

## ANALYSIS HW 2

CLAY SHONKWILER

1

a) Let  $c_n$  be a sequence of real numbers that converge to  $c$ . Show that their “average”,  $\frac{1}{n}[c_1 + \dots + c_n]$ , also converges to  $c$ .

Give an example of a sequence which does *not* converge but whose mean does converge.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ .  $c_n$  is convergent, so there exists a bound  $M > 0$  on the sequence. Since  $c_n \rightarrow c$ , there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, if  $n > N_1$ ,

$$\|c_n - c\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

which is to say

$$c - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < c_n < c + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $N = \frac{2N_1(M + \|c\|)}{\epsilon} > N_1$ . Then, if  $n > N$  and  $c \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{c_1 + \dots + c_n}{n} - c \right\| &= \left\| \left( \frac{c_1 + \dots + c_{N_1}}{n} - \frac{N_1 c}{n} \right) + \left( \frac{c_{N_1+1} + \dots + c_n}{n} - \frac{(n-N_1)c}{n} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{c_1 + \dots + c_{N_1}}{n} - \frac{N_1 c}{n} \right\| + \left\| \frac{c_{N_1+1} + \dots + c_n}{n} - \frac{(n-N_1)c}{n} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} (\|c_1 - c\| + \dots + \|c_{N_1} - c\|) + \left\| \frac{c + \epsilon/2}{n} + \dots + \frac{c + \epsilon/2}{n} - \frac{n-N_1}{n} c \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{N_1}{n} (M + \|c\|) + \frac{n-N_1}{n} \|(c + \epsilon/2) - c\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $n > N$  and  $c < 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{c_1 + \dots + c_n}{n} - c \right\| &= \left\| \left( \frac{c_1 + \dots + c_{N_1}}{n} - \frac{N_1 c}{n} \right) + \left( \frac{c_{N_1+1} + \dots + c_n}{n} - \frac{(n-N_1)c}{n} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{c_1 + \dots + c_{N_1}}{n} - \frac{N_1 c}{n} \right\| + \left\| \frac{c_{N_1+1} + \dots + c_n}{n} - \frac{(n-N_1)c}{n} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} (\|c_1 - c\| + \dots + \|c_{N_1} - c\|) + \left\| \frac{c - \epsilon/2}{n} + \dots + \frac{c - \epsilon/2}{n} - \frac{n-N_1}{n} c \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{N_1}{n} (M + \|c\|) + \frac{n-N_1}{n} \|(c - \epsilon/2) - c\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In either case, we see that the arithmetic mean converges to  $c$ . □

**Example:** Let  $c_n = (-1)^{n+1}$ . Clearly, the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  does not converge. However,

$$\frac{c_1 + \dots + c_n}{n} = \begin{cases} 0 & n \text{ is even} \\ 1/n & n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Hence, the arithmetic mean converges to 0, though the sequence itself does not converge at all.

b) Let  $f(t)$  be a continuous function for  $0 \leq t < \infty$ . If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = c$ , show that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(t) dt = c.$$

*Proof.*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(t) dt = \frac{1}{T} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n f\left((j-1)\frac{T}{n}\right) \frac{T}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n n \frac{f\left((j-1)\frac{T}{n}\right)}{n}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(t) dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n n \frac{f\left((j-1)\frac{T}{n}\right)}{n} \right) = c,$$

where we achieve this second equality by noting that the numerator converges to  $c$  and then applying the result proved in (a) above.  $\square$

2

Let  $f \in C^1([a, b])$  have the properties

$$i). f(a) < 0, f(b) > 0 \quad ii). f'(x) > 0.$$

Use a contracting mapping argument to find a sequence of points  $x_j$  that converge to the solution of  $f(x) = 0$ .

**Argument:** By the Intermediate Value Theorem, we know there exists  $c \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(c) = 0$ . Let  $M = \max_{x \in [a, b]} f'(x)$ . Choose  $\alpha$  such that

$$\frac{1}{10M} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2M}.$$

Let

$$g(x) = x - \alpha f(x).$$

Then, for  $x, y \in [a, b]$ ,  $x > y$ ,

$$\frac{x-y}{10} < \alpha(f(x) - f(y)) < \frac{x-y}{2}$$

so

$$|g(x) - g(y)| = |x - \alpha f(x) - (y - \alpha f(y))| = |(x-y) - \alpha(f(x) - f(y))| \leq .9|x-y|$$

Hence,  $g$  is a contraction, meaning it has exactly one fixed point. Clearly, this fixed point occurs when  $f(x) = 0$ . Hence, we can form a sequence converging to the point  $c$  where  $f(c) = 0$  by letting  $x_0 = b$  and defining the sequence recursively as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = g(x_n).$$



## 3

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $\|x\|_p := [\sum |x_j|^p]^{1/p}$ . Also, define  $\|x\|_\infty = \max_j |x_j|$ . Show that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_p = \|x\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Define

$$M > \frac{\log n}{\log \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\max_j |x_j|} + 1 \right)}.$$

Then, if  $m > M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|x\|_m - \|x\|_\infty \right| &= \left| (\sum |x_i|^m)^{1/m} - \max_j |x_j| \right| \\ &\leq \left| (\sum (\max_i |x_i|)^m)^{1/m} - \max_j |x_j| \right| \\ &= \left| (n (\max_i |x_i|)^m)^{1/m} - \max_j |x_j| \right| \\ &= \left| n^{1/m} \max_j |x_j| - \max_j |x_j| \right| \\ &= \left| (n^{1/m} - 1) \max_j |x_j| \right| \\ &= (n^{1/m} - 1) \max_j |x_j| \\ &< (n^{1/M} - 1) \max_j |x_j| \\ &= \left( \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\max_j |x_j|} + 1 \right) - 1 \right) \max_j |x_j| \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that  $\|x\|_p \rightarrow \|x\|_\infty$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

## 4

Let  $f(x)$  and  $K(x, y)$  be continuous functions for  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . Consider the following linear *integral equation* for the function  $u(x)$ :

$$(1) \quad u(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y) u(y) dy$$

a) Show that for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  sufficiently near zero, this equation has a unique solution.

*Proof.* We use the uniform norm throughout the following, as  $C([0, 1])$  is complete under the uniform norm. Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , it is bounded by  $M$ , such that  $\|f\| < M$ . Also, there exists  $M'$  such that

$$\left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) dy \right\| < M'.$$

Let  $|\lambda| < \min\{1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{M'}}\}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda|^k$$

converges. Which is to say the sequence of partial sums is Cauchy. Hence, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, if  $m > n > N$ ,

$$\frac{\epsilon}{MM'} > \sum_{i=1}^m |\lambda|^i - \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda|^j = |\lambda|^m + |\lambda|^{m-1} + \dots + |\lambda|^n.$$

Define  $u_j$  recursively, where  $u_0 \equiv 0$  and

$$u_{j+1}(x) = f(x) + \int_0^1 K(x, y)u_j(y)dy$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ . Clearly, each  $u_j$  is continuous, since it is a sum of continuous functions. Furthermore, for all  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{j+1} - u_j\| &= \|f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)u_j(y)dy - \left(f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)u_{j-1}(y)dy\right)\| \\ &= \|\lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)(u_j(y) - u_{j-1}(y))dy\| \\ &= |\lambda| \left\| \int_0^1 \left(K(x, y) \left(\lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)(u_{j-1}(y) - u_{j-2}(y))dy\right)\right) dy \right\| \\ &= |\lambda|^2 \left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) \left(\int_0^1 K(x, y)(u_{j-1}(y) - u_{j-2}(y))dy\right) dy \right\| \\ &\vdots \\ &= |\lambda|^j \left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) \left(\int_0^1 K(x, y) \left(\dots \left(\int_0^1 K(x, y)(u_1(y) - u_0(y))dy\right) \dots\right) dy\right) dy \right\| \\ &= |\lambda|^j \left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) \left(\int_0^1 K(x, y) \left(\dots \left(\int_0^1 K(x, y)f(y)dy\right) \dots\right) dy\right) dy \right\| \\ &\leq |\lambda|^j M \left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) \left(\int_0^1 K(x, y) \left(\dots \left(\int_0^1 K(x, y)dy\right) \dots\right) dy\right) dy \right\| \\ &= |\lambda|^j M \left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y)dy \right\| \\ &\leq |\lambda|^j MM'. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $m > n > N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_m - u_n\| &= \|(u_m - u_{m-1}) + (u_{m-1} - u_{m-2}) + \dots + (u_{n+1} - u_n)\| \\ &\leq \|u_m - u_{m-1}\| + \dots + \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \\ &\leq |\lambda|^{m-1} MM' + \dots + |\lambda|^n MM' \\ &= MM'(|\lambda|^{m-1} + \dots + |\lambda|^n) \\ &< MM' \frac{\epsilon}{MM'} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence  $\{u_j\}$  is Cauchy, so it converges to some continuous  $u$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)u_n(y)dy\right) \\ &= f(x) + \lambda \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 K(x, y)u_n(y)dy \\ &= f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)u(y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

To see that  $u$  is a unique solution of this equation, suppose there existed  $u'$  that was also a solution. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u - u'\| &= \left\| \left( f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)u(y)dy \right) - \left( f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)u'(y)dy \right) \right\| \\
&= |\lambda| \left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) (u(y) - u'(y)) dy \right\| \\
&= |\lambda| \left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) \left( \left( f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)u(y)dy \right) - \left( f(x) + \lambda \int_0^1 K(x, y)u'(y)dy \right) \right) dy \right\| \\
&= |\lambda|^2 \left\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) \left( \int_0^1 K(x, y) (u(y) - u'(y)) dy \right) dy \right\| \\
&= |\lambda|^2 \int_0^1 K(x, y) \|u - u'\| dy \\
&= |\lambda|^2 \|u - u'\| \int_0^1 K(x, y) \\
&\leq |\lambda|^2 M' \|u - u'\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\lambda|^2 M' < 1$ , this implies that  $\|u - u'\| = 0$ . In other words, the solution  $u$  is unique.  $\square$

5

Let  $K(x, y : z)$  be continuous for all  $x, y \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and, for all real  $z_1, z_2$  satisfy the *Lipschitz condition*

$$|K(x, y : z_2) - K(x, y : z_1)| \leq M|z_2 - z_1|,$$

where the constant  $M$  is independent of  $x, y, z_1, z_2$ . Generalize (1) by showing that the nonlinear integral equation

$$u(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x, y : u(y)) dy$$

has a unique solution for all  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{M(b-a)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{M(b-a)}$  and define

$$g(u(x)) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x, y; u(y)) dy.$$

Then, for all  $u, u' \in C([a, b])$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g(u) - g(u')\| &= \left\| f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x, y; u(y)) dy - \left( f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x, y; u'(y)) dy \right) \right\| \\
&= |\lambda| \left\| \int_a^b (K(x, y; u(y)) - K(x, y; u'(y))) dy \right\| \\
&\leq |\lambda| M(b-a) \|u - u'\|
\end{aligned}$$

where  $|\lambda| M(b-a) < 1$ . Therefore,  $g$  is a contraction, meaning it has exactly one fixed point  $v$ . To say that  $v$  is a fixed point is to say that

$$v(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_a^b K(x, y; v(y)) dy.$$

Thus,  $v$  is the unique solution of the nonlinear equation.  $\square$