

Notation: • f^* : operator of multiplication by f
 $\therefore (wf)^* \neq w \cdot f^*$ (Note: $(wf)^* = w \cdot f^* \cdot w$)
e.g. $(w \cdot h_m)^* e_m = e_n e_m$, $(w \cdot h_m) e_m = w(h_m e_m) = e_n h_m$

• $f^\perp : \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -adjoint of f^* (Note: $(wf)^\perp = w \cdot f^\perp \cdot w$)

i.e. Suppose $f^*(g) = h$. That means

$$\langle u, h \rangle = \langle u, f^*(g) \rangle = \langle f^* u, g \rangle \quad \forall u \in \Lambda$$

e.g. $l^\perp(g) = g$ b/c $\langle l \cdot u, g \rangle = \langle u, g \rangle \quad \forall u \in \Lambda$

(dual from rule) $e_K^\perp(s_k) = \sum_{\substack{S_M \\ \forall M \text{ is a \\ vert. strip of \\ size } k}} s_M$ b/c $\langle e_K^\perp(s_k), s_M \rangle = \langle s_k, e_K^\perp s_M \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_k \text{ is a k-vert. strip} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

(dual from rule) $h_K^\perp(s_k) = \sum_{\substack{S_M \\ \forall M \text{ is a \\ k-vert. strip}}} s_M$ b/c $\langle h_K^\perp(s_k), s_M \rangle = \langle s_k, h_K^\perp(s_k) \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_k \text{ is a k-vert. strip} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

$M = (1 - q^{-1}) \chi (1 - t^{-1})$ Recall: $B_N(q, t) = \sum_{\substack{\text{vert. strip } M \\ \text{of row } n}} f^{-1} t^{-1}$
 $f[\bar{B}] \tilde{H}_M = f[B_N(q^{-1}, t^{-1})] \tilde{H}_M$ e.g.

Prop 3.3.1: There is an action of \mathcal{E} on Λ characterized as follows: (→ prop 3.3.3 (i) / (ii) to see why this characterizes the action of the full \mathcal{E})

(i) $a \mapsto 1$, $q \mapsto (q, t)^{-1}$ (c, c₂ acts as scalars)

(iii) $\Lambda_K(X^{(0)})$ and $\Lambda_K(X^{(-)})$ acts as:

$$f(X^{(0)}) \mapsto (wf)[B - \frac{t}{q}], \quad f(X^{(-)}) \mapsto (wf)[\frac{t}{q} - B]$$

(iv) $\Lambda_K(X^{(0)})$ and $\Lambda_K(X^{(-)})$ acts as:

$$f(X^{(0)}) \mapsto f[-\frac{x}{M}]^*, \quad f(X^{(-)}) \mapsto f(X)^*$$

Note: If $c_1 c_2 = 1$, then Heisenberg relation degenerates and $\Lambda(X^{(2m/n)})$ commutes.

Hence this action with $c_i \mapsto 1$ makes $\Lambda(X^{(2)})$ commutes, consistent with (ii)

Also, $q \mapsto \frac{1}{q, t}$ makes Heisenberg relations of $\Lambda(X^{(2)})$ consistent with (iii).

(See Shuffle Prop 3.3.1)

We focus on the use of operators representing the action of $p_i(X^{(0)})$ and $p_i(X^{(-)})$ in \mathcal{E} on Λ .

(1) ∇ operators:

$$\text{Recall: } \nabla \tilde{H}_M = t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \tilde{H}_M \quad n(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} (i-1) \chi_i$$

$$\begin{matrix} 7 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \leftarrow n(M) = \text{sum of the entries.}$$

eq. $n(\bar{M}) = 1x7 + 2x5 + 3x5 + 4x5 + 5x4 + 6x2 + 7 = 91$

(2) Doubly infinite generating series:

$$D(z) := (\omega \Omega_z [z^n X])^* (\omega \Omega_z [-z^n MX])^* \quad (\text{or } D(-z) = \Omega_z [-z^n X]^* \Omega_z [z^n MX]^*)$$

Note: $\langle \Omega_z[AX], f(X) \rangle = \langle \sum s_A s_X s_Z, f(X) \rangle = f[A] \Rightarrow \langle \Omega_z[AX], \Omega_z[BX] \rangle = \langle \Omega_z[(A+B)X], f(X) \rangle = f[A+B] = \langle \Omega_z[BX], f[X+A] \rangle$

$\Rightarrow (\Omega_z[AX])^* f(X) = f[X+A] \quad (\text{Take } A=z, f=p_k. \text{ Then } \exp\left(\sum \frac{p_k z^k}{k}\right) p_k(z) = p_k[z+x] = p_k(x+z) = p_k(x) + p_k(z))$
WIC B is arbitrary. verifies $p_k^* = \frac{1}{p_k}$

$$\exp\left(\sum \frac{z^k}{k p_k}\right) p_k(z) = \left[1 + \frac{z^1}{p_1} + \frac{z^2}{2! p_2} + \cdots + \left(\frac{z^k}{k p_k} + \frac{z^{k+1}}{(k+1)p_{k+1}} + \cdots\right)\right] p_k(z) = p_k(x) + z p_k(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\Omega_z[AX])^* \Omega_z[BX]^* = \Omega_z[AB] \Omega_z[BX]^* \Omega_z[AX]^* \quad \text{con't. to L}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & C (\Omega_z[AX])^* \Omega_z[BX]^* f(X) \\ &= (\Omega_z[AX])^* (\Omega_z[BX] f(X)) \\ &= \Omega_z[B(X+A)] f(X+A) \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} & \uparrow \Omega_z[AB] \Omega_z[BX]^* \Omega_z[AX]^* f(X) \\ &= \Omega_z[AB] \Omega_z[BX] f[X+A] \\ &= \Omega_z[B(X+A)] f[X+A] \end{aligned}$$

* (Path: Lemma 3.4.1) $\nabla f(X^{(m,n)}) \nabla^{-1} = f(X^{(m,n)})$ (See last 2 pages for proof)

Def: For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, define operators on $\Lambda_k(X)$

- $E_a := \nabla^a e_i(X) \nabla^{-a}$
- $D_a := \langle z^{-a} \rangle D(z) \quad (\text{i.e. } D(z) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} D_a z^a)$

↙ Proof on the next page

* (Path: Lemma 3.3.3) $[(wp_k[-\frac{X}{M}])^*, Da] = -D_{a+k}, [(wp_k(X))^\perp, Da] = D_{a+k} \leftarrow$

Prop 3.3.3: In the action of \mathcal{E} on $\Lambda_k(X)$ given by Prop. 3.3.1 :

- (i) $p_i[-MX^{i,0}] \in \mathcal{E}$ acts as D_a ;] Hence $D_i = E_i = p_i[MX^{i,0}]$
- (ii) $p_i[-MX^{0,1}] = -M p_i(X^{0,1}) \in \mathcal{E}$ acts as E_a .

Proof: (i) known. $D_a \tilde{f}_k = (1 - MB_{jk}) \tilde{f}_k$

By Prop 3.3.1 (ii), $p_i[-MX^{i,0}] \mapsto (wp_i)[-M(B - \frac{1}{M})] = 1 - MB$ (= action of D_i)

Recall $[(wp_k(X^{i,0}), p_i(X^{0,1})] = p_i(X^{a+k+1})$, $[(wp_k(X^{i,0}), p_i(X^{a,1})] = -p_i(X^{a+k+1})$

Apply the anti-involution (reverse multiplication) Φ , we have.

Compare

$$-[wp_k(X^{0,1}), p_i(X^{i,0})] = p_i(X^{i,a+k}), -[wp_k(X^{0,1}), p_i(X^{i,0})] = -p_i(X^{i,a+k})$$

Hence we have:

$$[(wp_k(X^{0,1}), p_i(X^{i,0})] = -p_i(X^{i,a+k}), \quad [(wp_k(X^{0,1}), p_i(X^{i,0})] = p_i(X^{i,a+k})$$

$$\therefore [wp_k(X^{0,1}), p_i[MX^{i,0}]] = -p_i[MX^{i,a+k}], \quad [wp_k(X^{0,1}), p_i[MX^{i,0}]] = p_i[MX^{i,a+k}]$$

By Prop 3.3.1 ↗
iii) $(wp_k[\frac{X}{M}])^*$

By Prop 3.3.1 (ii) ↓
 $(wp_k(X))^\perp$

(ii) By Prop 3.3.1 (iii), $p_i[-MX^{0,1}]$ acts on $\Lambda_k(X)$ as multiplication by $A[-\frac{MX}{M}] = A[X] = e_i(X)$. ($\therefore a=0$ case holds)

By Lemma 3.4.1 in path, $\nabla f(X^{m,n}) \nabla^{-1} = f(X^{m+n,n}) \Rightarrow \nabla^a f(X^{m,n}) \nabla^{-a} = f(X^{m+n,n}) \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$

∴ $p_i[-MX^{0,1}]$ acts as $\nabla^a p_i[-MX^{0,1}] \nabla^{-a} = \nabla^a e_i(X) \nabla^{-a}$. \square

(Post: Lemma 3.3.3) $[(\omega p_k(-x)), Dz] = -D_{zx}, [(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, Da] = Da \cdot k$

Proof: First note that $[(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, Dz] = [(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} Da z^a] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} [(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, Da] z^a$

$$\therefore [(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, Da] = Da \cdot k \Leftrightarrow [(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, Dz] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} Da \cdot k z^a = z^k \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} Da z^{a+k} = z^k D(z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp] = z^k D(z)$$

All operators
of the form
 $f(x)$
commute
with each other

$$\Leftrightarrow (\omega p_k(x))^\perp (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp - (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp (\omega p_k(x))^\perp = z^k D(z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ((\omega p_k(x))^\perp (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* - (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega p_k(x))^\perp) \omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX]^\perp = z^k D(z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^*] (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp = z^k (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [(\omega p_k(x))^\perp, (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^*] = z^k (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^*$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [\omega \cdot p_k(x)^\perp \cdot \omega, \omega \cdot (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* \omega] = z^k \omega \cdot (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* \omega$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\cancel{\omega} (p_k(x)^\perp \omega \Omega_2[z^k x]^* - \omega \Omega_2[z^k x]^* p_k(x)^\perp) \cancel{\omega}) = z^k \cancel{\omega} (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* \cancel{\omega}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [p_k(x)^\perp, \omega \Omega_2[z^k x]^*] = z^k \omega \Omega_2[z^k x]^*$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [p_k(x)^\perp, \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^*] = z^k \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^*$$

which holds using the fact that $p_k(x)^\perp = k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}$.

Check: $p_k(x)^\perp \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^* f(x) - \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^* p_k(x)^\perp f(x)$

$$= k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \left(\exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^* f(x) \right) - \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^* k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} f(x)$$

$$= k \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right) \cdot z^k \cancel{f(x)} + \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^* \cdot k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} f(x) - \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^* k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}$$

$$= z^k \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{p_m(x)z^{-m}}{m}\right)^* f(x)$$

$$\therefore [p_k(x)^\perp, \omega \Omega_2[z^k x]^*] = z^k \omega \Omega_2[z^k x]^*$$

Define a modified inner product:

$$\langle g, h \rangle' := \langle g[-MX], h \rangle$$

Note that $\langle g[-MX], h \rangle = \langle g, h[-MX] \rangle$ because $\{p_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis. $\therefore \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ is symmetric.

$$\langle f[-X]g, h \rangle' = \langle (f[-X]g)[-MX], h \rangle$$

$$= \langle f[-X]g[-MX], h \rangle$$

$$= \langle f(x)g[-MX], h \rangle$$

$$= \langle g[-MX], f^*(h) \rangle$$

$$= \langle g, f^*(h) \rangle'$$

• For any $f \in A$, f^* and $f[-X]^*$ are adjoint w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$.

$$\therefore D(z) = (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp \text{ and } D(z^*) = (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp$$

$$\langle D(z)g, h \rangle' = \langle (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp g, h \rangle'$$

$$= \langle (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^\perp g, (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^* h \rangle'$$

$$= \langle g, (\omega \Omega_2[z^k x])^* (\omega \Omega_2[-z^k MX])^* h \rangle' = \langle g, D(z^*)h \rangle'$$

$\therefore D(z^*)$ is the \langle , \rangle' -adjoint of $D(z)$.

Hence D_{-a} is the \langle , \rangle' -adjoint of D_a .

Taking adjoint on both sides of $[(wp_k(x))^+, D_a] = D_{a+k}$, we have

$$[(wp_k(x))^+, D_{-a}] = D_{-a+k}$$

$$\text{Hence } [(wp_k(x))^+, D_a] = D_{a+k}.$$

(Path: Lemma 3.4.1) $\nabla f(x^{m,n}) \nabla^{-1} = f(x^{m+n})$.

Proof: We use the following facts:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \nabla p(x) \cdot \nabla^{-1} = D_1, \quad \nabla^{-1} p(x)^+ \cdot \nabla = -\frac{1}{M} D_{-1} \quad (\text{by Bergeron, Garsia, Haiman, Tesler 1999, c.f. [3] in 'Path' reference})$$

\textcircled{2} The group of k -algebra automorphisms of E includes one which acts on $\Lambda(x^{m,n})$ by $f(x^{m,n}) \mapsto f(x^{m+n})$, and on $F = k[c_1^{\pm 1}, c_2^{\pm 1}]$ by an automorphism which fixes the central character in Prop. 3.3.1(c).

For $m=\pm 1, n=0$: By Prop 3.3.1 (ii), $f(x^{\pm 1,0})$ acts on Λ as Macdonald eigenoperators and hence commutes with ∇ .
 $\therefore \nabla \cdot f(x^{\pm 1,0}) = f(x^{\pm 1,0}) \cdot \nabla \Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x^{\pm 1,0}) \nabla^{-1} = f(x^{\pm 1,0})$

For $n > 0$: $E^{*,>0}$ is generated by $\{f_i(x^{a,i}) : a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Hence it suffices to check $\nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{a+i})$.

Recall the useful relations:

$$[wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{a,i})] = p_i(x^{a+k,i}), \quad [wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{a,i})] = -p_i(x^{a-k,i}).$$

Suppose we can prove $\nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{a,i})$.

$\because \nabla$ commutes with action of $\Lambda(x^{\pm 1,0})$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore [wp_k(x^{1,0}), \underline{\nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1}}] &= wp_k(x^{1,0}) \nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1} - \nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1} wp_k(x^{1,0}) \\ &= \nabla wp_k(x^{1,0}) p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1} - \nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) wp_k(x^{1,0}) \nabla^{-1} \\ &= \nabla [wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{a,i})] \nabla^{-1} \\ &= \nabla p_i(x^{k,i}) \nabla^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $[wp_k(x^{1,0}), \underline{p_i(x^{a,i})}] = \nabla p_i(x^{k,i}) \nabla^{-1}$

$$\therefore p_i(x^{1+k,i}) = \nabla p_i(x^{k,i}) \nabla^{-1} \Rightarrow \nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{a+k,i}) \text{ for } a \geq 0$$

Similarly, $[wp_k(x^{1,0}), \underline{\nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1}}] = -\nabla p_i(x^{k,i}) \nabla^{-1}$

i.e. $[wp_k(x^{1,0}), \underline{p_i(x^{a,i})}] = -\nabla p_i(x^{k,i}) \nabla^{-1}$

$$\cancel{p_i(x^{1+k,i})} = \cancel{\nabla p_i(x^{k,i}) \nabla^{-1}}$$

Put $a = -k$, we have $\nabla p_i(x^{a,i}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{a+k,i})$ for $a \leq 0$.

Thus, we only need to check if $\nabla p_i(x^{0,1}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{0,1})$

By Prop 3.3.1 (iii), $p_i[-Mx^{0,1}]$ acts as $p_i\left[\frac{-Mx}{M}\right] = p_i(x)^1$.

By Prop 3.3.3 (ii), $p_i[-Mx^{0,1}]$ acts as D_i .

proof independent of this Lemma

$\therefore \nabla p_i[-Mx^{0,1}] \nabla^{-1}$ acts as $\nabla p_i(x)^1 \nabla^{-1}$ which is D_i by fact ②.

i.e. $\nabla p_i[-Mx^{0,1}] \nabla^{-1} = p_i[-Mx^{0,1}]$

$\therefore -M(\nabla p_i(x^{0,1}) \nabla^{-1}) = -M p_i(x^{0,1}) \Leftrightarrow \nabla p_i(x^{0,1}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{0,1})$ which completes the proof for E^{∞} .

For $n < 0$, we start with

$$[wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{a,-1})] = -p_i(x^{a+k,-1}), \quad [wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{a,-1})] = p_i(x^{a-k,-1}).$$

Suppose we can prove that $\nabla p_i(x^{1,-1}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{0,-1})$

$$\text{Then } [wp_k(x^{1,0}), \nabla p_i(x^{1,-1}) \nabla^{-1}] = \nabla [wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{1,-1})] \nabla^{-1} = -\nabla p_i(x^{k+1,-1}) \nabla^{-1}$$

$$\therefore [wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{0,-1})] = -\nabla p_i(x^{k+1,-1}) \nabla^{-1}$$

$$\therefore \cancel{p_i(x^{k+1,-1})} = \cancel{\nabla p_i(x^{k+1,-1}) \nabla^{-1}}$$

Put $k=a-1$, we have

$$\nabla p_i(x^{a,-1}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{a-1,-1}) \quad \forall a \geq 1$$

$$\text{Similarly, } [wp_k(x^{1,0}), \nabla p_i(x^{1,-1}) \nabla^{-1}] = \nabla [wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{1,-1})] \nabla^{-1} = \nabla p_i(x^{1+k,-1}) \nabla^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow [wp_k(x^{1,0}), p_i(x^{0,-1})] = \nabla p_i(x^{1+k,-1}) \nabla^{-1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_i(x^{-k,-1}) = \nabla p_i(x^{1+k,-1}) \nabla^{-1}$$

$$\text{Put } k=1-a, \text{ we get } \nabla p_i(x^{a,-1}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{a-1,-1}) \quad \forall a \leq 1$$

\therefore It remains to prove $\nabla p_i(x^{1,-1}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{0,-1})$

By Prop 3.3.1 (iii), $p_i(x^{0,-1})$ acts as $p_i(x)^1$

By Prop 3.3.3 (iv), $p_i[-Mx^{1,-1}]$ acts as D_i

$\therefore \nabla p_i[-Mx^{1,-1}] \nabla^{-1}$ acts as $\nabla D_i \nabla^{-1} = -M p_i(x)^1$

i.e. $\nabla p_i[-Mx^{1,-1}] \nabla^{-1} = -M p_i(x^{0,-1})$

$\therefore \nabla p_i(x^{1,-1}) \nabla^{-1} = p_i(x^{0,-1})$ and result follows. □