

- Concrete description of stack associated to a groupoid scheme

Given a groupoid scheme  $(R, U, s, t, m, e, i)$ , we formed a stack  $[R \rightrightarrows U]$  over  $(\text{Sch})$  (w. étale topology) by considering the associated prestack, and stackifying.

More concrete description:

for a *fixed* étale cover  $T' \rightarrow T$ , there is an associated groupoid:  
 objects =  $\{(\psi: T' \rightarrow U, \Psi: T'' \rightarrow R)\}$ , with  $T'' = T' \times_T T'$   
 $\psi, \Psi$  must be compatible with structure maps  
 morphisms =  $\{\alpha: T' \rightarrow R\}$

*Exercise.* Drawing on your intuition from maps of topological spaces and open coverings, figure out the compatibility conditions (3 of them) on the map  $\alpha$ .

The fiber of  $[R \rightrightarrows U]$  over  $T$  can be thought of as a sort of limit of the groupoids associated to the étale covers  $T' \rightarrow T$ , as  $T'$  gets increasingly fine:

object in  $[R \rightrightarrows U](T)$  is étale covering  $T' \rightarrow T$  plus object  $(\psi, \Psi)$  in associated groupoid.

morphism from  $(T'_1, \psi_1, \Psi_1)$  to  $(T'_2, \psi_2, \Psi_2)$  is  $\alpha: T'_1 \times_T T'_2 \rightarrow R$  such that

$$s \circ \alpha = \psi_1 \circ \text{pr}_1,$$

$$t \circ \alpha = \psi_2 \circ \text{pr}_2,$$

$$m \circ (\alpha \circ \text{pr}_{12}, \Psi_2 \circ \text{pr}_{24}) = m \circ (\Psi_1 \circ \text{pr}_{13}, \alpha \circ \text{pr}_{34}) \text{ as morphisms } T'_1 \times_T T'_2 \times_T T'_1 \times_T T'_2 \rightarrow R.$$

composition of morphisms:

given  $(T'_3, \psi_3, \Psi_3)$  and  $\beta: T'_2 \times_T T'_3 \rightarrow R$ , the composite  $\beta \circ \alpha$  is the map  $T'_1 \times_T T'_3 \rightarrow R$  gotten by descent from  $\gamma: T'_1 \times_T T'_2 \times_T T'_3 \rightarrow R$ ,  $\gamma = m \circ (\alpha \circ \text{pr}_{12}, \beta \circ \text{pr}_{23})$ .

- Groupoids from stacks

Given a stack, we can try to build a groupoid scheme which allows us to reconstruct the original stack.

Let  $F$  be a stack over  $(\text{Sch})$ . Let  $U$  be a scheme. We have:

$$\text{objects } u \in F(U) \leftrightarrow \text{maps } U \rightarrow F$$

(any functor can be viewed as a stack; we associate to a scheme  $U$  the stack corresponding to its functor of points  $h_U$ )

Let  $u$  be an object in  $F(U)$ . The stack  $U \times_F U$  is some space  $R$ .

leads to a groupoid space  $(R, U, s, t, m, e, i)$

(what is  $m$ ?  $T$  a scheme,  $h: T \rightarrow R$  determines  $t_1, t_2: T \rightarrow U$ , with  $u(t_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} u(t_2)$ ,  $k: T \rightarrow R$  determines  $t'_2, t'_3: T \rightarrow U$ , with  $u(t'_2) \xrightarrow{\beta} u(t'_3)$ ; if  $t \circ h = s \circ k$  then  $t_2 = t'_2$ , so get  $u(t_1) \xrightarrow{\beta \circ \alpha} u(t'_3)$ , hence a third map  $m: T \rightarrow R$ )

if  $R$  is representable, we get a groupoid scheme, with morphism  $[R \rightrightarrows U] \rightarrow F$

not generally an equivalence

e.g.,  $F = Y$  for some scheme  $Y$ , can prove equivalence only if  $u: U \rightarrow Y$  is an étale cover,  
 or more generally a smooth cover ( $S \rightarrow T$  smth surj.  $\Rightarrow \exists R \rightarrow S$  s.t.  $R \rightarrow T$  is an étale cover)

- Algebraic stacks

What is desired:

should always get  $R = U \times_F U$  representable

should be able to recover  $[R \rightrightarrows U] \xrightarrow{\sim} F$  for *suitable* choices  $U, u \in F(U)$ .

for doing geometry: should be able to define properties of  $F$  in terms of those of  $U$ , and similarly for morphisms

Digression: descent for properties of schemes and morphisms via étale/smooth/flat covers

Deligne and Mumford chose to study stacks which in a suitable sense are étale locally represented by schemes

Artin: smooth locally

Definition (for now): a stack  $F$  is **representable** if  $F$  is equivalent to the functor of points of some scheme.

eventually: will disallow some schemes (non-quasi-separated schemes)

will allow representability by some non-scheme spaces, namely algebraic spaces

at that point, will show definition of Deligne-Mumford stack remains unchanged

this alternative definition of representability is needed to obtain the correct definition of Artin stack

Definition (for now): a morphism of stacks  $f: F \rightarrow G$  is **representable** if for any scheme  $U$  and object  $u \in G(U)$ , the fiber product  $F \times_G U$  is representable

Proposition: the following are equivalent, for a given stack  $F$

(1) the diagonal  $F \rightarrow F \times F$  is representable

(2) for any schemes  $U$  and  $V$ , and morphisms  $U \rightarrow F$  and  $V \rightarrow F$ , the fiber product  $U \times_F V$  is representable

(3) for any scheme  $U$ , any morphism  $U \rightarrow F$  is representable

(4) for any scheme  $T$  and objects  $t_1, t_2 \in F(T)$ , the space  $\text{Isom}_{T, t_1, t_2}$  is representable

Proof: we have  $\text{Isom}_{T, t_1, t_2} \simeq T \times_{(t_1, t_2), F \times F, \Delta_F} F$ , hence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4). There is a natural isomorphism  $\text{Isom}_{U \times V, u \circ \text{pr}_1, v \circ \text{pr}_2} \simeq U \times_{u, F, v} V$ , so (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3). Finally, for any  $(t_1, t_2): T \rightarrow F \times F$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T \times_{F \times F} F &\simeq T \times_{T \times T} T \times_{F \times F} F \\ &\simeq T \times_{T \times T} (T \times_{t_1, F, t_2} T) \end{aligned}$$

which shows (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Definition: a stack  $F$  is **algebraic** in the sense of Deligne and Mumford, or is a **Deligne-Mumford stack**, if the diagonal  $F \rightarrow F \times F$  is representable, quasicompact, and separated, and if there is a scheme  $U$  and an étale surjective map  $U \rightarrow F$ .

$U$  as above is called an **atlas**.

How to show a stack is a Deligne-Mumford stack:

first show it is a stack (usually follows from a basic descent result)

then show Isom is representable (may involve a Hilbert scheme argument)

at this point, quasicompactness and separatedness are usually immediate

show there is an étale cover

Shortcut for the last step:

show there is a smooth cover – often easier

show that the diagonal is unramified

and invoke the following result

Proposition: Let  $F$  be a stack with representable, separated, quasicompact diagonal, all over a noetherian base scheme  $S$ . Suppose there is a scheme  $X$ , of finite type over  $S$ , and a smooth surjective morphism  $X \rightarrow F$ . If the diagonal of  $F$  is unramified, then  $F$  is a Deligne-Mumford stack.

Definition: a space which is an algebraic stack in the sense of Deligne and Mumford is called an **algebraic space**.

Algebraic spaces form a category, which contains all quasiseparated schemes as a full subcategory. The category of algebraic spaces sits inside the 2-category of algebraic stacks.

Definition (final): a stack  $F$  is **representable** if  $F$  is equivalent to the functor of points of some algebraic space.

Definition (final): a morphism of stacks  $f: F \rightarrow G$  is **representable** if for any quasi-separated scheme  $U$  and object  $u \in G(U)$ , the fiber product  $F \times_G U$  is representable.

From now on all schemes will be quasi-separated.

Examples of Deligne-Mumford stacks:

$BG$ , if  $G \rightarrow S$  is an étale affine group scheme

$[X/G]$ , see that this is algebraic directly, or invoke the following result

Proposition: let  $F \rightarrow G$  be a representable morphism of stacks. If  $G$  is algebraic (in the sense of Deligne and Mumford, or in the more general sense of Artin, below), then so is  $F$ .

$\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ , stack of elliptic curves

$\mathcal{M}_g, \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ , and their compactifications  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$   
(see the article of Deligne and Mumford)

Definition: a stack  $F$  is **algebraic**, or is an **Artin stack**, if the diagonal is representable, quasicompact, and separated, and if there is a scheme  $U$  and a smooth surjective map  $U \rightarrow F$ .

Examples of Artin stacks:

$BG$ , if  $G \rightarrow S$  is a smooth group scheme

still true for flat group schemes by a result of Artin asserting existence of a smooth atlas

$[X/G]$ , will be Deligne-Mumford if  $G$  acts with finite, reduced stabilizers

moduli of prestable curves

moduli of vector bundles

*Notes.* The explicit description of  $[R \rightrightarrows U](T)$  is taken from notes written by Fulton during a Spring 1996 seminar course at University of Chicago. The material will appear in the forthcoming book *Introduction to Stacks*, by Kai Behrend, Dan Edidin, Barbara Fantechi, William Fulton, Lothar Göttsche, and Andrew Kresch.