

**MATH 570/PHIL 006/506 FINAL**

CLAY SHONKWILER

1

Show that  $\omega \longrightarrow (\omega)_2^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f : [\omega]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . Define

$$A_0 = \{a \in \omega \mid a > 0 \text{ and } f(\{0, a\}) = 0\}$$

and

$$A_1 = \{a \in \omega \mid a > 0 \text{ and } f(\{0, a\}) = 1\}.$$

Then  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  partition  $\omega - \{0\}$ ; since  $\omega - \{0\}$  is infinite, either  $A_0$  or  $A_1$  (or possibly both) must be infinite. Let  $A' = A_0$  if  $A_0$  is infinite and let  $A' = A_1$  otherwise. Let  $i_1$  be the least element of  $A'$ . Define

$$A'_0 = \{a \in A' \mid a > i_1 \text{ and } f(\{i_1, a\}) = 0\}$$

and

$$A'_1 = \{a \in A' \mid a > i_1 \text{ and } f(\{i_1, a\}) = 1\}.$$

Then  $A'_0$  and  $A'_1$  partition  $A' - \{i_1\}$ ; since  $A' - \{i_1\}$  is infinite, either  $A'_0$  or  $A'_1$  (or possibly both) must be infinite. Let  $A'' = A'_0$  if  $A'_0$  is infinite and let  $A'' = A'_1$  otherwise. Let  $i_2$  be the smallest element of  $A''$  and iterate this process.

Thus, we produce an infinite sequence

$$\omega \supset A' \supset A'' \supset \dots$$

where  $A^{(k)}$  is infinite for each  $k \in \omega$  and has least element  $i_k$ . Let

$$M = \{0, i_1, i_2, \dots\}$$

and let  $i_0 = 0$ . Now, since, for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $i_n \in A^{(m)}$  for all  $m \leq n$ , we see that for each choice of  $j$ ,  $f(\{i_j, i_p\}) = f(\{i_j, i_q\})$  for all  $p, q > j$ . Hence, we can define a partition on the natural numbers by coloring each such number  $j$  red if  $f(\{i_j, i_n\}) = 0$  for all  $n > j$  and blue if  $f(\{i_j, i_n\}) = 1$  for all  $n > j$ . Since  $\omega$  is infinite, any partition of the elements of  $\omega$  must consist of at least one infinite set, so there must be infinitely many red numbers or infinitely many blue numbers according to our coloring scheme. Suppose, without loss of generality, that there are infinitely many red numbers. Then define

$$M' = \{i_n \in M \mid n \text{ is red}\}.$$

Then  $f$  is constant on  $[M']^2 \subset [\omega]^2$ . Since our choice of  $f$  was arbitrary, we see that, indeed,

$$\omega \longrightarrow (\omega)_2^2.$$

□

2

Show that for all  $k \in \omega$ , there is an  $r \in \omega$  such that  $r \longrightarrow (k)_2^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \omega$  and let  $r = 2^{2k-1}$ . Let  $f : [r]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . Now, let

$$A_0 = \{a \in r \mid a > 0 \text{ and } f(\{0, a\}) = 0\}$$

and

$$A_1 = \{a \in r \mid a > 0 \text{ and } f(\{0, a\}) = 1\}.$$

Let  $A'$  be the bigger of  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . Then, since  $r - \{0\}$  has cardinality  $2^{2k-1} - 1$ ,  $A'$  must have cardinality at least  $2^{2k-2}$ . Now, let  $i_1$  be the smallest element of  $A'$  and let

$$A'_0 = \{a \in A' \mid a > i_1 \text{ and } f(\{i_1, a\}) = 0\}$$

and

$$A'_1 = \{a \in A' \mid a > i_1 \text{ and } f(\{i_1, a\}) = 1\}.$$

Let  $A''$  be the bigger of  $A'_0$  and  $A'_1$ . Then, since  $A' - \{i_1\}$  has cardinality at least  $2^{2k-2} - 1$ ,  $A''$  must have cardinality at least  $2^{2k-3}$ . Let  $i_2$  be the smallest element of  $A''$  and iterate this process.

At each stage, we produce an  $A^{(m)}$  with cardinality at least  $2^{2k-1-m}$  and least element  $i_m$ . Eventually, we terminate this process at  $A^{(2k-1)}$ , which has cardinality at least  $2^{2k-1-(2k-1)} = 2^0 = 1$  and least element  $i_{2k-1}$ . Now, consider the set

$$M = \{0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2k-1}\},$$

which has cardinality  $2k$ . Let  $i_0 = 0$ . Now, since, for each  $n = 1, \dots, 2k-1$ ,  $i_n \in A^{(m)}$  for all  $m \leq n$ , we see that for each choice of  $j$ ,  $f(\{i_j, i_p\}) = f(\{i_j, i_q\})$  for all  $p, q > j$ . Hence, we can define a partition on the natural numbers less than  $2k$  (including 0) by coloring each such number  $j$  red if  $f(\{i_j, i_n\}) = 0$  for all  $n > j$  and blue if  $f(\{i_j, i_n\}) = 1$  for all  $n > j$ . Since there are  $2k$  such numbers and only two choices of color, we see that there must be at least  $k$  of these numbers with the same coloration. Suppose, without loss of generality, that there are at least  $k$  of these numbers colored red. Then define

$$M' = \{i_m \in M \mid m \text{ is red}\}.$$

Then  $f$  is constant on  $[M']^2 \subset [r]^2$ . Since our choice of  $f$  was arbitrary, we see that, indeed,

$$r \longrightarrow (k)_2^2.$$

□

## 3

A binary tree  $T$  is a set of finite sequences of 0's and 1's which is closed under initial segments. We say that a binary tree  $T$  has an infinite path if and only if there is an  $f : \omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that, for every  $n \in \omega$ ,  $\langle f(0), \dots, f(n-1) \rangle \in T$ . Show that every infinite binary tree has an infinite path.

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be an infinite binary tree. Then  $T$  has an infinite number of nodes; that is,  $T$  contains an infinite number of finite sequences of 0's and 1's. This being the case, there must be either an infinite number of sequences having  $\langle 0 \rangle$  as an initial segment, or an infinite number of sequences having  $\langle 1 \rangle$  as an initial segment. Thus, let

$$f(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if there are an infinite number of elements of } T \text{ having} \\ & \langle 0 \rangle \text{ as an initial segment} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let  $T' = \{\text{sequences in } T \text{ having } \langle f(0) \rangle \text{ as an initial segment}\}$ . Then  $T'$  is also an infinite tree. Therefore, there must be either an infinite number of sequences in  $T'$  having  $\langle f(0), 0 \rangle$  as an initial segment or an infinite number of sequences in  $T'$  having  $\langle f(0), 1 \rangle$  as an initial segment. Hence, we let

$$f(1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if there are an infinite number of elements of } T' \text{ having} \\ & \langle f(0), 0 \rangle \text{ as an initial segment} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let  $T'' = \{\text{sequences in } T' \text{ having } (f(0), f(1)) \text{ as an initial segment}\}$ . Then  $T''$  is also an infinite tree. Iterate this process.

At each stage, then, we generate  $T^{(k)} \subset T$  which is an infinite tree in which each member has  $\langle f(0), f(1), \dots, f(k-1) \rangle$  as an initial segment. In such a way, we recursively define  $f(n)$  for all  $n \in \omega$ .

Furthermore, given our definition of  $f : \omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , we see that, for all  $n \in \omega$ ,

$$\langle f(0), f(1), \dots, f(n-1) \rangle \in T^{(n)} \subset T,$$

so  $T$  has an infinite path. □

## 4

Recall that PA is the extension of  $A_E$  by the first-order schema of mathematical induction. Show that if PA is consistent, then there is a consistent, but  $\omega$ -inconsistent, theory  $S$  extending PA.

*Proof.* Let us list the sentences in the language of PA:  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots$  and all sequences in this language:  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots$ . Let  $\text{Bew}(m, n)$  hold just in the case that  $\sigma_m$  is a proof of  $\phi_n$ . By the fixed point lemma, there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$\text{PA} \models \forall y \neg \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n}) \leftrightarrow \phi_n.$$

Let  $\Theta = \phi_n$ . Suppose  $\text{PA} \models \Theta$ . Then  $\sigma_m$  is a proof of  $\Theta = \phi_n$  for some  $m$ , so

$$\text{PA} \models \text{Bew}(\bar{m}, \bar{n}).$$

Hence,  $\text{PA} \models \exists y \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n})$ . On the other hand, since  $\text{PA} \models \Theta$ ,  $\text{PA} \models \forall y \neg \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n})$ , which is equivalent to

$$\text{PA} \models \neg \exists y \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n}).$$

Therefore, if  $\text{PA}$  is consistent (as we are assuming it is), we see that  $\text{PA} \not\models \Theta$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PA} &\models \neg \text{Bew}(0, \bar{n}) \\ \text{PA} &\models \neg \text{Bew}(1, \bar{n}) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $S = \text{PA} \cup \{\Theta\}$ . If  $S$  is inconsistent then (cf. Enderton Corollary 24E),  $\text{PA} \models \neg \Theta$ . If so, then

$$\text{PA} \models \neg \forall y \neg \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n}),$$

which is to say

$$\text{PA} \models \exists y \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n}).$$

However, since  $\text{PA} \models \neg \text{Bew}(m, \bar{n})$  for all  $m \in \omega$ , since  $\text{PA}$  is consistent and since  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots$  is a complete list of sequences in the language of  $\text{PA}$ , we see that, in fact,  $\text{PA} \not\models \exists y \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n})$ . Hence,  $\text{PA} \not\models \neg \Theta$ , so  $S$  is, in fact, consistent.

Now, clearly,  $S \models \neg \Theta$ ; hence  $S \models \neg \forall y \neg \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n})$ , which is equivalent to  $S \models \exists y \neg \neg \text{Bew}(y, \bar{n})$ . On the other hand, since  $S$  is an extension of  $\text{PA}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S &\models \neg \text{Bew}(0, \bar{n}) \\ S &\models \neg \text{Bew}(1, \bar{n}) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $S$  is  $\omega$ -inconsistent.  $\square$