

Problem Set 7

DUE: In class Thursday, Nov. 1 *Late papers will be accepted until 1:00 PM Friday.*

1. [QUADRATIC POLYNOMIALS]

a) Find a real symmetric (that is, self-adjoint) 3×3 matrix A so that

$$\langle \vec{x}, A\vec{x} \rangle = 3x_1^2 + 4x_1x_2 - x_2^2 - x_2x_3.$$

SUGGESTION: First do the simpler case of finding a 2×2 matrix A so that

$$\langle \vec{x}, A\vec{x} \rangle = 3x_1^2 + 4x_1x_2 - x_2^2.$$

A simple but useful observation is that $4x_1x_2 = 2x_1x_2 + 2x_2x_1$.

b) [COMPLETING THE SQUARE] Which is simpler:

$$z = x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 - 2x_1 + 4x_2 + 2 \quad \text{or} \quad z = y_1^2 + 4y_2^2 ?$$

If we let $y_1 = x_1 - 1$ and $y_2 = x_2 + 1/2$, they are essentially the same. All we did was translate the origin to $(1, -1/2)$.

The point of this problem is to generalize this to quadratic polynomials in several variables. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\vec{x}) &= \sum a_{ij}x_ix_j + 2 \sum b_ix_i + c \\ &= \langle \vec{x}, A\vec{x} \rangle + 2\langle \vec{b}, \vec{x} \rangle + c \end{aligned}$$

be a real quadratic polynomial so $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are real vectors and $A = (a_{ij})$ is a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix.

In the case $n = 1$, $Q(x) = ax^2 + 2bx + c$ which is clearly simpler in the special case $b = 0$. In this case, if $a \neq 0$, by completing the square we find

$$Q(x) = a(x + b/a)^2 + c - 2b^2/a = ay^2 + \gamma,$$

where we let $y = x + b/a$ and $\gamma = c - b^2/a$. Thus, by translating the origin: $x = y + b/a$ we can eliminate the linear term in the quadratic polynomial – so it becomes simpler.

Similarly, for any dimension n , if A is invertible show there is a change of variables $\vec{y} = \vec{x} - \vec{v}$ (this is a translation by the vector \vec{v}) so that in the new \vec{y} variables Q has the form

$$\hat{Q}(\vec{y}) := Q(\vec{y} + \vec{v}) = \langle \vec{y}, A\vec{y} \rangle + \gamma \quad \text{that is,} \quad \hat{Q}(\vec{y}) = \sum a_{ij}y_iy_j + \gamma,$$

where γ involves A , b , and c – but no terms that are linear in \vec{y} . [In the case $n = 1$, which you should try *first*, this means using a change of variables $y = x - v$ to change the polynomial $ax^2 + 2bx + c$ to the simpler $ay^2 + \gamma$.]

- c) As an example, apply this to $Q(\vec{x}) = 2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 3x_2 - 4$.
2. For $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let $Q(\vec{x}) := \langle \vec{x}, A\vec{x} \rangle$, where A is a real symmetric matrix. We say that A is *positive definite* if $Q(\vec{x}) > 0$ for all $\vec{x} \neq 0$, *negative definite* if $Q(\vec{x}) < 0$ for all $\vec{x} \neq 0$, and *indefinite* if $Q(\vec{x}) > 0$ for some \vec{x} but $Q(\vec{x}) < 0$ for some other \vec{x} .
- a) In the special case $n = 2$ give (simple!) examples of matrices A that are positive definite, negative definite, and indefinite.
- b) In the special case where A is an invertible *diagonal* matrix,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix},$$

under what conditions is $Q(\vec{x})$ positive definite, negative definite, and indefinite?
 [REMARK: We will see that the general case can *always* be reduced to this special case where A is diagonal.]

3. Let $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))$ and $B(t) = (b_{ij}(t))$ be $n \times n$ matrices whose elements depend smoothly on the real parameter t . As usual, we define the derivative as

$$A'(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(t+h) - A(t)}{h},$$

assuming the limit exists. It is easy to check that this gives $A'(t) = (a'_{ij}(t))$ (it is the same proof that the derivative of a vector $\vec{x}(t)$ is the derivative of its components).

- a) Show that $\frac{d}{dt}A(t)B(t) = A'(t)B(t) + A(t)B'(t)$. [The proof is identical to the case $n = 1$ in elementary calculus, with due caution since A and B usually don't commute.]
- b) If $A(t)$ is invertible, find the formula for the derivative of $A^{-1}(t)$. [Again, The proof is identical to the case $n = 1$ in elementary calculus – with due caution.]
4. Combine the rank-nullity Theorem 3.3.7 with Theorem 5.4.1, which says $(\text{im } A)^\perp = \ker(A^*)$, to show that $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } A^*$, that is, $\dim \text{im } A = \dim \text{im } A^*$.

Bonus Problem

[Please give this directly to Professor Kazdan]

- 1-B This problem concerns BLOCK MATRICES as discussed in the text on pages 75–77 and pages 87–88. They are often useful to break a problem involving larger matrices into

ones with smaller matrices. This technique is essential in the computations Google uses to search the web.

NOTATION: Let $M = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right)$ be an $(n+k) \times (n+k)$ block matrix partitioned into the $n \times n$ matrix A , the $n \times k$ matrix B , the $k \times n$ matrix C and the $k \times k$ matrix D .

Let $N = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} W & X \\ \hline Y & Z \end{array} \right)$ is another matrix with the same “shape” as M . The text (p. 75–77) shows that the naive matrix multiplication

$$MN = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} AW+BY & AX+BZ \\ \hline CW+DY & CX+DZ \end{array} \right)$$

is correct. In the special case when $C = 0$, the text (p. 87–88) shows that if A is invertible, then M is invertible if (and only if) D is invertible and gives a formula for M^{-1} (note that this is applicable in the special case of upper triangular matrices). Taking the transpose we also get formulas in the special case of M where $B = 0$.

- a) More generally, if A is invertible, show that M is invertible if and only if the matrix $H := D - CA^{-1}B$ is invertible – in which case

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + A^{-1}BH^{-1}CA^{-1} & -A^{-1}BH^{-1} \\ -H^{-1}CA^{-1} & H^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- b) Similarly, if D is invertible, show that M is invertible if and only if the matrix $K := A - BD^{-1}C$ is invertible – in which case

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} K^{-1} & -K^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}CK^{-1} & D^{-1} + D^{-1}CK^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- c) For which values of a , b , and c is the following matrix invertible? What is the inverse?

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b & \cdots & b & b \\ c & a & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & a & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ c & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

- d) Let the square matrix M have the block form $M := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so $D = 0$. If B and C are square, show that M is invertible if and only if both B and C are invertible, and find an explicit formula for M^{-1} . [ANSWER: $M^{-1} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^{-1} \\ B^{-1} & -B^{-1}AC^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$].

[Last revised: November 27, 2012]