

## DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY HW 2

CLAY SHONKWILER

4.

Give  $Diff(S^1)$  any reasonable topology, such as the compact-open topology, the  $C^r$  topology or the  $C^\infty$  topology. The orthogonal group  $O(2)$  of rigid motions of a circle has two components, the rotations  $SO(2)$  and the flips. Find an explicit strong deformation retraction of  $Diff(S^1)$  to  $O(2)$ . **Answer:** We may as well consider elements of  $Diff(S^1)$  as functions of  $\phi$ , the angle of elevation above the  $x$ -axis. Now, if  $f \in Diff(S^1)$ , define  $H : Diff(S^1) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Diff(S^1)$  by

$$H(f, t)(\phi) = (1 - t)f(\phi) + tA_f(\phi)$$

where  $A_f \in O(2)$  is chosen such that  $A_f(0) = f(0)$  and, if  $f$  is orientation-preserving, so is  $A_f$  (i.e.  $A_f$  is a rotation) and if  $f$  is orientation-reversing, so is  $A_f$  (i.e.  $A_f$  is a flip). Note that if  $f \in Diff(S^1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H(f, 0)(\phi) &= f(\phi) \\ H(f, 1)(\phi) &= A_f(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi$  and, if  $B \in O(s)$ ,  $A_B = B$ , so  $H(B, t)(\phi) = B(\phi)$  for all  $\phi$ . Since  $H(f, t) \in Diff(S^1)$  for all  $t$ , we need only show that  $H$  is continuous to demonstrate that  $H$  is a strong deformation retraction of  $Diff(S^1)$  onto  $O(2)$ .

We give  $Diff(S^1)$  the compact-open topology; since addition and multiplication are continuous, we need only demonstrate that the choice of  $A_f$  is continuous in this topology. We can denote  $A_f = A(f)$  where  $A : Diff(S^1) \rightarrow O(2)$  is as defined above. Let

$$V_{C,U} = \{f \in O(2) \mid C \text{ compact, } U \text{ open and } f(C) \subset U\}$$

be a basic open set in  $O(2)$  where  $C$  is a closed interval  $[\phi_1, \psi_1]$  and  $U$  is an open interval  $(\phi_2, \psi_2)$ . Then an orientation-preserving element in  $V_{C,U}$  (i.e. a rotation) must rotate  $\phi = 0$  to at least  $\phi_2 - \phi_1$  and at most  $\psi_2 - \psi_1$ ; that is, for all rotations  $B \in V_{C,U}$ ,  $B(0) \in (\phi_2 - \phi_1, \psi_2 - \psi_1)$ . Hence, if  $f \in A^{-1}(V_{C,U})$  is orientation-preserving, then  $f(0) \in (\phi_2 - \phi_1, \psi_2 - \psi_1)$ . In other words, if  $O$  denotes the set of orientation-preserving elements of  $Diff(S^1)$ , then

$$A^{-1}(V_{C,U}) \cap O = W_{\{0\}, (\phi_2 - \phi_1, \psi_2 - \psi_1)} \cap O,$$

where

$$W_{X,Y} = \{f \in Diff(S^1) \mid f(X) \subset Y\}.$$

Since  $\{0\}$  is compact and  $(\phi_2 - \phi_1, \psi_2 - \psi_1)$  is open in  $S^1$ , this set is open in  $Diff(S^1)$  provided we can show that  $O$  is open in  $Diff(S^1)$  (see below).

On the other hand, an orientation-reversing element of  $V_{C,U}$  must flip  $\phi = 0$  to at least  $\psi_2 - \phi_1$  and at most  $\phi_2 - \psi_1$ ; that is, for all flips  $B \in V_{C,U}$ ,  $B(0) \in (\psi_2 - \phi_1, \phi_2 - \psi_1)$ . Hence, if  $f \in A^{-1}(V_{C,U})$  is orientation-reversing, then  $f(0) \in (\psi_2 - \phi_1, \phi_2 - \psi_1)$ . In other words, if  $\bar{O}$  denotes the set of orientation-reversing elements of  $Diff(S^1)$ , then

$$A^{-1}(V_{C,U}) \cap \bar{O} = W_{\{0\},(\psi_2-\phi_1,\phi_2-\psi_1)} \cap \bar{O}.$$

Again, this set is open in  $Diff(S^1)$  provided  $\bar{O}$  is open. Putting the above facts together, we see that

$$A^{-1}(V_{C,U}) = (W_{\{0\},(\phi_2-\phi_1,\psi_2-\psi_1)} \cap O) \cup (W_{\{0\},(\psi_2-\phi_1,\phi_2-\psi_1)} \cap \bar{O}),$$

which is open in  $Diff(S^1)$  if  $O$  and  $\bar{O}$  are. Before we prove that  $O$  and  $\bar{O}$  are open, note that this will suffice to complete the proof. Since the open sets in  $S^1$  are generated by the open intervals, when considering open sets  $V_{C,U}$  in  $O(2)$ , it suffices to consider the case where  $U$  is an interval. On the other hand, if  $K$  is any compact set in  $S^1$ , let  $C_K$  be the interval determined by the “largest” and “smallest” elements of  $K$  (that is,  $C_K$  is a [possibly degenerate] interval of shortest length containing  $K$ ; note that there may be more than one such: in such a case, simply choose one). Then, since we’re only considering rigid motions of  $S^1$ ,  $V_{K,U} = V_{C_K,U}$ . Therefore, we can restrict our attention to the case where  $K$  is an interval (again, possibly a degenerate interval consisting of a single point).

Now, we turn to demonstrating that  $O$  and  $\bar{O}$  are both open in  $Diff(S^1)$ . Since  $O \cap \bar{O} = \emptyset$  and  $O \cup \bar{O} = Diff(S^1)$ , this will mean that  $O$  and  $\bar{O}$  are precisely the connected components of  $Diff(S^1)$ . Let  $\phi_1 < \phi_2 < \phi_3$ . For each  $f \in Diff(S^1)$ , choose neighborhoods  $U_{f,i}$  about each of the  $\phi_i$  such that the  $U_{f,i}$  are disjoint and the  $f(U_{f,i})$  are disjoint. Note that, since  $f$  is a diffeomorphism,  $f(U_{f,i})$  is open for all  $i$ . Hence

$$W_f := W_{\{\phi_1\},f(U_{f,1})} \cap W_{\{\phi_2\},f(U_{f,2})} \cap W_{\{\phi_3\},f(U_{f,3})}$$

is open. Furthermore,  $f \in W_f$ . Also, if  $f$  is orientation-preserving, then  $W_f$  contains only orientation-preserving maps, and if  $f$  is orientation-reversing, then  $W_f$  contains only orientation-reversing maps. That is,  $W_f$  is an open neighborhood containing  $f$  and entirely contained in either  $O$  or  $\bar{O}$ , depending on whether  $f$  is orientation-preserving or -reversing. Since our choice of  $f \in Diff(S^1)$  was arbitrary, this implies that both  $O$  and  $\bar{O}$  are open, completing the proof.

♣

## 5.

Prove that the subgroup  $\mathcal{D}$  is contractible.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then, since  $f$  fixes an interval about  $\phi = 0$ ,  $f$  is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism. Furthermore,  $f(0) = 0$ , so, using the notation from problem 4 above,  $H(f, 1) = Id$ , the identity map. Since our choice of  $f \in \mathcal{D}$  was arbitrary, we see that  $H|_{\mathcal{D}}$  homotopes all of  $\mathcal{D}$  to the identity. Thus,  $\mathcal{D}$  is contractible.  $\square$

7

(1) Compute  $I_1(h_{t,d})$  explicitly for all  $h_{t,d} \in B^2$ .

**Answer:** Recall that

$$h_{t,d}(\phi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} + (1+d)\left(\phi - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) - t & \text{for } \phi \in D_2 \\ \frac{3\pi}{2} + (1-d)\left(\phi - \frac{3\pi}{2}\right) + t & \text{for } \phi \in D_4 \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(h) &= \int_0^{2\pi} gh^{-1}(\phi)N(\phi)d\phi \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} mN(\phi)d\phi + \int_{h(D_2 \cup D_4)} (M-m)N(\phi)d\phi \\ &= \int_{h(D_2 \cup D_4)} (M-m)N(\phi)d\phi, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(h_{t,d}) &= \int_{h_{t,d}(D_2 \cup D_4)} (M-m)N(\phi)d\phi \\ &= (M-m) \int_{h_{t,d}(7\pi/16)}^{h_{t,d}(9\pi/16)} (\cos \phi, \sin \phi)d\phi + (M-m) \int_{h_{t,d}(23\pi/16)}^{h_{t,d}(25\pi/16)} (\cos \phi, \sin \phi)d\phi \\ &= (M-m) [(\sin \phi, -\cos \phi)]_{7\pi/16-d\pi/16-t}^{9\pi/16+d\pi/16-t} + (M-m) [(\sin \phi, -\cos \phi)]_{23\pi/16+d\pi/16+t}^{25\pi/16-d\pi/16+t} \\ &= (M-m)(\sin(9\pi/16 + d\pi/16 - t) - \sin(7\pi/16 - d\pi/16 - t) \\ &\quad + \sin(25\pi/16 - d\pi/16 + t) - \sin(23\pi/16 + d\pi/16 + t), \\ &\quad - \cos(9\pi/16 + d\pi/16 - t) + \cos(7\pi/16 - d\pi/16 - t) \\ &\quad - \cos(25\pi/16 - d\pi/16 + t) + \cos(23\pi/16 + d\pi/16 + t)) \end{aligned}$$

See attached Maple sheet for a (slight) simplification of this expression.



(2) Show that the arrows along the outside of the “proof in one picture” are a fair portrayal of the values of  $I_1(h_{t,d}) \in \Sigma^1$ .

**Answer:** Using the above,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(h_{3\pi/16,0}) &\approx (M - m)(0.434, 0) \\
 I_1(h_{3\pi/16,1/2}) &\approx (M - m)(0.431, 0.320) \\
 I_1(h_{0,1/2}) &\approx (M - m)(0, 0.385) \\
 I_1(h_{-3\pi/16,1/2}) &\approx (M - m)(-0.431, 0.320) \\
 I_1(h_{-3\pi/16,0}) &\approx (M - m)(-0.434, 0) \\
 I_1(h_{-3\pi/16,-1/2}) &\approx (M - m)(-0.431, -0.320) \\
 I_1(h_{0,-1/2}) &\approx (M - m)(0, -0.385) \\
 I_1(h_{3\pi/16,-1/2}) &\approx (M - m)(0.431, -0.320)
 \end{aligned}$$

So the arrows on the “proof in one picture” really are realistic.



- (3) Show that  $I_1$  embeds  $B^2$  into the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and takes the center of  $B^2$  to the origin. Conclude that  $I_1(\Sigma^1)$  loops once around the origin.

*Proof.* I can't figure out how to simplify  $I_1(H_{t,d})$  enough to make any headway showing that it's injective (which would be enough to show that it's an embedding, given that  $I_1$  is a continuous map from a compact set into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). Note that when  $(t, d) = (0, 0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(h_{0,0}) &= (M - m)(\sin 9\pi/16 - \sin 7\pi/16 + \sin 25\pi/16 - \sin 23\pi/16, \\
 &\quad - \cos 9\pi/16 + \cos 7\pi/16 - \cos 25\pi/16 + \cos 23\pi/16) \\
 &= (0, 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Assuming  $I_1$  embeds  $B^2$  into the plane and since we know  $I_1$  maps  $(0, 0)$  to the origin, the boundary  $\Sigma^1$  of  $B^2$  wraps exactly once about the origin.  $\square$

- (4) Find explicitly the points on  $I_1(\Sigma^1)$  which are closest to the origin.  
**Answer:** We restrict  $I_1$  to each of the four sides of  $\Sigma^1$  and look for critical points (see attached Maple sheets for computations). The critical points are at

$$(t, d) = (\pm 3\pi/16, 0), (0, \pm 1/2).$$

Checking each critical point and the corners of  $\Sigma^1$ , we see that the distance to the origin is minimized at  $(t, d) = (0, \pm 1/2)$ , with the distance given by  $\approx 0.38(M - m)$ .



- (5) Show how to choose  $\epsilon$  small enough so that  $I(\Sigma^1)$  also loops once around the origin.

**Answer:** Note that so long as  $\|I(h_{t,d}) - I_1(h_{t,d})\| < 0.38(M - m)$ , then  $I(\Sigma^1)$  must loop once around the origin. Note that  $f$  is positive and bounded above on  $S^1$ ; let  $B$  be an upper bound on  $f$  which is

at least 1. Now, let  $\epsilon = \frac{M-m}{25B}$ . Recall that the measure of  $A$  is less than  $4\epsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(h_{t,d}) - I_1(h_{t,d})\| &= \left\| \int_0^{2\pi} fh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi)N(\phi)d\phi - \int_0^{2\pi} gh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi)N(\phi)d\phi \right\| \\
&= \left\| \int_0^{2\pi} (fh_{t,d}^{-1} - gh_{t,d}^{-1})(\phi)N(\phi)d\phi \right\| \\
&= \left\| \int_{h_{t,d}(E_1 \cup D_2 \cup E_3 \cup D_4)} (fh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi) - gh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi))N(\phi)d\phi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{h_{t,d}(A)} (fh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi) - gh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi))N(\phi)d\phi \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \int_{h_{t,d}(E_1 \cup D_2 \cup E_3 \cup D_4)} (fh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi) - gh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi))N(\phi)d\phi \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{h_{t,d}(A)} (fh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi) - gh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi))N(\phi)d\phi \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \int_{h_{t,d}(E_1 \cup D_2 \cup E_3 \cup D_4)} \epsilon N(\phi)d\phi \right\| + \left\| \int_{h_{t,d}(A)} fh_{t,d}^{-1}(\phi)N(\phi)d\phi \right\|
\end{aligned}$$

since both  $f$  and  $g$  are positive. Now, since  $f$  is bounded by  $B$ , this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(h_{t,d}) - I_1(h_{t,d})\| &\leq 2\pi\epsilon + B \left\| \int_{h_{t,d}(A)} N(\phi)d\phi \right\| \\
&\leq 2\pi\epsilon + B\text{measure}(A)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $h_{t,d}$  stretches by a factor of at most  $3/2$ ,  $\text{measure}(A) \leq 3\epsilon/2$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(h_{t,d}) - I_1(h_{t,d})\| &\leq 2\pi\epsilon + 3B\epsilon/2 = \frac{2\pi(M-m)}{25B} + \frac{3(M-m)}{50} \\
&\leq (M-m) \left( \frac{2\pi}{25} + \frac{3}{50} \right) \\
&\approx 0.311(M-m) \\
&< 0.38(M-m),
\end{aligned}$$

since  $B \geq 1$ . Hence,  $I(\Sigma^1)$  does indeed wrap once around the origin. ♣

(6) Conclude that there must be a root of the equation

$$I(h) = \int_0^{2\pi} fh^{-1}(\phi)N(\phi)d\phi = 0$$

somewhere inside that 2-cell  $B^2$ .

*Proof.* As we showed in (5) above,  $I(h_{t,d})$  has winding number about the origin of 1 where  $(t, d) \in \Sigma^1$ . On the other hand, for  $|(h_{t,d})| = 0$ ,  $I(t, d) = (0, 0)$  is a single point and so certainly has winding number 0 about the origin. Now, since  $B^2$  is contractible, we can continuously deform it to the point  $(0, 0)$ ; composing with  $I$  yields another continuous deformation. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $I(h_{t,d})$  is never 0. Then the image under  $I$  of the contraction of  $\Sigma^1$  can never cross the origin, so its winding number about the origin can never change. But this implies that  $1 = 0$ , which is obviously bad. Thus, we conclude that  $I(h) = 0$  does indeed have a solution; in particular, it has a solution contained in  $\mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

## B

Let  $C$  be a smooth simple closed curve in 3-space. Let  $r > 0$  be a real number, and let  $N(C, r)$  denote the set of points in 3-space whose distance from  $C$  is at most  $r$ . If  $r$  is sufficiently small, then these disks will not intersect one another, and hence  $N(C, r)$  will be homeomorphic to the product of  $C$  and any one of these disks, and will look like a curvy, perhaps knotted, solid donut. Assume  $r$  is this small, and prove that the volume of  $N(C, r)$  equals the length of  $C$  times the area,  $\pi r^2$ , of any one of the disks.

*Proof.* First, suppose  $C$  has non-vanishing curvature everywhere. Then the Frenet frame is defined everywhere on  $C$ . We may as well assume  $C$  is parametrized by arc length. Let  $L$  be the length of  $C$ . Consider a vertical cylinder  $Cyl$  with radius  $r$  and height  $L$ ; that is,

$$Cyl := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq r, z \in [0, L]\}.$$

Now, using the notation that  $C(s) = (C_1(s), C_2(s), C_3(s))$ , the normal vector  $N(s) = (N_1(s), N_2(s), N_3(s))$  and the binormal vector  $B(s) = (B_1(s), B_2(s), B_3(s))$ , define the function  $f : Cyl \rightarrow N(C, r)$  by

$$f(x, y, z) = C(z) + xN(z) + yB(z);$$

then  $f(x, y, z) = (f_1(x, y, z), f_2(x, y, z), f_3(x, y, z))$  where

$$f_1(x, y, z) = C_1(z) + xN_1(z) + yB_1(z)$$

$$f_2(x, y, z) = C_2(z) + xN_2(z) + yB_2(z)$$

$$f_3(x, y, z) = C_3(z) + xN_3(z) + yB_3(z).$$

Thus, the Jacobian of  $f$  is given by

$$J(f) = \begin{pmatrix} N_1(z) & B_1(z) & C'_1(z) + xN'_1(z) + yB'_1(z) \\ N_2(z) & B_2(z) & C'_2(z) + xN'_2(z) + yB'_2(z) \\ N_3(z) & B_3(z) & C'_3(z) + xN'_3(z) + yB'_3(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, since  $z$  is equal to arc length, the first column of this matrix is just  $N(z)$ , the second is  $B(z)$  and the third is

$$C'(z) + xN'(z) + yB'(z) = T(z) + x(-\kappa(z)T(z) + \tau(z)B(z)) + y(-\tau(z)N(z))$$

by the Frenet equations. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\det J(f) &= \langle N(z) \times B(z), T(z) - \kappa(z)xT(z) + \tau(z)xB(z) - \tau(z)yN(z) \rangle \\ &= \langle T(z), T(z) - \kappa(z)xT(z) + \tau(z)xB(z) - \tau(z)yN(z) \rangle \\ &= 1 - x\kappa(z)\end{aligned}$$

Now, the volume of  $N(C, r)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\int \int \int_{C_{yl}} \det J(f) &= \int_0^L \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - \rho\kappa(z) \cos \theta) \rho d\theta d\rho dz \\ &= \int_0^L \int_0^r 2\pi \rho d\rho dz \\ &= \int_0^L \pi r^2 dz \\ &= L\pi r^2,\end{aligned}$$

as expected.

Now, if  $C$  has zero curvature somewhere, we'll split up the computation of the volume. Over those regions where the curvature is non-zero, we'll integrate the Jacobian as above. Over those regions with zero curvature,  $C$  is cylindrical, so the volume of each such region is simply given by  $L_i\pi r^2$  where  $L_i$  is the length of each region  $C_i$  with zero curvature. Then, simply adding the volumes for each of these regions yields a total volume of  $L\pi r^2$ .  $\square$