

## The Cauchy Identity

Recall

$$E_{\lambda}^{\sigma}(x; q) = q^{|\text{Inv}(\sigma^{-1}) \cap \text{Inv}(\lambda + \epsilon_{\rho})|} \boxed{T_{\sigma^{-1}}^{-1}} E_{\sigma^{-1}(\lambda)}(x; q) \quad \text{①}$$

$$F_{\lambda}^{\sigma}(x; q) = \overline{E_{-\lambda}^{\sigma w_0}(x; q)}$$

$$E_{\lambda}^{\sigma} = \begin{cases} q^{-I(\lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1})} \underline{T_i} E_{s_i \lambda}^{s_i \sigma} & s_i \sigma > \sigma \\ q^{I(\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1})} \underline{T_i}^{-1} E_{s_i \lambda}^{s_i \sigma} & \underline{s_i \sigma < \sigma} \end{cases} \quad \text{②}$$

where  $I(P) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P \text{ is true} \\ 0 & \text{if } P \text{ is false} \end{cases}$

$$\boxed{E_{\lambda}^{\sigma} = x^{\lambda} \quad \forall \sigma \text{ if } \lambda = \lambda_+} \quad \leftarrow$$

Prop. 4.3.2 of BHMP51  $\forall \sigma \in S_{\mathbb{Z}^l}$ , the  $\underline{E_{\lambda}^{\sigma}(x; q)}$  and  $\underline{F_{\lambda}^{\sigma}(x; q)}$  are dual bases of  $K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_l^{\pm 1}]$  with respect to the inner product defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_q = \langle x^0 \rangle f g \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{1 - q^{-1} x_i/x_j}, \quad \text{③}$$

i.e.  $\langle \underline{E_{\lambda}^{\sigma}}, \underline{F_{\mu}^{\tau}} \rangle_q = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^l \text{ and } \sigma, \tau \in S_{\mathbb{Z}^l}$

Lemma 4.3.3 of BHMP51. With

$$\triangleright T_i = q s_i + (1-q) \frac{1}{(s_i - 1)},$$

$$\frac{1 - x_{i+1}/x_i}{\dots}$$

$T_i$  is self-adjoint w.r.t.  $\langle -, - \rangle_g$

Pf. It suffices to show  $T_i - g$  is self adjoint since  $\langle (T_i - g)f, g \rangle = \langle f, (T_i - g)g \rangle \Rightarrow \langle T_i f, g \rangle = \langle f, T_i g \rangle$

Now  $T_i - g = g \frac{1 - g^{-1} x_i/x_{i+1}}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}} (s_i - 1)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} T_i - g &= (s_i - 1) \left[ \frac{(1-g)}{x_i - x_{i+1}} + g \right] \\ &= (s_i - 1) \left[ g \left( 1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i - x_{i+1}} \right) + \frac{x_i}{x_i - x_{i+1}} \right] \\ &= (s_i - 1) \left[ g \left( 1 + \frac{\frac{x_i}{x_{i+1}}}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}} \right) - \frac{x_i/x_{i+1}}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{(s_i - 1)}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}} \left[ g - \frac{x_i/x_{i+1}}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}} \right]. \text{ Thus} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle (T_i - g)f, g \rangle_g = g \langle x^0 \rangle \left[ \frac{1 - g^{-1} x_i/x_{i+1}}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}} (s_i - 1) f \right] g \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{1 - g^{-1} x_i/x_j}$$

$$= g \langle x^0 \rangle (s_i(f)g - fg) \prod_{\substack{j < k \\ (j,k) \neq (i,i+1)}} \frac{1 - x_j/x_k}{1 - g^{-1} x_j/x_k} \quad (**)$$

We want this to be invariant under  $s_i(f)g \leftrightarrow f s_i(g)$  i.e. symmetric in  $f, g$ .

Let  $\Delta =$  product factor in  $\boxed{**}$ . Note  $\Delta$  is symmetric in  $x_i, x_{i+1}$ . For any  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$ ,

$$\langle x^\sigma \rangle \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = \langle x^\sigma \rangle s_i \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \quad \text{so}$$

$$\langle x^\sigma \rangle s_i(f)g \Delta = \langle x^\sigma \rangle f s_i(g) \Delta \quad \text{so } \boxed{**} \text{ is}$$

invariant under  $s_i(f)g \leftrightarrow f s_i(g)$   $\square$

Pf. of Prop 4.3.2

$$\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, \overline{F}_\mu^\sigma \rangle_g = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell \text{ and } \sigma \in S_\ell \text{ is equivalent to}$$

$$\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma \omega_0} \rangle_g = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell \text{ and } \sigma \in S_\ell$$

By  $\textcircled{a}$ , for every  $i$  either

$$s_i \sigma > \sigma \\ s_i \sigma \omega_0 < \sigma \omega_0$$

$$\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma \omega_0} \rangle_g = q^e \langle T_i E_{s_i \lambda}^{s_i \sigma}, T_i^{-1} E_{-s_i \mu}^{s_i \sigma \omega_0} \rangle_g \quad \text{or}$$

$$\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma \omega_0} \rangle_g = q^e \langle T_i^{-1} E_{s_i \lambda}^{s_i \sigma}, T_i E_{-s_i \mu}^{s_i \sigma \omega_0} \rangle_g, \text{ depending}$$

on whether  $s_i \sigma > \sigma$  or  $s_i \sigma < \sigma$ , for some  $e$ .

(since  $i$  occurs before  $i+1$  in  $\sigma$  iff  $i+1$  occurs before  $i$  in  $\sigma \omega_0$ )

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & \dots & i & i+1 & \dots & n & & i & i+1 & \dots & n \\ \sigma_1 & \dots & \sigma_i & \sigma_{i+1} & \dots & \sigma_n & & n & n-1 & n-i+1 & n-i & \dots & 1 \end{array}$$

$$= 1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n-i \ n-i+1 \ \dots \ n$$

$$\sigma_n \cdots \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_1$$

$$n-i+1 \rightarrow i \rightarrow \sigma_i$$

$$n-i \rightarrow i+1 \rightarrow \sigma_{i+1}$$

Since  $T_i$  is self-adjoint, we get

$$\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta^e \langle E_{s_i \lambda}^{s_i \sigma}, E_{-s_i \mu}^{s_i \sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ in either case.}$$

Repeating gives

$$\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta^e \langle E_{\nu \lambda}^{\nu \sigma}, E_{-\mu}^{\nu \sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \sigma, \nu \in S_e$$

with  $\delta^e = 1$  if  $\lambda = \mu$ ,

Choose  $\nu \in S_e$  so  $\mu_- = \nu(\mu)$  is antidominant. then gives

$$\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta^e \langle E_{\nu \lambda}^{\nu \sigma}, E_{-\mu_-}^{\nu \sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta^e \langle E_{\nu \lambda}^{\nu \sigma}, x^{-(\mu_-)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

$$(*) = \delta^e \langle x^{\mu_-} \rangle \Delta(x; \mathfrak{g}) E_{\nu \lambda}^{\nu \sigma}, \text{ where } \Delta(x; \mathfrak{g}) \text{ is the}$$

product factor in  $(*)$ . Let  $\text{supp}(f)$  denote the set of weights  $\nu : x^\nu$  occurs with nonzero coef. in  $f$ .

Since  $\text{supp}(\Delta(x; \mathfrak{g})) = Q_+ |$

$\text{supp}(E_{\nu \lambda}^{\nu \sigma}) \subseteq \text{conv}(S_e \cdot \lambda)$



$$\Delta(x; \mathfrak{g}) = \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{1 - \sigma^i x_i / \nu_j} = \prod_{i < j} \left( 1 + (\sigma^i - 1) \frac{x_i}{x_j} + (\sigma^i - 1)^2 \frac{x_i^2}{x_j^2} + \dots \right)$$

then if  $\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \neq 0$ , then  $(\mu_- - Q_+) \cap \text{conv}(S_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \lambda) \neq \emptyset$

and so  $\mu_- - \lambda_- \in Q_+$ . Since  $w_0(Q_+) = -Q_+$ , this is equivalent to  $\lambda_+ \geq \mu_+$ .

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle x^\sigma \rangle f g \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{1 - q^{-1}x_i/x_j}, \quad *$$

By symmetry, exchanging  $\lambda$  with  $-\mu$  and  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma w_0$ , if  $\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \neq 0$  then we also get  $(-\lambda)_- - (-\mu)_- \in Q_+$ , hence  $\lambda_+ - \mu_+ \in -Q_+$ , or  $\lambda_+ \leq \mu_+$

ex. If  $(-3, -3, -1, 0) - (-2, -2, -2, -1) \in Q_+$

then  $(3, 3, 1, 0) - (2, 2, 2, 1) \in -Q_+$

Hence  $\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma w_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_+ = \mu_+$  so  $\lambda, \mu$  in

same  $S_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -orbit. In this case,

$(\mu_- - Q_+) \cap \text{conv}(S_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \lambda) = \{\mu_-\}$ . Furthermore, if  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $v\lambda \neq \mu_-$  (recall  $\mu_- = v(\mu)$ )

By

Corollary 4.3.1  $\forall \lambda, \sigma$

$$\bar{E}_\lambda^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g}) = x^\lambda + \sum_{\mu \neq \lambda} C_\mu x^\mu$$

we have  $(\mu_- - Q_+) \cap \text{supp}(E_{\nu\lambda}^{v\sigma}) = \emptyset$ , hence

$$\langle E_{\lambda}^{\sigma}, E_{-\mu}^{\sigma\omega_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0.$$

If  $\lambda = \mu$ , then  $\delta^e \langle x^{\mu_-} \rangle \Delta E_{\nu\lambda}^{v\sigma}$  from  $(*)$  above

reduces to  $\langle x^{\mu_-} \rangle \Delta E_{\mu_-}^{v\sigma}$ . Since  $\text{supp}(\Delta) = Q_+$ , and  $\text{supp}(E_{\mu_-}^{v\sigma}) \subset \mu_- - Q_+$ , only the constant term of  $\Delta$  and the  $x^{\mu_-}$  term of  $E_{\mu_-}^{v\sigma}$  contribute to

the coef of  $x^{\mu_-}$  in  $\Delta E_{\mu_-}^{v\sigma}$ , and we have

$$\langle x^{\mu_-} \rangle E_{\mu_-}^{v\sigma} = 1 \text{ by Cor. 4.3.1 above. Hence,}$$

$$\langle E_{\lambda}^{\sigma}, E_{-\lambda}^{\sigma\omega_0} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1. \quad \square$$