

UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE - STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING

06/22/2004
 SPRING A.Y. 2003-2004

CAMPUS: DURHAM
 DEPT. NO. MATH
 COURSE NO. 531
 SECTION NO. 01
 CREF NO. 20907
 INSTRUCTOR: Kadison Lars

COLLEGE: ENGINEERING & PHYS. SCI.
 CREDITS : 4.0
 ENROLLMENT : 12
 TOTAL STUDENTS RESPONDING : 10
 % STUDENTS RESPONDING : 83.33

FRESHMEN : 1
 SOPHOMORES : 4
 JUNIORS : 4
 SENIORS : 0
 GRADUATE : 0
 OTHER : 1

MALE : 7
 FEMALE : 3

GEN ED. : 2
 NON GEN ED. : 8
 GEN ED. ONLY : 0

MAJOR : 9
 NON-MAJOR : 1
 MAJOR ONLY : 7

MINOR : 2
 NON-MINOR : 8
 MINOR ONLY : 1

COURSE TITLE : Mathematical Proof

MAJOR AND GEN ED. : 2
 MINOR AND GEN ED. : 1

NUMBER OF STUDENTS EXPECTED THE FOLLOWING GRADE IN THIS COURSE	AVG. EXPECTED GRADE	HOURS SPENT ON THIS COURSE	NUMBER OF CLASSES MISSED
A --> 3	A C	MEAN: 6.90	MEAN: 7.00
B --> 2	A- C-	MODE: 4	MODE: 3
C --> 5	B+ D+		
D --> 0	B D		
F --> 0	B- * D-		
PASS/CREDIT --> 0	C+ F		
AVERAGE --> 2.80			

NOTE: PERCENTAGES OF RESPONSES BELOW ARE TRUNCATED

QUESTION	STRONGLY AGREE		4		3		2		1		STRONGLY DISAGREE		DOESN'T APPLY		DON'T KNOW		OMIT		MEAN	STDEV
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
1. OBJECTIVES CLEARLY PRESENTED . . .	2	20	4	40	2	20	2	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.60	1.075
2. CONTENT REFLECTED OBJECTIVES . . .	2	20	6	60	1	10	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	0.876
3. ASSIGNMENTS RELATED TO OBJECTIVES.	2	20	7	70	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	0.816
4. WELL-PREPARED FOR CLASS.	3	30	3	30	2	20	2	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.70	1.160
5. PRESENTED MATERIAL EFFECTIVELY . .	1	10	3	30	3	30	2	20	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.10	1.197
6. ENCOURAGED DISCUSSION QUESTIONS.	0	0	4	40	3	30	1	10	2	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.90	1.197
7. ANSWERED QUESTIONS EFFECTIVELY . .	1	10	2	20	1	10	6	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.80	1.135
8. ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT SUBJECT	1	10	2	20	6	60	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.30	0.823
9. FAIR WITH STUDENTS	2	20	4	40	4	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.80	0.789
10. AVAILABLE OUTSIDE OF CLASS	3	30	5	50	1	10	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	0.943
11. SHOWED RESPECT FOR STUDENTS. . . .	5	50	3	30	1	10	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.10	1.287
12. GRADING DESCRIBED TO STUDENTS. . .	4	40	4	40	2	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.20	0.789
13. GRADED IN FAIR MANNER.	1	10	5	50	3	30	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.60	0.843
14. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR . . .	1	10	4	40	3	30	1	10	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.30	1.160

UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE - STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING
 COLLEGE: ENGINEERING & PHYS. SCI.

06/22/2004
 SPRING A.Y. 2003-2004

CAMPUS: DURHAM
 DEPT. NO. MATH
 COURSE NO. 425
 SECTION NO. 04
 CREF NO. 22145
 INSTRUCTOR: Kadison Lars
 COURSE TITLE : Calculus I

CREDITS : 4.0
 ENROLLMENT : 91
 TOTAL STUDENTS RESPONDING : 40
 % STUDENTS RESPONDING : 43.96

FRESHMEN : 35
 SOPHOMORES : 2
 JUNIORS : 0
 SENIORS : 2
 GRADUATE : 0
 OTHER : 1

MALE : 28
 FEMALE : 11
 GEN ED. : 11
 NON GEN ED. : 23
 GEN ED. ONLY : 3
 MAJOR : 33
 NON-MAJOR : 5
 MAJOR ONLY : 23
 MINOR : 3
 NON-MINOR : 30
 MINOR ONLY : 0

MAJOR AND GEN ED. : 8
 MINOR AND GEN ED. : 1

NUMBER OF STUDENTS EXPECTED THE FOLLOWING GRADE IN THIS COURSE	AVG. EXPECTED GRADE	HOURS SPENT ON THIS COURSE	NUMBER OF CLASSES MISSED
A --> 4	A C	MEAN: 6.31	MEAN: 3.76
B --> 15	A- C-	MODE: 3	MODE: 3
C --> 16	B+ D+		
D --> 3	B D		
F --> 2	B- D-		
PASS/CREDIT --> 0	C+ * F		
AVERAGE --> 2.40			

NOTE: PERCENTAGES OF RESPONSES BELOW ARE TRUNCATED

QUESTION	STRONGLY AGREE		4		3		2		STRONGLY DISAGREE		DOESN'T APPLY		DON'T KNOW		OMIT		MEAN	STDEV
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
1. OBJECTIVES CLEARLY PRESENTED . .	12	30	18	45	9	22	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	0.877
2. CONTENT REFLECTED OBJECTIVES . .	13	32	16	40	10	25	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.03	0.832
3. ASSIGNMETS RELATED TO OBJECTIVES.	14	35	14	35	11	27	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.03	0.862
4. WELL-PREPARED FOR CLASS.	18	45	15	37	5	12	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.23	0.862
5. PRESENTED MATERIAL EFFECTIVELY .	7	17	10	25	11	27	9	22	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.23	1.209
6. ENCOURAGED DISCUSSION QUESTIONS.	3	7	3	7	5	12	14	35	15	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.13	1.223
7. ANSWERED QUESTIONS EFFECTIVELY .	4	10	7	17	7	17	10	25	12	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.53	1.359
8. ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT SUBJECT . . .	8	20	8	20	18	45	3	7	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.38	1.125
9. FAIR WITH STUDENTS	9	22	11	27	14	35	3	7	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.50	1.155
10. AVAILABLE OUTSIDE OF CLASS . . .	5	12	11	27	12	30	4	10	1	2	0	0	6	15	1	2	3.45	1.003
11. SHOWED RESPECT FOR STUDENTS. . .	9	22	14	35	11	27	3	7	2	5	0	0	1	2	0	0	3.64	1.088
12. GRADING DESCRIBED TO STUDENTS. .	10	25	13	32	11	27	4	10	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.63	1.125
13. GRADED IN FAIR MANNER.	11	27	11	27	12	30	4	10	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	3.69	1.080
	EXCELLENT		4		3		2		POOR		DOESN'T APPLY		DON'T KNOW		OMIT		MEAN	STDEV
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
14. OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTOR . .	5	12	11	27	15	37	8	20	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.28	1.012