

University *of* Western Ontario
Distinguished Lecture Series, April 2008

New Developments
in
Anabelian Geometry

Florian Pop, University of Pennsylvania

LECTURE I: Galois via Topology

- Theme: *Non-tautological description of*

$$\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Aut}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$$

§ 1. From Topology to Numbers

- Recall RET: *There exists equiv of categories*

Topology&Geometry:

compact Riemann
surfaces \mathcal{X}

Compl. algebraic curves:

projective smooth
complex curves X

Function fields:

function fields \mathcal{F} in
one variable over \mathbb{C}

$$\mathcal{X} \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}(X) \longleftrightarrow X$$

• **Basic Question** (Grothendieck):

*Which \mathcal{X} , hence which X , hence which \mathcal{F} ,
are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$, hence number fields?*

Theorem (Grothendieck/Belyi).

X is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if

$\exists X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ ramified only at $0, 1, \infty$.

Proof:

“ \Rightarrow ” by Belyi.

“ \Leftarrow ” by Groth. (étale fundam. groups).

Comments:

- This is the origin of Grothendieck’s “Designs d’enfants”.

- A cover $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ as in Theorem is a *Belyi map*.

- Study the action of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the space of “Designs”

(many many people: Malle, Klüners–M., Schneps,

Lochak–Sch., Zapponi, math-physicists, etc. etc. etc...)

• Not yet reached Goal: Topol./combin. description of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$...

Interesting open Question/Problem

Higher dim extensions of the above Theorem.

Two possible ways:

- First, $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ has at most n ram points.
- Replace curves by higher dim varieties, e.g., surfaces (?!?).
- Several partial results...

Theorem (Ronkin 2004; unpublished).

*The birat. class of complex proj. surface
of general type is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ iff*

\exists smooth fibration $X_0 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

Another idea (Grothendieck)

- Study $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via its action on the “algebraic fundamental group” of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$:
 - That is $\widehat{F}_{\langle \tau_0, \tau_1 \rangle} =: \widehat{F}_2$ free on loops τ_0, τ_1 .
 - And \exists can embed $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\widehat{F}_2)$.
 - Etc...

§ 2. Warm-up: π_1^{top} and $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{R}}$

– $\mathfrak{Var}_{\mathbb{R}}$ category of \mathbb{R} -varieties X . Consider:

a) $X^{\text{an}} := X(\mathbb{C})$ and $\widetilde{X^{\text{an}}} \rightarrow X^{\text{an}}$ univ. cover.

b) $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X) := \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^{\text{an}}) = \text{Aut}_{X^{\text{an}}}(\widetilde{X^{\text{an}}})$.

– $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \{\pm 1\}$ acts on X^{an} and $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^{\text{an}})$.

• Get exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X) \rightarrow ??? \rightarrow \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow 1$$

and repres $\rho_X : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X))$.

– View $\pi_1^{\text{top}} : \mathfrak{Var}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Groups}$ as functor.

• Then $(\rho_X)_X$ gives rise to a morphism:

$$\rho_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1^{\text{top}})$$

Theorem. $\rho_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isomorphism.

Comments:

- New non-tautological description of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

- What about other base fields $K \subset \mathbb{C}$?

§ 3. Étale/algebr. fundam. groups

- $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ base field, e.g. \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R} .
- \mathfrak{Var}_K category of K -varieties X .

Idea: Play same game with K instead of \mathbb{R} !!!

- **Bad News:**

- Gal_K does not act on X^{an} and/or $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^{\text{an}})$;
- No “nice” $\rho_X : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X))$.

- *Good News:*

- Finite covers $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X^{\text{an}}$ are algebraic (Serre’s GAGA).
- Gal_K acts on the set of all such $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X^{\text{an}}$.

– For each $X \in \mathfrak{Var}_K$, consider:

- $\widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ proj.limit of all $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X^{\text{an}}$, the “algebraic universal cover” of X .
- $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X) := \text{Aut}_X(\widehat{X}) = \widehat{\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^{\text{an}})}$, the “algebraic fundamental group” of X .

Theory of étale fundam. groups

(as developed by Grothendieck) gives:

– \exists canonical exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow 1.$$

– Represent $\rho_X : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X))$.

– $\pi_1^{\text{alg}} : \mathfrak{Var}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{prof.}\mathfrak{Groups}$ is a functor

(where morphisms of $\mathbf{prof.}\mathfrak{Groups}$

are all the continuous outer hom's).

– Finally $(\rho_X)_X$ gives rise to a morphism:

$$\rho_K : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1^{\text{alg}})$$

Grothendieck's philosophy:

- Study Gal_K via the representation ρ_K .
- Same problem for the representations $\rho_{\mathcal{V}}$ arising from “well understood” sub-categories $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathfrak{Var}_K$.

§ 4. Studying Gal_K via ρ_K

Question/Problem:

1) Find categories \mathcal{V} for which $\text{Aut}(\pi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{alg}})$ has
“nice” topological/combinatorial description.

2) Find such categories \mathcal{V} for which

$\rho_{\mathcal{V}} : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{alg}})$ is isomorphism.

• *This would give a new description of Gal_K !!!*

Example: Teichmüller modular tower

– $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ moduli space of curves

(genus g , with n marked pts.)

– “Connecting” morphisms

(“boundary” embeddings, gluings, etc.)

– $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \mid g, n\}$ category of varieties

over \mathbb{Q} , the *Teichmüller modular tower*.

– Note: $\mathcal{M}_{0,4} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

$\mathcal{M}_{0,n} \cong (\mathcal{M}_{0,4})^{n-3} \setminus \{\text{fat diagonal}\}$

Facts (to Question/Problem 1):

- $\widehat{GT} = \text{Aut}(\pi_{\mathcal{V}_0}^{\text{alg}})$ is the famous
Grothendieck–Teichmüller group.
- Intensively studied by Drinfel’d, Ihara, Deligne,
Schneps, Sch.–Lochak, Sch.–Nakamura,
Sch.–Harbater, Ihara–Matsumoto, etc., etc.
- $\widehat{GT} = \{(\lambda, f) \mid \lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times, f \in [\widehat{F}_2, \widehat{F}_2], \text{rel. I, II, III}\}$
- Several variants ${}^I GT, {}^{II} GT, {}^{IV} GT$, etc. of \widehat{GT} .
- Actually: rel. I, II, III, are not independent
(Schneps, Sch.–Lochak; Furusho: III suffices)
- Boggi–Lochak (to be thoroughly checked):
 - \exists variant ${}^{\text{new}} GT$ of \widehat{GT} such that
$${}^{\text{new}} GT = \text{Aut}(\pi_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{alg}}).$$

Conclusion: Question/Problem 1 has quite
satisfactory answer(s) for $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{T}$
and its subcategories.

Facts (to Question/Problem 2):

– Belyi: Let $K|\mathbb{Q}$ be number field.

If $\mathcal{M}_{0,4} = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \in \mathcal{V}$, then

$\rho_{\mathcal{V}} : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{alg}})$ injective.

– What about surjectivity?

• **I/OM** (Ihara/Oda–Matsumoto Conj).

$\rho_{\mathbb{Q}} : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_{\mathfrak{Var}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\text{alg}})$ is isomorphism.

Theorem. *I/OM has positive answer.*

• Actually: Given $X \in \mathfrak{Var}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\dim(X) > 1$, set:

- $\mathcal{V}_X = \{\text{Zariski opens } V \subset X, U \subset \mathbb{P}^1\}$, and

canonical inclusions $V' \hookrightarrow V''$ and

projections $V \rightarrow U$, as morphisms.

- *Then $\rho_{\mathcal{V}_X} : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_{\mathcal{V}_X}^{\text{alg}})$ is isom.*

Note: With $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, this gives (in principle)

a pure topol./combin. construction of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$!

LECT. II: Grothendieck & beyond

§ 5. Anabelian phenomena (Exmpl)

a) \mathcal{X} compact Riemann surface, genus g .

Theorem.

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{X}, x) \cong \langle \sigma_1, \tau_1, \dots, \sigma_g, \tau_g \mid \prod_i [\sigma_i, \tau_i] = 1 \rangle.$$

Comment...

b) K field, $\text{Gal}_K = \text{Aut}(K^{\text{sep}}|K)$

absolute Galois group of K .

Theorem (Artin–Schreier, 1927).

Suppose that Gal_K is finite $\neq \{1\}$.

Then $\text{Gal}_K \cong \{\pm 1\}$, and K is real closed.

Comments...

Questions:

- What about the isomorphy type of \mathcal{X} ?
- What about the isomorphy type of K ?

c) **Example: Global fields**

Global fields are the finite extensions of:

- \mathbb{Q} , e.g., $K = \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_n]$, $\zeta_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$, etc.
- $\mathbb{F}_p(x)$, e.g., $K = \mathbb{F}_p(x, y)$, $y^2 = x^3 + x + 1$, etc.

Theorem (Neukirch, Uchida, Iwasawa, 1970's).

Let L and K be global fields. Then one has:

$\text{Gal}_K \cong \text{Gal}_L$ as prof.groups $\Rightarrow K \cong L$ as fields.

Comments:

- Actually, every isomorphism $\text{Gal}_K \cong \text{Gal}_L$ originates functorially from a unique field isomorphism $L \cong K$.
- It is though not clear how to “recover” the field isomorphism type of K from Gal_K .

§ 6. Grothendieck's Anab Geom

– $X \in \mathfrak{Var}_K$, more general $X \in \mathfrak{Sch}_K$, then:

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow 1.$$

• Grothendieck's idea is that under certain

*** “anabelian” hypothesis on schemes X ***

geometry/arithmetic of X should be encoded

functorially in the homotopy sequence

$$\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}_K.$$

Comment: “Geometry/arithmetic” means isomorphy type, morphisms between anabelian varieties, rational points, etc.

Conjecture: The following are anab. schemes:

- Finitely generated infinite fields.
- Hyperbolic curves over such fields.

• Not clear which higher dim varieties should be.

Some results

I) Finitely generated (infinite) fields

– Finitely generated fields are of the form:

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ or } K = \mathbb{F}_p(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

– They are obvious generalization of global fields.

– They are exactly the *function fields* of

(irreducible) varieties over \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{F}_p (all p).

Theorem (P 1994).

1) *The isomorphism type of fin. gen. infinite fields K is functorially encoded in Gal_K .*

2) *Every open embedding $\text{Gal}_K \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}_L$ arises functorially from some finite $L \hookrightarrow K$.*

Comments:

- Thm above generalizes the famous Neukirch–Unchida Thm.

- Gives a “Galois characterization of finitely generated fields”.

II) Hyperbolic curves

- $X \rightarrow K$ is *hyperbolic* curve, if it has:
 - a) smooth geom. irred completion X^c .
 - b) $\chi_X = 2 - 2g - r < 0$, $r = |\overline{X}^c \setminus \overline{X}|$
- And recall the canonical exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow 1.$$

Examples:

- $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$.
- $X = E \setminus \{\text{pt}\}$, E elliptic curve.
- X smooth complete, genus > 1 .

Theorem (Tamagawa, 1995).

- 1) $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ fin. gen. subfield. Then the isom type of an affine hyperbolic curve $X \rightarrow K$ is functorially encoded in $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X)$.
- 2) Moreover, every open embedd $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \hookrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(Y)$ arises functorially from some étale $X \twoheadrightarrow Y$.

Further results:

- Tamagawa: *Similar holds over finite fields K .*
- **Actually:** This last result is the **Key Fact!**
...proceed using “specialization techniques”.
- Mochizuki (1996): *Same holds for complete hyperbolic curves over fin. gen. fields $K \subset \mathbb{C}$.*

Comment: Tool kit includes Tamagawa’s **Key Fact**.

- Stix (2000): *Similar holds for all hyperbolic “non-constant” curves over fin. gen. fields.*

Comment: Tool kit includes Mochizuki’s methods, and a result by Raynaud, Pop–Saidi, Tamagawa.

Remark: $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ fin.gen, $X \rightarrow K$ hyperbolic.

- $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X)$ tells only whether X^{an} open or not.
- OTOH, $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X)$ encodes isom type of X .
- Isom types of X^{an} and of X are the same (GAGA).

Conclude: $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X)$ *encodes isom type of X^{an} !*

§ 7. Beyond Grothendieck's...

- “Yoga” of Grothendieck’s anabelian geometry
is the presence of a reach arithmetical action...
- During the 1990’s one realized that there are
unexpected anabelian phenomena in
total absence of arithmetical action!

1) Bogomolov (1990). Consider:

- $K|k$ function field, $\text{td.deg} > 1$, $k = \bar{k}$.
- $\text{Gal}''_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}'_K$ maximal *abelian-by-central*
pro- ℓ quotient of Gal_K , $\ell \neq \text{char}$.

Conjecture (Bogomolov’s Program, 1990):

$K|k$ can be recovered from Gal''_K .

Comment:

- $\text{tr.deg}(K|k) > 1$ is necessary, because...
- This goes far beyond Grothendieck’s anabelian idea:
 - a) First, no Galois action, because $\text{Gal}_k = \{1\}$.
 - b) Gal''_K carries only minimal Galois information.

2) Tamagawa (1990's). Consider:

- $K = \overline{K}$, $\text{char} > 0$, $X \rightarrow K$ affine hyperbolic.
- $p^{\text{tm}} : \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{tm}}(X)$ the tame quotient.

Theorem (Tamagawa).

- The tame quotient is encoded in $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X)$.*
- Isom type of $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}^1$ encoded in $\pi_1^{\text{tm}}(X)$.*

3) Raynaud, P-Saidi, Tamagawa (2000 $\pm \epsilon$):

- $K = \overline{K}$, $\mathcal{M}_g(K)$ moduli space to genus $g > 1$.
- $\pi_g : \mathcal{M}_g(K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Prof.groups}$, $C \mapsto \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(C)$.
- If $\text{char}(K) = 0$, then π_g is constant:
$$\pi_g(X) = \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X) = \widehat{\Pi}_g.$$
- But if $K = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, then π_g is “interesting”:

Theorem (Raynaud, P-Saidi, Tamagawa).

$\pi_g : \mathcal{M}_g(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Prof.groups}$ has finite fibers.

Comments:...

§ 8. Bogomolov's Program

Recall:

- $K|k$ function field, $\text{td.deg} > 1$, $k = \bar{k}$.
- $\text{Gal}''_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}'_K$ maximal *abelian-by-central* pro- ℓ quotient of Gal_K , $\ell \neq \text{char}$.

Conjecture (Bogomolov's Program, 1990):

$K|k$ can be recovered functorially from Gal''_K .

Comment:

- $\text{tr.deg}(K|k) > 1$ is necessary, because...
- This goes far beyond Grothendieck's anabelian idea:
 - a) First, no Galois action, because $\text{Gal}_k = \{1\}$.
 - b) Gal''_K carries only minimal Galois information.

Evidence:

– Bogomolov (1990), B–Tschinkel (2002):

Theory of commuting liftable pairs.

Comment: Recovering valuations of K ...

Theorem (P 1999/2003/2007).

Bogomolov's Program okay over $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Comment: B.-Tsch. special case for $\text{tr.deg}(K|k) = 2$.

Strategy of proof (P):

Main Idea: Consider $\mathcal{P}(K, +) := K^\times/k^\times$

the “projectivization” of the k -v.s. $(K, +)$.

• Then $(K, +, \cdot)$ can be recovered from

$\mathcal{P}(K, +)$ endowed with its collineations,

via Artin's *Fundam. Thm. Proj. Geometry*.

NOW:

- Kummer Theory: $\widehat{K^\times} = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\text{Gal}'_K, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.

- And $\mathcal{P}(K, +) = K^\times/k^\times \hookrightarrow \widehat{K^\times}$.

Hence to do list: Given $\text{Gal}''_K \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}'_K$,

1) Recover $K^\times/k^\times \hookrightarrow \widehat{K^\times}$.

2) Recover the collineations inside K^\times/k^\times .

3) Check compatibility with Galois Theory.

HOW TO DO THAT:

- Local Theory, i.e., recover:
 - primes of $K|k$; divisorial sets D_X of primes.
- Global Theory, i.e., recover:
 - $\text{Div}(X)$, then K^\times/k^\times , then collineations;
and finally check Galois compatibility.

Local Theory (few words):

- primes of $K|k$: DVR R_v with $k \subset R_v \subset K$
such that $\text{tr.deg}(K_v|k) = \text{tr.deg}(K|k) - 1$.
- $D = \{v_i\}_i$ geometric, if \exists normal model $X \rightarrow k$
such that $D = D_X := \{v \mid \text{Weil prime div. of } X\}$.
- Recovering the primes:
 - 1st Method: Use B.-Tsch. “commuting pairs” ...
 - 2nd Method: Use Mináč et al...

Comment: This is very very technical stuff...

LECTURE III: The p -adic world

§ 9. A result by Mochizuki

- $k|\mathbb{Q}_p$ finite field extension, $X \in \mathfrak{Var}_k$.
- $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X) \rightarrow \Pi_X^{\text{alg}}$ maximal pro- p quotient.
- \exists canonical exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \Pi_X^{\text{alg}} \rightarrow \Pi_X \rightarrow \text{Gal}_k \rightarrow 1.$$

- $X \mapsto \Pi_X$ functor from \mathfrak{Var}_k to Gal_k -groups.

Theorem (Mochizuki 1999).

Let $X, C \in \mathfrak{Var}_k$ with C hyperbolic curve. Then every open Gal_k -hom $\Pi_X \rightarrow \Pi_C$ corresponds functorially to a dominant k -hom $X \rightarrow C$.

Comments:

- The proof is very very technical.
- Main technical tools: p -adic Hodge Theory,
and Faltings theory of almost étale covers.

Comments:

- The above theorem generalizes all the previous anabelian results for fin. gen fields $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ and hyperbolic curves over such fields.
- The above theorem goes beyond Grothendieck's anabelian geometry, as it uses p -adic arithmetic information only, and not global one.
- As an application, Mochizuki proves that k -surfaces which are "Artin neighborhoods" are anabelian.
But it is not clear what to do / how to proceed in higher dimensions.
- Corry-P 2007: Using Thm above one shows:

Theorem (Corry–P 2007).

Let $K|k, L|k$ be function fields. Then every open group $\text{Gal}_k\text{-hom } \Pi_K \rightarrow \Pi_L$ originates functorially from a field k -embed $L \hookrightarrow K$.

§ 10. On the section Conjecture

- It concerns the rational points of curves.
 - k base field, $X \rightarrow k$ hyperbolic curve,
 $X_0 \supseteq X$ smooth completion; $S = X_0 \setminus X$.
 - $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ algebraic univ cover,
 $pr_X : \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}_k$ canonical projection.
- For $x \in X_0(k)$, $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ preimage,
let $D_{\tilde{x}|x} \subset \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X)$ decomposition group.

One has:

1) If $x \in X$, then $pr_X : D_{\tilde{x}|x} \rightarrow \text{Gal}_k$ isom.

Hence \exists conjugacy class of sections

$$s_x : \text{Gal}_k \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \text{ defined by } x.$$

2) If $x \in S$, i.e., “cuspidal point” of X .

Then \exists “bouquet” of conjugacy classes of

$$\text{sections } s_x : \text{Gal}_k \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \text{ defined by } x.$$

Comments/Examples: Tangential base pts, etc...

- Let k be finitely generated infinite field,
 $X \rightarrow k$ hyperbolic curve over k ,
 $X_0 \rightarrow k$ its smooth completion.

Section Conjecture.

Let $X \rightarrow k$ non-constant. Then every section

$$s : \text{Gal}_k \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \text{ of } \text{pr}_X : \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Gal}_k$$

arises from some $x \in X_0(k)$ as indicated above.

Birational section Conjecture.

Let $K := k(X) = k(X_0)$. Then every section

$$s : \text{Gal}_k \rightarrow \text{Gal}_K \text{ of } \text{pr}_K : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}_k$$

arises from some $x \in X_0(k)$ as indicated above.

Comments:

- Initial motivation: Method to prove Mordell's Conj.
- Unfortunately: Relation to Mordell's Conj unclear yet.
- Unfortunately: Still completely mysterious/unknown.

Variants:

- The corresponding p -adic conjectures:
 - obtained for k finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .
- The corresp truncated (p -adic) conjectures:
 - obtained by replacing $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X)$, resp Gal_K ,
by corresponding “verbal” quotients.
 - E.g., $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X)$ replaced by Π_X , etc...
- The motivic variant: Replace $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X)$
by the “motivic fundamental group”.

Evidence:

- Nakamura (1990’s): k number field, $X \subset \mathbb{P}^1$.
Then “cuspidal” k -rational points of X are
in bijection with “cuspidal” sections.
- Tamagawa’s “conditional” section Conj (1990’s).
- Mochizuki’s p -adic “cuspidal” sections (2005/06/07).

Results:

Theorem (Koenigsmann 2004).

The birational p -adic section conjecture holds.

• Actually, one can do much better, as follows:

- $k|\mathbb{Q}_p$ finite with $\mu_p \subset k$, and $X \rightarrow k$,
and $X_0 \rightarrow k$, and $K = k(X)$ as above.
- $k''|k \hookrightarrow K''|K$ max. \mathbb{Z}/p meta-abelian ext.
- $\overline{\text{pr}}_K : \overline{\text{Gal}}''_K \rightarrow \overline{\text{Gal}}''_k$ canonical projection.

Remarks:

- $\overline{\text{Gal}}''_k$ is a finite well known meta-abelian p -group
(by local class field theory).
- $\overline{\text{Gal}}''_K$ can be effectively constructed/computed.

Theorem (P 2007). *Every section*

$$s : \overline{\text{Gal}}''_k \rightarrow \overline{\text{Gal}}''_K \text{ of } \overline{\text{pr}}_K : \overline{\text{Gal}}''_K \rightarrow \overline{\text{Gal}}''_k$$

arises from some $x \in X_0(k)$ as indicated above.

Theorem (M. Kim 2005):

*Motivic section Conjecture holds for $X = E \setminus \{pt\}$,
and gives new proof of Siegel's Theorem.*

Hopes:

Minhyong Kim:

- Using non-abelian p -adic Hodge Theory:
*Section Conjecture + "minimal" Conjecture imply a
(p -adically) effective Mordell's Conjecture!*

Sh. Mochizuki:

- Using p -adic anabelian ideas:
*The "right" p -adic uniformization would
imply the ABC Conjecture!*

Others?

Short list of open Problems:

- 1) Prove/disprove: $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \widehat{GT}$ is isomorphism.
- 2) Prove pro- ℓ /truncated variants of I/OM.
- 3) Prove such variants of I/OM for
“generalized” Drinfel’d upper half-planes.
- 4) Relation between Problem 2 and the
representations of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, respectively $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$
(global/local Langlands Philosophy).
- 5) Prove the hom-form of the anab. conjectures:
 - a) If K, L fin. gen infinite fields, then
every open homomorphism $\text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}_L$
originates from a field embedding $L \hookrightarrow K$.
 - b) If $X \rightarrow K, Y \rightarrow L$ are hyperbolic curves,
then every open hom $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(Y)$
originates from dominant morph $X \rightarrow Y$.
- 6) Prove pro- ℓ /truncated variants of Problem 5.

- 7) Generalize Belyi's Theorem.
- 8) What are the higher dim anabelian varieties?
- 9) Relation between the section Conjecture and effective Mordell's Conjecture.
- 10) Prove/disprove the global/ p -adic section Conjecture.

———— * * * ————