

## The Cauchy Identity

Thm 5.1.1 For any  $\sigma \in S_2$ , the  $E_\lambda^\sigma$  &  $F_\lambda^\sigma$  satisfy

$$\frac{\prod_{i < j} (1 - q t x_i y_j)}{\prod_{i \leq j} (1 - t x_i y_j)} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2} t^{|a|} E_a^\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_2; q^{-1}) F_a^\sigma(y_1, \dots, y_2; q). \quad (*)$$

Pf. Let  $Z(x, y; q, t)$  denote the LHS of  $(*)$ . Since the  $E_a^\sigma$  and  $F_a^\sigma$  form bases for  $\mathbb{Q}(q)[x]$ , we need to show

$$\langle F_a^\sigma(y'; q^{-1}) \rangle Z(x, y'; q^{-1}, t) = t^{|a|} E_a^\sigma(x; q)$$

Lemma For any  $f(y) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[y_1, \dots, y_2]$ ,

$$f(tx) = \langle y^0 \rangle f(y) \frac{\prod_{i < j} (1 - q^{-1} t x_i / y_j)}{\prod_{i \leq j} (1 - t x_i / y_j)} \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - y_i / y_j}{1 - q^{-1} y_i / y_j} \quad (**)$$

Pf. The only factor in  $(**)$  involving negative powers of  $y_i$  is  $\frac{1}{1 - x_i / y_i}$ . The rest is a power series in  $y_i$ .

For any power series  $g(y_i)$ ,

$$\langle y_i^0 \rangle \frac{g(y_i)}{1 - t \frac{x_i}{y_i}} = g(tx_i) \leftarrow$$

since if  $g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ ,

$$\langle y_i^0 \rangle \frac{g(y_i)}{1 - t \frac{x_i}{y_i}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (t x_i)^k = g(tx_i).$$

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t x_i / y_i) \rangle_{k=0}$$

So  $\langle y_1^0 y_2^0 \dots y_\ell^0 \rangle$  on right in  $(*)$  can be obtained by letting  $y_1 = tx_1$  and taking  $\langle y_2^0 \dots y_\ell^0 \rangle$  in what's left, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle y^0 \rangle f(y) \frac{\prod_{i < j} (1 - q^{-1} t x_i / y_j)}{\prod_{\substack{i \leq j \\ i \neq j}} (1 - t x_i / y_j)} \frac{\prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - y_i / y_j}{1 - q^{-1} y_i / y_j}}{\prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - y_i / y_j}{1 - q^{-1} t x_i / y_j}} \\ & = \langle y_2^0 \dots y_\ell^0 \rangle f(tx_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell) \frac{\prod_{2 \leq i < j} (1 - q^{-1} t x_i / y_j)}{\prod_{2 \leq i \leq j} (1 - t x_i / y_j)} \frac{\prod_{2 \leq i < j} \frac{1 - y_i / y_j}{1 - q^{-1} y_i / y_j}}{\prod_{2 \leq i < j} \frac{1 - y_i / y_j}{1 - q^{-1} t x_i / y_j}} \end{aligned}$$

this part cancels  $i=1$  terms from Part A

and  $(*)$  follows by induction. □

Letting  $f(y) = E_a^\sigma(y; g)$  in  $(*)$  gives

$$E_a^\sigma(tx; g) = \langle y^0 \rangle E_a^\sigma(y; g) \frac{\prod_{i < j} (1 - q^{-1} t x_i / y_j)}{\prod_{i \leq j} (1 - t x_i / y_j)} \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - y_i / y_j}{1 - q^{-1} y_i / y_j}$$

Recall:

Prop. 4.3.2 of BHMP51  $\forall \sigma \in S_\ell$ , the  $E_\lambda^\sigma(x; g)$  and

$F_\lambda^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g})$  are dual bases of  $K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_\ell^{\pm 1}]$  with respect to the inner product defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle x^0 \rangle f g \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - x_i/x_j}{1 - \mathfrak{g}^{-1} x_i/x_j}, \quad (*)$$

i.e.  $\langle E_\lambda^\sigma, \overline{F}_\mu^\sigma \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell \text{ and } \sigma \in S_\ell.$

Now  $\langle F_a^\sigma(y^{-1}; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}) \rangle \underline{Z(x, y^{-1}; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}, t)} = t^{|\alpha|} E_a^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g})$  :  
is equivalent to

$$\langle F_a^\sigma(y^{-1}; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}) \rangle \frac{\prod_{i < j} (1 - \mathfrak{g}^{-1} t x_i / y_j)}{\prod_{i < j} (1 - t x_i / y_j)} = t^{|\alpha|} E_a^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g})$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{Z(x, y^{-1}; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}, t)}$   
 $\parallel$

$$= \sum_a F_a^\sigma(y^{-1}; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}) t^{|\alpha|} E_a^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g})$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\prod (1 - \mathfrak{g}^{-1} t x_i / y_i)}$ 
plug in

$$E_b^\sigma(tx; \mathfrak{g}) = \langle \gamma^\circ \rangle E_b^\sigma(y; \mathfrak{g}) \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1 - \gamma_i / \gamma_j}{1 - \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \gamma_i / \gamma_j} \quad \leftarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_b^\sigma(tx; \mathfrak{g}) &= \langle \gamma^\circ \rangle E_b^\sigma(y; \mathfrak{g}) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m} F_a^\sigma(\gamma; \mathfrak{g}) t^{|a|} E_a^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g}) \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1 - \gamma_i / \gamma_j}{1 - \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \gamma_i / \gamma_j} \\ &= \sum_a E_a^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g}) t^{|a|} \langle \gamma^\circ \rangle E_b^\sigma(y; \mathfrak{g}) F_a^\sigma(y; \mathfrak{g}) \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1 - \gamma_i / \gamma_j}{1 - \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \gamma_i / \gamma_j} \\ &= \sum_a E_a^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g}) t^{|a|} \langle E_b^\sigma(y; \mathfrak{g}), F_a^\sigma(y; \mathfrak{g}) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{E_b^\sigma(x; \mathfrak{g}) t^{|b|}}{=} \\ &\stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \text{by Prop. 4.3.2.} \quad = E_b^\sigma(tx; \mathfrak{g}) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### Pieri Rules

Lemma 4.5.1 from BHMP51 says for all  $\sigma \in S_m$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$

$$\rightarrow e_k E_a^{\sigma^{-1}}(x; \mathfrak{g}) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [m] \\ |I|=k}} \mathfrak{g}^{-\langle a, \sigma \rangle} E_{a+e_I}^{\sigma^{-1}}(x; \mathfrak{g})$$

Lemma 6.3.3 For any  $a, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$  and any symmetric  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{g}^{\pm 1}][x_1, \dots, x_m]^{S_m}$ ,

$$\langle E_{w_0 a}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}) \rangle f(x) E_{w_0 \alpha}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}) = \overline{\langle E_{\alpha}^{\text{id}}(x; \mathfrak{g}) \rangle f(x) E_a^{\text{id}}(x; \mathfrak{g})}.$$

Pf. By linearity it suffices to prove it for  $f = e_\lambda$ .

Taking  $f = e_{\lambda_1} \cdots e_{\lambda_k}$  on the left and  
 $f = e_{\lambda_k} \cdots e_{\lambda_1}$  on the right,

$$\langle E_{w_0 a}^{w_0}(x; \bar{g}^{-1}) \rangle e_{\lambda_1} \cdots e_{\lambda_k} E_{w_0 a}^{w_0}(x; \bar{g}^{-1}) =$$

$$\overline{\langle E_a^{\text{id}}(x; \bar{g}) \rangle} e_{\lambda_k} \cdots e_{\lambda_1} \overline{E_a^{\text{id}}(x; \bar{g})} \quad \text{***}$$

we can reduce to the case  $f = e_\alpha(x)$  ?

\*\*\* can be deduced from Lemma 4.5.1 above.  $\square$

Lemma 6.3.4 For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^m$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$h_k F_n^{w_0}(x; \bar{g}) = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{N}^m \\ |z|=k}} g^{d(n, z)} F_{n+z}^{w_0}(x; \bar{g})$$

Pf. By defn,

$$\langle \chi_\lambda \rangle \mathcal{L}_{\beta/\alpha}^u(x; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}) = \langle E_\beta^u \rangle \chi_\lambda E_\alpha^{-1}.$$

Letting  $\lambda = (k)$  gives

$$\langle h_k \rangle \mathcal{L}_{w_0(a_1/a)}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g}) = \langle E_{w_0 a}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}) \rangle h_k(x) E_{w_0 a}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g}^{-1}).$$

Lemma 6.3.3 then implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_k \rangle \mathcal{L}_{w_0(a_1/a)}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g}) &= \langle \overline{E_\alpha^{\text{id}}(x; \mathfrak{g})} \rangle h_k(x) \overline{E_a^{\text{id}}(x; \mathfrak{g})} \\ &= \langle F_{-a}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g}) \rangle h_k(x) \underline{F_{-a}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g})} \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from the combinatorial expression for  $\mathcal{L}_{w_0(a_1/a)}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g})_{\text{pol}}$

$$\text{(i.e. } \mathcal{L}_{\beta/\alpha}^{w_0}(x; \mathfrak{g})_{\text{pol}} = \underbrace{\sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\beta/\alpha)} q^{h^{w_0}(T)} x^{w_2(T)}}_{\text{coef of } h_k \text{ in here}} \quad \square$$

= coef of  $x_1^k$



coef  $x_1^k = \langle -, h_k \rangle$