

# Math 114, solutions to Assignment 8

Isabel Lugo

November 1, 2007

These are the solutions to the eighth homework assignment.

## 1 Section 16.3 #50.

We can decompose the region  $D$  into disjoint regions  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  given by

$$R_1 = \{(x, y) : -1 \leq x \leq 1, 1 \leq y \leq 1+x^2\}, R_2 = \{(x, y) : -1 \leq x \leq y^2, -1 \leq y \leq 1\}.$$

(Note that  $R_1$  just barely “hangs together”, since  $1 + x^2 = 1$  when  $x = 0$ . We then have

$$\iint_{R_1} xy dA = \int_{-1}^1 \int_1^{1+x^2} xy dy dx = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{xy^2}{2} \Big|_{y=1}^{y=1+x^2} dx = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{x((1+x^2)^2 - 1)}{2} dx$$

and this is the integral of an odd function between symmetric limits; thus it is zero. Similarly,

$$\iint_{R_2} xy dA = \int_{-1}^1 \int_1^{y^2} xy dx dy = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{x^2 y}{2} \Big|_{x=-1}^{x=y^2} dy = \int_{-1}^{\frac{y^5-y}{2}} dy$$

which is zero, again, since it is the integral of an odd function between symmetric limits. Thus the original integral is zero.

*This was straightforward for most people; I don't think anybody came up with this particular decomposition, though. Most people gave an answer which involved three regions, all of what Stewart calls type I; none of the integrals over those regions are zero, but they do add up to zero. This solution is more “elegant”, somehow; it only involves two iterated integrals (instead of three) and the symmetry in the various subproblems is more visible.*

## 2 Estimation of integrals

The problem was to “use double integrals to estimate the sum of  $1/(x+y)$  over all pairs  $(x, y)$  of integers between 1 and 100.”

We begin by noticing that the sum

$$\sum_{x=1}^{100} \sum_{y=1}^{100} \frac{1}{x+y}$$

is the Riemann sum for some integral. The most “obvious” integral to try is

$$\int_1^{100} \int_1^{100} \frac{1}{x+y} dx dy$$

which is a routine calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{100} \int_1^{100} \frac{1}{x+y} dx dy &= \int_1^{100} \ln(x+y) \Big|_{y=1}^{y=100} dx \\ &= \int_1^{100} \ln(x+100) - \ln(x+1) dx \\ &= (x+100) \ln(x+100) - (x+1) \ln(x+1) \Big|_{x=1}^{100} \\ &= 200 \ln 200 - 202 \ln 101 + 2 \ln 2 \\ &= 128.795 \dots \end{aligned}$$

However, as Dr. Pemantle wrote in an e-mail to me, “this integral is over a 99x99 area, so we suspect it isn’t one for which the double sum appears as an approximation. However, if we replace 100 by 101, we get the integral

$$\int_1^{101} \int_1^{101} 1/(x+y) dx dy$$

If we chop  $[1,101] \times [1,101]$  into 10,000 squares of side 1 and use the value  $f(x_j, y_j)$  in the lower-left corner of each square, then each Delta (area) is exactly 1, so the approximating sum is exactly the sum we were asked to do. The new answer is  $-204 \ln 3 - 204 \ln 17 + 202 \ln 101 = 130.1619 \dots$  [ . . . ] Note that we will probably do better if we find an integral for which the double sum is a midpoint approximation. Using unit squares centered at integers whose opposite corners are  $(i-1/2, j-1/2)$  and  $(i+1/2, j+1/2)$ , we get

$$\int_{1/2}^{100.5} \int_{1/2}^{100.5} 1/(x+y) dx dy.$$

This yields 133.71 which is very close to the 133.64.”

It turns out that it is possible to determine how good an approximation an integral is to a “corresponding” Riemann sum using something called the “Euler-Maclaurin formulas”. These formulas tell us that

$$\sum_{x=1}^n \sum_{y=1}^n \frac{1}{x+y} = (2 \ln 2)n - \ln n - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma + \ln 2 + O(n^{-1})$$

where  $\gamma = .57721 \dots$  is the so-called Euler-Mascheroni constant, and  $O(n^{-1})$  represents some function of  $n$  which goes to zero at least as fast as  $1/n$  does; in the case where  $n = 100$  this tells us that

$$\sum_{x=1}^{100} \sum_{y=1}^{100} \frac{1}{x+y} \approx 200 \ln 2 - \ln 100 - \frac{1}{2} - \gamma + \ln 2 = 133.64$$

where the true value is (to two decimal places) 133.63. I may write up something explaining this in more detail.