

Pro- p hom-form of the birational anabelian conjecture over sub- p -adic fields

Scott Corry, Florian Pop

Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, 209 S. 33rd St., Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA (e-mail: scorry@math.upenn.edu / pop@math.upenn.edu)

Received: date / Revised version: date

Abstract. We prove a Hom-form of the pro- p birational anabelian conjecture for function fields over sub- p -adic fields. Our starting point is the corresponding Theorem of Mochizuki in the case of transcendence degree 1.

1. Introduction

Let k be a fixed sub- p -adic field, i.e., a subfield of a function field over \mathbb{Q}_p . Fix \bar{k} , an algebraic closure of k , and denote by G_k the absolute Galois group. Let \mathcal{F}_k be the category of regular function fields $K|k$, and k -embeddings of function fields. Further, let \mathcal{G}_k be the category of profinite groups, G , endowed with a surjective augmentation morphism, $\pi_G : G \rightarrow G_k$, such that $\ker(\pi_G)$ is a pro- p group, and outer open G_k -homomorphisms. I.e., a morphism from G to H in \mathcal{G}_k is of the form $\text{Inn}_{G_k}(H) \circ f$, where $f : G \rightarrow H$ is an open homomorphism such that $\pi_G = \pi_H \circ f$, and $\text{Inn}_{G_k}(H)$ denotes the group of inner automorphisms of H which lie over G_k . Since G_k has trivial center, $\text{Inn}_{G_k}(H)$ consists exactly of the inner automorphisms of H defined by an element of $\ker(\pi_H)$. Finally, we remark that there exists a naturally defined functor from \mathcal{F}_k to \mathcal{G}_k : for $K|k$ from \mathcal{F}_k , let $\tilde{K}|K\bar{k}$ be a maximal pro- p extension. Then $\tilde{K}|K$ is Galois, and $\Pi_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}|K)$ endowed with the projection $\pi_K : \Pi_K \rightarrow G_k$ is an object of \mathcal{G}_k . Further, a morphism $\iota : K|k \hookrightarrow L|k$ in \mathcal{F}_k extends uniquely to a \bar{k} -embedding $\bar{\iota} : K\bar{k} \hookrightarrow L\bar{k}$, and $\bar{\iota}$ has prolongations $\tilde{\iota} : \tilde{K} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}$. Each such prolongation $\tilde{\iota} : \tilde{K} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}$ gives rise to an open G_k -homomorphism $\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}} : \Pi_L \rightarrow \Pi_K$ defined by

$$\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}}(g) = \tilde{\iota}^{-1} \circ g \circ \tilde{\iota}, \quad g \in \Pi_L,$$

and any two such prolongations are conjugate by an element from $\ker(\pi_K)$. Thus, sending each $K|k$ from \mathcal{F}_k to $\pi_K : \Pi_K \rightarrow G_k$ in \mathcal{G}_k yields a well defined functor from \mathcal{F}_k to \mathcal{G}_k .

The purpose of this note is to prove the following *Galois by pro- p Hom-form* of the birational anabelian conjecture:

Theorem 1 *The above functor from \mathcal{F}_k to \mathcal{G}_k is fully faithful, i.e., for regular function fields $K|k$ and $L|k$, there is a canonical bijection*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(K, L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}_k}(\Pi_L, \Pi_K).$$

Equivalently, for fixed field extensions $\tilde{K}|K$ and $\tilde{L}|L$ as above, the map

$$\tilde{i} \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}_{\tilde{i}} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{\tilde{i}}(g) = \tilde{i}^{-1} \circ g \circ \tilde{i} \quad \text{for} \quad g \in \Pi_L,$$

is a bijection from the set of \bar{k} -embeddings $\tilde{i} : \tilde{K} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}$ onto the set of all the open G_k -morphisms $\Pi_L \rightarrow \Pi_K$.

Before embarking on the proof, the following comments are in order: first, if $\mathrm{td}(K|k) = 1$, then Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 16.5 in the fundamental paper by Mochizuki [2]. Second, the above Theorem 1 implies the corresponding *full profinite version*, in which Π_K is replaced by the full absolute Galois group G_K of K . But naturally, the above Theorem 1 does not follow from the corresponding full profinite version. Finally, the full profinite version of Theorem 1 above was proved by Mochizuki in loc. cit., where it appears as Corollary 17.1. There he uses an inductive procedure on $\mathrm{td}(K|k)$, which is ill-suited to the pro- p situation, and hence he obtains only a profinite result. In our proof of Theorem 1 we use Mochizuki's pro- p result for the transcendence degree one case, but instead of proceeding inductively on the transcendence degree, we will make use of the second author's ideas as described in [3].

2. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 will have two parts:

- i) Given an open G_k -homomorphism $\Phi : \Pi_L \rightarrow \Pi_K$, there exists a \bar{k} -embedding $\tilde{i}_\Phi : \tilde{K} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}$ which defines Φ as indicated above, i.e., such that $\Phi = \tilde{\Phi}_{\tilde{i}_\Phi}$.
- ii) The map $\tilde{i} \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}_{\tilde{i}}$ is injective.

We begin by recalling some basic facts from Kummer Theory. First, for every $K|k$ from \mathcal{F}_k , let $\mathbb{T}_{p,K} = \varprojlim_e \mu_{p^e K}$ be the p -adic Tate module of $\mathbb{G}_{m,K}$. Then via the canonical projection $\pi_K : \Pi_K \rightarrow G_k$, we can/will identify $\mathbb{T}_{p,K}$ with $\mathbb{T}_{p,k}$, and denote it simply by \mathbb{T}_p . Second, let \widehat{K} denote the p -adic completion of K^\times , and $j_K : K^\times \rightarrow \widehat{K}$

the completion morphism. We note that $\ker(j_K)$ is the maximal p^∞ -divisible subgroup of K^\times , hence by the structure of $K|k$ it follows that $\ker(j_K) = \mu'$ is the group of roots of unity in k of order prime to p . Further, K^\times/k^\times is a free abelian group, hence it injects into its p -adic completion $(K^\times/k^\times)^\wedge$ which itself equals \widehat{K}/\widehat{k} . Next, recall that Kummer Theory yields a canonical isomorphism of p -adically complete groups $\delta_K : \widehat{K} \rightarrow H^1(\Pi_K, \mathbb{T}_p)$. Therefore we will make the identification $\widehat{K} = H^1(\Pi_K, \mathbb{T}_p)$, if this does not lead to confusion. By the functoriality of Kummer Theory, the surjective projection $\pi_K : \Pi_K \rightarrow G_k$ gives rise to an embedding $H^1(\pi_K) : \widehat{k} \hookrightarrow \widehat{K}$ which is nothing but the p -adic completion of the structural morphism $k \hookrightarrow K$. Furthermore, if $K|k$ and $L|k$ are objects from \mathcal{F}_k , and $\Phi : \Pi_L \rightarrow \Pi_K$ is an open G_k -morphism, then by functoriality we get an embedding of p -adically complete groups

$$H^1(\Phi) : \widehat{K} = H^1(\Pi_K, \mathbb{T}_p) \rightarrow H^1(\Pi_L, \mathbb{T}_p) = \widehat{L}$$

which identifies $\widehat{k} \subset \widehat{K}$ with $\widehat{k} \subset \widehat{L}$. Finally note that if $\Phi = \Phi_{\hat{\iota}}$ is defined by a morphism $\iota : K|k \hookrightarrow L|k$ from \mathcal{F}_k , then $H^1(\Phi_{\hat{\iota}}) = \widehat{\iota}$ is nothing but the p -adic completion of the k -embedding $\iota : K|k \hookrightarrow L|k$, and therefore one has:

$$(\dagger) \quad H^1(\Phi_{\hat{\iota}}) \circ j_K(x) = j_L \circ \iota(x), \quad x \in K^\times.$$

Proof of i):

Claim 1. $H^1(\Phi) \circ j_K(K^\times) \subseteq j_L(L^\times)$.

Proof of Claim 1: Consider $t \in K^\times$ arbitrary. First, if $t \in k^\times$, then $H^1(\Phi)$ identifies $j_K(t)$ with $j_L(t)$ by the discussion above. Second, let $t \in K^\times \setminus k^\times$. Then the inclusion $k(t) \subseteq K$ is a morphism in \mathcal{F}_k , hence gives rise canonically to an open G_k -morphism $\Phi_t : \Pi_K \rightarrow \Pi_{k(t)}$. But then $\Phi_t \circ \Phi : \Pi_L \rightarrow \Pi_{k(t)}$ is an open G_k -homomorphism too. Since $\text{td}(k(t)|k) = 1$, it follows by Theorem 16.5 from [2] that $\Phi_t \circ \Phi$ is defined by a k -embedding $\iota_t : k(t) \rightarrow L$, i.e., of the form $\Phi_t \circ \Phi = \Phi_{\hat{\iota}_t}$. Hence by the assertion (\dagger) above, $H^1(\Phi_t \circ \Phi) : \widehat{k(t)} \rightarrow \widehat{L}$ is exactly the p -adic completion of $\iota_t : k(t) \rightarrow L$, and we get:

$$H^1(\Phi_t \circ \Phi) \circ j_{k(t)}(x) = j_L \circ \iota_t(x) \in j_L(L^\times), \quad x \in k(t)^\times.$$

By functoriality we have $H^1(\Phi_t \circ \Phi) = H^1(\Phi) \circ H^1(\Phi_t)$, and $H^1(\Phi_t)$ is the p -adic completion of the inclusion $k(t) \subseteq K$, hence $H^1(\Phi_t) \circ j_{k(t)}(x) = j_K(x)$ for $x \in k(t)^\times$. Combining these equalities, we finally get

$$(\dagger') \quad H^1(\Phi) \circ j_K(x) = j_L \circ \iota_t(x) \in j_L(L^\times), \quad x \in k(t)^\times.$$

Since t was arbitrary, this concludes the proof of Claim 1. \square

Next let us identify K^\times/μ' and L^\times/μ' with their images in \widehat{K} , respective \widehat{L} , via the p -adic completion homomorphisms j_K , respectively j_L . Then by Claim 1 above, $H^1(\Phi)$ maps K^\times/μ' into L^\times/μ' , and identifies $k^\times/\mu' \subset K^\times/\mu'$ with $k^\times/\mu' \subset L^\times/\mu'$. Further, $H^1(\Phi)$ is nothing but the p -adic completion of its restriction to K^\times/μ' . Modding out by k^\times/μ' thus yields an embedding of free abelian groups $\alpha : K^\times/k^\times \hookrightarrow L^\times/k^\times$ canonically defined by $H^1(\Phi)$. Thinking now of $(K, +)$ and $(L, +)$ as infinite dimensional k -vector spaces, $\mathcal{P}(K) := K^\times/k^\times$ and $\mathcal{P}(L) := L^\times/k^\times$ are their projectivizations. Then $\alpha : \mathcal{P}(K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(L)$ is an inclusion which respects the multiplicative structures.

Claim 2. The map $\alpha : \mathcal{P}(K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(L)$ preserves collineations.

Proof of Claim 2: A line in $\mathcal{P}(K)$ is the image of a two-dimensional k -subspace of K , say $\mathfrak{l}_{t_1 t_2} := kt_1 + kt_2$, where $t_1, t_2 \in K$ are k -linearly independent. Note that $\mathfrak{l}_{t_1 t_2} = t_1 \cdot \mathfrak{l}_t$, where $t = t_2/t_1$ and $\mathfrak{l}_t := k + kt$. Since multiplication by t_1/k^\times is a line-preserving automorphism of $K^\times/k^\times = \mathcal{P}(K)$, α is multiplicative, and multiplication by $\alpha(t_1/k^\times)$ is a line-preserving automorphism of $\mathcal{P}(L)$, it suffices to show that α maps the lines \mathfrak{l}_t , $t \in K \setminus k$, to lines in $\mathcal{P}(L)$. In order to do this, remark that by relation (†) above we have:

$$H^1(\Phi) \circ j_K(kt + k)^\times = j_L \circ \iota_t(kt + k)^\times = j_L(k\iota_t(t) + k)^\times.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{l}_t \subset \mathcal{P}(K)$ is mapped bijectively onto $\mathfrak{l}_{\iota_t(t)} \subset \mathcal{P}(L)$. \square

Let $L_0^\times \subseteq L^\times$ denote the preimage of $\alpha(\mathcal{P}(K))$ in L , and let us set $L_0 := L_0^\times \cup \{0_L\} \subseteq L$. Since α is collineation preserving, it follows that L_0 is a field containing k , and $\alpha : \mathcal{P}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L_0)$ is a collineation preserving bijection. By the Fundamental Theorem of projective geometry, see Artin [1], we conclude that α is induced by an isomorphism of k -vector spaces $\alpha_K : (K, +) \rightarrow (L_0, +)$, which is unique up to k -semilinear homotheties. As in [3], it follows that setting $\iota_K := \alpha(1_K)^{-1} \cdot \alpha_K$, the resulting map $\iota_K : K \rightarrow L$ is actually a k -isomorphism of fields, whose projectivization equals α . In particular, the p -adic completion of ι_K equals $H^1(\Phi)$, and ι_K is the unique embedding of fields $K \hookrightarrow L$ with this property.

Now let $K'|K$ be a finite Galois extension contained in \tilde{K} , and $k' := K' \cap \bar{k}$. Then $\Pi_{K'}$ is an open normal subgroup of Π_K , and $\Phi^{-1}(\Pi_{K'}) = \Pi_{L'}$ for some finite Galois extension $L'|L$ contained in \tilde{L} . Since Φ is a G_k -homomorphism, it follows that $L' \cap \bar{k} = k'$, and $K'|k'$ and $L'|k'$ are regular function fields over k' which is a sub- p -adic field. The restriction Φ' of Φ to $\Pi_{L'}$ yields an open $G_{k'}$ -homomorphism $\Phi' : \Pi_{L'} \rightarrow \Pi_{K'}$. *Mutatis mutandis*, we obtain from Φ' a k' -embedding $\iota_{K'} : K' \hookrightarrow L'$ such that its p -adic completion is $H^1(\Phi')$. The compatibility relation $\text{res}_{\Pi_{L'}}^{\Pi_{L'}} \circ H^1(\Phi') = H^1(\Phi) \circ \text{res}_{\Pi_{K'}}^{\Pi_{K'}}$ translates into

the fact that ι_K is the restriction of $\iota_{K'}$ to K . Note that extensions of the form $K'|K$ above exhaust $\tilde{K}|K$, so taking limits we obtain a \bar{k} -embedding $\tilde{\iota}_\Phi : \tilde{K} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}$ such that $(\tilde{\iota}_\Phi)|_{K'} = \iota_{K'}$ for all $K'|K$.

Claim 3. $\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}_\Phi} = \Phi$.

Proof of Claim 3: Indeed, for $K'|K$ and the corresponding $L'|L$ as above, Φ yields a surjection of Galois groups

$$\Psi' : \text{Gal}(L'|L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K).$$

Note that $H^1(\Pi_{K'}, \mathbb{T}_p)$ is a $\text{Gal}(K'|K)$ module, and correspondingly for $L'|L$. Moreover, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L'|L)$ we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Pi_{K'}, \mathbb{T}_p) & \xrightarrow{H^1(\Phi')} & H^1(\Pi_{L'}, \mathbb{T}_p) \\ \Psi'(\sigma) \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ H^1(\Pi_{K'}, \mathbb{T}_p) & \xrightarrow{H^1(\Phi')} & H^1(\Pi_{L'}, \mathbb{T}_p). \end{array}$$

which via the Kummer Theory isomorphisms translates into:

$$\iota_{K'} \circ \Psi'(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \iota_{K'}, \quad \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K'|K).$$

Hence taking limits over all the $K'|K$, we get as required:

$$\tilde{\iota}_\Phi \circ \Phi(g) = g \circ \tilde{\iota}_\Phi, \quad g \in \Pi_L. \quad \square$$

Proof of ii): Suppose we are given a \bar{k} -embedding $\tilde{\iota} : \tilde{K} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}$, and let $\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}} : \Pi_L \rightarrow \Pi_K$ be the corresponding open G_k -homomorphism. For every finite Galois sub-extension $L'|L$ of $\tilde{L}|L$ and the corresponding $K' := \tilde{\iota}^{-1}(L')$, the restriction of $\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}}$ to $\Pi_{L'}$ defines an open $G_{k'}$ -homomorphism $\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}, L'} : \Pi_{L'} \rightarrow \Pi_{K'}$, where $k' := \bar{k} \cap L'$. By the discussions above, the Kummer morphism $H^1(\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}, L'})$ is the p -adic completion of $\iota_{K'} := \tilde{\iota}|_{K'}$, and in particular, $H^1(\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}, L'})$ determines $\iota_{K'}$ uniquely. By taking limits, it follows that $\tilde{\iota}$ is uniquely determined by the family of Kummer morphisms $H^1(\Phi_{\tilde{\iota}, L'})$ with $L'|L$ as above. Assertion ii) is thus proven. \square

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

1. E. Artin, *Geometric algebra*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York (1957).
2. S. Mochizuki, *The local pro- p anabelian geometry of curves*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), pp. 319-423.
3. F. Pop, *The birational anabelian conjecture - revisited*, unpublished manuscript, available at www.math.upenn.edu/~pop.