Gamma, A) = \text{im } d: C^{i-1}(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow C^i(\Gamma, A)$$

i-coboundaries

$$\text{Where } d: C^i(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(\Gamma, A)$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} df(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{i+1}) &= \gamma_1 \cdot f(\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{i+1}) \quad \text{group action} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^j f(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{j-1}, \gamma_j \gamma_{j+1}, \gamma_{j+2}, \dots, \gamma_{i+1}) \\ &+ (-1)^{i+1} f(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i) \quad \text{mult in } \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Here } d^2: C^i(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow C^{i+2}(\Gamma, A)$$

is the zero map, so $B^i(\Gamma, A) \subseteq Z^i(\Gamma, A)$

$$\text{and we can take } H^i(\Gamma, A) = Z^i(\Gamma, A) / B^i(\Gamma, A).$$

= gp of coho classes of *i-cocycles*.

Ex. $H^0(\Gamma, A) = A^\Gamma$

$= \{a \in A \mid a \text{ is fixed under the action of } \Gamma\}$

If the action is trivial, $H^0(\Gamma, A) = A$.

Ex. $H^1(\Gamma, A)$

$= \{f: \Gamma \rightarrow A : f(\gamma\gamma') = \gamma \cdot f(\gamma') + f(\gamma)\}$

The set of (continuous) crossed homomorphisms from Γ to A (wrt the action).

If the action is trivial, then

$H^1(\Gamma, A) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, A)$ (usual hom's)

Ex. $H^2(\Gamma, A) = \text{gp of coho. classes}$

of factor systems f , i.e. cont. maps

$f: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow A$ such that

$\gamma \cdot f(\gamma', \gamma'') - f(\gamma\gamma', \gamma'') + f(\gamma, \gamma'\gamma'') - f(\gamma, \gamma') = 0$

If A is finite, $H^2(\Gamma, A)$ classifies
the set of iso. classes of group

extensions $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$

at the given action of Γ on A is
the one obtained by lifting $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to
some $\delta \in \Delta$ and conjugating A .

(Well defined b/c A is abelian)

Can also define group cohomology via
derived functors:

Let $H^i(\Gamma, A)$ be the i^{th} right
derived functor of the functor $A \mapsto A^\Gamma$.

So $H^0(\Gamma, A) = A^\Gamma = \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}, A)$

Here as Γ -modules, i.e. as $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } H^i(\Gamma, \cdot) &= i^{\text{th}} \text{ rt derived functor of } \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } H^i(\Gamma, A) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}^i(\mathbb{Z}, A).$$

Can use this to rewrite the cocycle definition
(See Serre, Local Fields, Ch. VIII, §2-3)

As expected with cohomology,

Given a s.e.s. $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$

of Γ -modules, there is a l.e.s.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{\Gamma} & B^{\Gamma} & C^{\Gamma} \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ 0 \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, A) & \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, B) & \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, C) \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, B) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, C) \rightarrow \dots$$

If $H^i(\Gamma, \cdot) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$, can work
backwards to compute H^0, H^1

What if we want to form $H^i(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$
for a nonabelian group G on which Γ acts?
(Serre, Galois Cohomology, Chap I, §5)

Difficulty: $Z^i(\Gamma, G)$ is not a group.

We can still define the set

$$Z^i(\Gamma, G) = \left\{ f \in C^i(\Gamma, G) \mid \left. \begin{array}{l} f(\gamma\gamma') = f(\gamma) (\gamma \cdot f(\gamma')) \end{array} \right\}$$

but we can't take Z^i/B^i for H^i .

Instead, define an equivalence

relation on $Z^i(\Gamma, G)$ (being "cohomologous"):

$f_1 \sim f_2$ if $\exists g \in G$ st $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma,$

$$f_2(\gamma) = g^{-1} f_1(\gamma) (\gamma \cdot g).$$

So $f \sim 1$ (trivial elt of Z^i) if f

$$\exists g \in G \text{ st } \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, f(\gamma) = g^{-1} (\gamma \cdot g)$$

(so specializes to the old B^i of Gabelian).

We then define $H^1(\Gamma, G)$ to be the set of cohomology classes in $Z^1(\Gamma, G)$. Not a group; just a pointed set (set with a distinguished element).
← like Z^1 .

Ex. Say Γ acts trivially on G . Then

$$Z^1(\Gamma, G) = \left\{ f \in C^1(\Gamma, G) \mid \begin{array}{l} f(\gamma\gamma') = f(\gamma) f(\gamma') \end{array} \right\} \\ = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G),$$

as in the abelian case.

But $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) \stackrel{=}{=} Z^1(\Gamma, G)$ is not a group

just a pointed set.

Category of pointed sets;

$$(S, s_0) \rightarrow (T, t_0)$$

$$s \mapsto t_0$$

$\text{inj} \Rightarrow$ trivial ker; not conversely

$H^0(\Gamma, G) = G^\Gamma$ as before; a group.

$H^i(\Gamma, G)$: not defined for $i \geq 2$.

If $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ is a s.e.s with compatible actions of Γ , get

a 6-term exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, G) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, H) \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, G) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, H)$$

in category of pointed sets

(i.e. $\ker = \text{im}$ of prev map)

If $N \subset Z(G)$ (so N is abelian), get a 7th term:

$$1 \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, G) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, H) \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, G) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, H) \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, N)$$

Galois cohomology: case where

Γ is a Galois group.

Previously discussed: F a field,
 $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F) := \text{Gal}(\bar{F}^{\text{alg}}/F)$.

Write $H^i(F, G)$ for $H^i(\Gamma, G)$.

(G not nec. abelian if $i = 0, 1$)

More generally, say E/F is a Galois extension, & let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(E/F)$.

Write $H^i(E/F, G)$ for $H^i(\Gamma, G)$.

Before we considered $G = \mathbb{Z}/l$. More generally,

Can let G be a linear algebraic group,

i.e. a Zariski closed subgroup of GL_n .

Again, need G abelian, unless $i = 0, 1$.

Case of GL_n itself, over F :
 View GL_n as the Zariski closed
 subset of $\mathbb{A}_F^{n^2+1}$

n^2+1 dim'd affine
 space; coordinates
 x_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq n$)
 and y st
 $y \det(x_{ij}) - 1 = 0$

poly of deg n
 in the x_{ij}

Write $G_m := GL_1$: multiplicative group.

Ex The invertible $n \times n$ diagonal matrices
 form a group $\cong G_m^n$.

Ex $SL_n \subset GL_n$, given by $|\det(x_{ij})| = 1$.

Ex $SO_n(\mathfrak{q}) \subset O_n(\mathfrak{q}) \subset GL_n$, $\mathfrak{q} = \text{ref. } / F$

Ex. The group of matrices of the form
 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is isomorphic to the
additive group G_a .

If G is a linear alg. gp defined / F ,
 then $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(F) = \text{Gal}(F^{\text{sep}}/F)$
 acts on the group $G(F^{\text{sep}})$.
 of F^{sep} -points on G .

Define $H^i(F, G) := H^i(\Gamma, G(F^{\text{sep}}))$

($i=0, 1$, unless G is commutative)

And for E/F Galois, define

$H^i(E/F, G) := H^i(\text{Gal}(E/F), G(E))$

Ex. F a field, G a finite group,
 take trivial action of $\text{Gal}(F)$ on G .

Then $H^1(\Gamma, G) = \text{Hom}^{\Gamma}(\Gamma, G) / \sim$

$= \{ \text{iso. classes of}$

G -Galois algebras / $F \}$

Galois fld extens, $+$ \oplus 's of such.

E.g. $F = \mathbb{Q}$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$: $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, also $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$.

conjugacy
 in G

Ex. (as on PS 4) L/K a Galois field extension \Rightarrow

$H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^\times)$ is trivial

"Hilbert's Theorem 90"

Can write as: $H^1(L/K, G_m) = 1$.

Can take $\varprojlim_{L/K} \text{ and get } H^1(K, G_m) = 1$.

More generally: $H^1(L/K, G_m) = 1$

(by a generalization of the proof —

Serre, Local Fields, Chp X, §1, Prop 3)