

DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY HW 5

CLAY SHONKWILER

3.

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature. Prove that

$$|(d \exp_p)_v(w)| \geq |w|,$$

for all $p \in M$, all $v \in T_p M$ and all $w \in T_v(T_p M)$.

Proof. Let γ be a geodesic on M with $\gamma(0) = p$, $\gamma'(0) = v$. Define the Jacobi field $J(t) = (d \exp_p)_{tv}(tw)$. Then $J(0) = 0$ and

$$J'(0) = \frac{D}{dt} (t(d \exp_p)_{tv}(w)) \Big|_{t=0} = (d \exp_p)_{tv}(w) + 0 \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dt} (d \exp_p)_{tv}(w) \right) \Big|_{t=0} = w.$$

Hence, $\langle J'(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle$. Now, let $\widetilde{M} = T_p M$, which is linearly isometric to \mathbb{R}^n with the usual inner product, so the sectional curvatures are all $\equiv 0$, which is greater than or equal to all sectional curvatures of M . Let $\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = tv$; then $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a geodesic in \widetilde{M} and, if we define $\widetilde{J}(t) = tw$, then \widetilde{J} is a Jacobi field. Moreover, $\langle \widetilde{J}'(0), \widetilde{\gamma}'(0) \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle$. Therefore, by the Rauch Comparison Theorem, $|J(t)| \geq |\widetilde{J}(t)|$ for all t . In particular, for $t = 1$,

$$|(d \exp_p)_v(w)| = |J(1)| \geq |\widetilde{J}(1)| = |w|.$$

□

4.

(a): Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a regular curve. Show that the focal set $F(C) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of C is obtained by taking, on the positive normal n at $p \in C$ a length equal to $1/k$, where k is the curvature of C at p .

Proof. Let $x(s)$ parametrize C . Recall that $\exp^\perp(t, s) = x(t) + tn(s)$. If the tangent T and the normal n form a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 , then

$$d \exp^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} \left\langle \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + t \frac{\partial n}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} \right\rangle & \left\langle n, \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + t \frac{\partial n}{\partial s}, n \right\rangle & \langle n, n \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \left\langle \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + t \frac{\partial n}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} \right\rangle & 0 \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + t \frac{\partial n}{\partial s}, n \right\rangle & \langle n, n \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\langle n, n \rangle \neq 0$, $d \exp^\perp$ is singular (and C has a focal point) if and only if

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + t \frac{\partial n}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} \right\rangle = 0.$$

By the Frenet equations, $\frac{\partial n}{\partial s} = -\kappa(s)T(s)$, so

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + t \frac{\partial n}{\partial s} = T(s) - t\kappa(s)T(s) = (1 - t\kappa(s))T(s)$$

which is zero precisely when $1 - t\kappa(s) = 0$: i.e., when $t = \frac{1}{\kappa(s)}$, exactly as predicted. Note that $|\frac{\partial x}{\partial s}| = 0$ precisely when $\kappa(s) = 0$, so we see that the above entirely characterizes the focal points of C . \square

(b): Show that the focal set of the ellipse $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$ is given by

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; (ax)^{2/3} + (by)^{2/3} = (a^2 - b^2)^{2/3} \right\}$$

Proof. Parametrize the ellipse by $\alpha(s) = (a \cos s, b \sin s)$ (note that, in spite of the notation, this curve is not parametrized by arc length). Then $\alpha'(s) = (-a \sin s, b \cos s)$. If we let $n(s) = (-b \cos s, -a \sin s)$, then n is normal to the ellipse. Now, $n'(s) = (b \sin s, -a \cos s)$, so

$$d \exp^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 \sin^2 s + b^2 \cos^2 s - tab & 0 \\ (b^2 - a^2)t \sin s \cos s & b^2 \cos^2 s + a^2 \sin^2 s \end{pmatrix},$$

which has a critical point when $t = \frac{a^2 \sin^2 s + b^2 \cos^2 s}{ab}$. Therefore, the focal locus of the ellipse is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s) + \frac{a^2 \sin^2 s + b^2 \cos^2 s}{ab} n(s) &= \left(a \cos s - \frac{a^2 \sin^2 s + b^2 \cos^2 s}{ab} b \cos s, \right. \\ &\quad \left. b \sin s - \frac{a^2 \sin^2 s + b^2 \cos^2 s}{ab} a \sin s \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{a^2 - a^2 \sin^2 s - b^2 \cos^2 s}{a} \cos s, \frac{b^2 - a^2 \sin^2 s - b^2 \cos^2 s}{b} \sin s \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{b^2 - a^2}{a} \cos^3 s, \frac{a^2 - b^2}{b} \sin^3 s \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$((b^2 - a^2) \cos^3 s)^{2/3} + ((a^2 - b^2) \sin^3 s)^{2/3} = (a^2 - b^2)^{2/3},$$

we see that the focal locus of the ellipse is given by

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (ax)^{2/3} + (by)^{2/3} = (a^2 - b^2)^{2/3} \right\}.$$

\square

(c): Show that the focal set of the curve

$$t \mapsto (\cos t + t \sin t, -\sin t + t \cos t)$$

is the circle $t \mapsto (\cos t, -\sin t)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha(s) = (\cos s + s \sin s, -\sin s + s \cos s)$ (again, despite notation, not necessarily parametrized by arc length). Then $\alpha'(s) = (s \cos s, -s \sin s)$. If we let $n(s) = (\sin s, \cos s)$, then n is normal to the spiral. Now,

$$n'(s) = (\cos s, -\sin s),$$

so

$$d\exp^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} s^2 + ts & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has critical points when $t = -s$. Therefore, the focal locus of the spiral is given by

$$\alpha(s) - sn(s) = (\cos s + s \sin s - s \sin s, -\sin s + s \cos s - s \cos s) = (\cos s, -\sin s),$$

as expected. \square

6.

What follows is a slight generalization of Sturm's Comparison Theorem. We present the theorem in geometric form.

Let M^2 be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension 2, and let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M^2$ be a geodesic. Let $J(t)$ be a Jacobi field along γ with $J(0) = J(t_0) = 0$, $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$, and $J(t) \neq 0$, $t \in (0, t_0)$. Then J is a field normal to γ and can be written $J(t) = f(t)e_2(t)$, where $e_2(t)$ is the parallel transport of a unit vector $e_2 \in T_{\gamma(0)}M$ with $e_2 \perp \gamma'(0)$. Because J is a Jacobi field,

$$f''(t) + K(t)f(t) = 0,$$

where K is the Gaussian curvature of M^2 . Assume that

$$K(t) \leq L(t),$$

where L is a differentiable function on $[0, \infty)$. Prove that any solution of the equation

$$\tilde{f}''(t) + L(t)\tilde{f}(t) = 0$$

has a zero on $[0, t_0]$, that is, there exists $t_1 \in [0, t_0]$ with $\tilde{f}(t_1) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{f}(t)$ is a solution to the given equation and that $\tilde{f}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0, t_0]$. Since $f'' + Kf = 0$ and $\tilde{f}'' + L\tilde{f} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^{t_0} [\tilde{f}(f'' + Kf) - f(\tilde{f}'' + L\tilde{f})] dt \\ &= \int_0^{t_0} (\tilde{f}f'' - f\tilde{f}'') dt + \int_0^{t_0} (K - L)f\tilde{f} dt \\ (1) \quad &= [\tilde{f}f' - f\tilde{f}']_0^{t_0} - \int_0^{t_0} (\tilde{f}'f' - f'\tilde{f}') dt + \int_0^{t_0} (K - L)f\tilde{f} dt \\ &= \tilde{f}(t_0)f'(t_0) - \tilde{f}(0)f'(0) + \int_0^{t_0} (K - L)f\tilde{f} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\tilde{f}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0, t_0]$, then either $\tilde{f} > 0$ on this interval or $\tilde{f} < 0$ on this interval. Similarly, since t_0 is the first conjugate point of $\gamma(0)$ along γ , $f > 0$ on $(0, t_0)$ or $f < 0$ on $(0, t_0)$. If $\tilde{f} > 0$ and $f > 0$ on $(0, t_0)$, then, since $f(0) = 0 = f(t_0)$, $f'(0) > 0$ and $f'(t_0) < 0$. However, since $K \leq L$,

$$\int_0^{t_0} (K - L)f\tilde{f}dt + \tilde{f}(t_0)f'(t_0) - \tilde{f}(0)f'(0) \leq \tilde{f}(t_0)f'(t_0) - \tilde{f}(0)f'(0) < 0,$$

contradicting (1) above. The other cases ($\tilde{f} > 0$ and $f < 0$, etc.) follow similarly. \square

7.

Let M^2 be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension two and let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M^2$ be a geodesic with $\gamma(0) = p$. Let $K(s)$ be the Gaussian curvature of M^2 along γ . Assume that:

$$(2) \quad \int_t^\infty K(s)ds \leq \frac{1}{4(t+1)}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

in the sense that the integral converges and has the bound indicated.

(a): Define

$$\omega(t) = \int_t^\infty K(s)ds + \frac{1}{4(t+1)},$$

and show that $\omega'(t) + (\omega(t))^2 \leq -K(t)$.

Proof. First, note that

$$\omega(t) = \int_0^\infty K(s)ds - \int_0^t K(s)ds + \frac{1}{4(t+1)},$$

so, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$\omega'(t) = -K(t) - \frac{1}{4(t+1)^2}.$$

Now,

$$(\omega(t))^2 = \left(\int_t^\infty K(s)ds \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2(t+1)} \int_t^\infty K(s)ds + \frac{1}{16(t+1)^2},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(t) + (\omega(t))^2 &= -K(t) - \frac{1}{4(t+1)^2} + \left(\int_t^\infty K(s)ds \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2(t+1)} \int_t^\infty K(s)ds + \frac{1}{16(t+1)^2} \\ &= -K(t) - \frac{3}{16(t+1)^2} + \left(\int_t^\infty K(s)ds \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2(t+1)} \int_t^\infty K(s)ds \\ &\leq -K(t) - \frac{3}{16(t+1)^2} + \left(\frac{1}{4(t+1)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2(t+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{4(t+1)} \\ &= -K(t). \end{aligned}$$

□

(b): For $t \geq 0$, put $\omega'(t) + (\omega(t))^2 = -L(t)$ (hence $L(t) \geq K(t)$) and define

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \omega(s) ds\right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Show that

$$\tilde{f}''(t) + L(t)\tilde{f}(t) = 0, \quad \tilde{f}(0) = 1.$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\tilde{f}'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t \omega(s) ds \right) \exp\left(\int_0^t \omega(s) ds\right) = \omega(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \omega(s) ds\right) = \omega(t)\tilde{f}(t),$$

so

$$\tilde{f}''(t) = \omega'(t)\tilde{f}(t) + (\omega(t))^2\tilde{f}(t).$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{f}''(t) + L(t)\tilde{f}(t) = \omega'(t)\tilde{f}(t) + (\omega(t))^2\tilde{f}(t) + (-\omega'(t) + (\omega(t))^2)\tilde{f}(t) = 0.$$

Also, $\tilde{f}(0) = \exp(0) = 1$, as desired. □

(c): Observe that $\tilde{f}(t) > 0$ and use the oscillation theorem of Sturm to show that there does not exist a Jacobi field $J(s)$ on $\gamma(s)$ with $J(0) = 0$ and $J(s_0) = 0$, for some $s_0 \in (0, \infty)$. Therefore, *the condition (2) implies that there do not exist conjugate points to p along γ .*

Proof. Since the exponential is always positive, $\tilde{f}(t) > 0$. Now, suppose there exists a Jacobi field $J(s)$ on $\gamma(s)$ such that $J(0) = 0$ and $J(s_0) = 0$ for some $s_0 \in (0, \infty)$. Then, by problem 6 above, since \tilde{f} is a solution of the equation $\tilde{f}''(s) + L(s)\tilde{f}(s) = 0$ and $K(s) \leq L(s)$, \tilde{f} must have a zero on $[0, s_0]$. However, we just said that \tilde{f} is strictly positive, so this is impossible. Therefore, we conclude that there must not exist such a Jacobi field, meaning that there are no conjugate points to p along γ . □

(B)

Let $S^n(1)$ denote the n -sphere of radius 1 in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Consider the product metric on $S^3(1) \times S^1(1)$.

(a): What can one say about the curvature of this metric?

Answer: Let $M = S^3(1) \times S^1(1)$ and, for any $p \in M$ and $V \in T_pM$, let us denote by V_3 the component of V parallel to S^3 and by V_1 the component of V parallel to S^1 . Now, for orthonormal $X, Y \in T_pM$,

$$K(X, Y) = \langle R(X, Y)X, Y \rangle = \langle R(X_1 + X_3, Y_1 + Y_3)(X_1 + X_3), Y_1 + Y_3 \rangle.$$

Since everything in this expression is linear, we can completely split up all the terms. Moreover, since S^1 is only one-dimensional, X_1 and Y_1 must be parallel, so $R(X_1, Y_1) \equiv 0$. Also, if we think of M as a bundle over $S^3(1)$, X_1 is vertical and Y_3 is horizontal, so $R(X_1, Y_3) \equiv 0$ and similarly for $R(Y_1, X_3)$, $R(X_1, X_3)$, $R(Y_1, Y_3)$, etc. Therefore, by rearranging according to the usual formulas, all of the terms in the above expression vanish except for $\langle R(X_3, Y_3)X_3, Y_3 \rangle$. Hence,

$$K(X, Y) = \langle R(X_3, Y_3)X_3, Y_3 \rangle = |X_3 \wedge Y_3|^2,$$

which varies from 0 to 1. In essence, we're taking the unit square defined by X and Y , projecting it onto $S^3(1)$ and whatever the area of the projection is the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by X and Y .

As for scalar curvature, let z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 be an orthonormal basis for $T_p M$, where $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in T_p S^3$ and $z_4 \in T_p S^1$. Then

$$K(p) = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{ij} \langle R(z_i, z_j)z_i, z_j \rangle;$$

the summands are zero whenever z_4 is involved and 1 otherwise. There are 6 terms involving z_4 , so $K(p) = \frac{6}{12} = \frac{1}{2}$.

For Ricci curvature, the Ricci curvature in an arbitrary direction is 0 in the S^1 component and more in the S^3 directions. Without loss of generality, assume $v \in T_p M$ is given by $v = (\sqrt{1-d^2}, 0, 0, d)$ where the first three terms are the S^3 directions and the fourth is the S^1 direction. Complete this to an orthonormal basis: $w^1 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $w^2 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$, $w^3 = (-d, 0, 0, \sqrt{1-d^2})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_p(v) &= \frac{1}{3} (\langle R(v, w^1)v, w^1 \rangle + \langle R(v, w^2)v, w^2 \rangle + \langle R(v, w^3)v, w^3 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (\langle w_3^1, w_3^1 \rangle \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, w_3^1 \rangle \langle w_3^1, v \rangle + \langle w_3^2, w_3^2 \rangle \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, w_3^2 \rangle \langle w_3^2, v \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle w_3^3, w_3^3 \rangle \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, w_3^3 \rangle \langle w_3^3, v \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} ((1-d^2) - 0 + (1-d^2) - 0 + d^2(1-d^2) - d^2(1-d^2)) \\ &= \frac{2}{3}(1-d^2) \end{aligned}$$

since only the parts in the S^3 direction are relevant and S^3 has constant sectional curvature.



(b): What are the geodesics in this metric?

Answer: As in any product manifold, geodesics in M are of the form (γ_1, γ_3) , where γ_1 is a geodesic in $S^1(1)$ and γ_3 is a geodesic in $S^3(1)$.



(c): What is the first conjugate locus and cut locus of any point?

Answer: In the following schematic, the boundary of the picture forms the cut locus of the center point p , so $C_m(p) = S^3 \vee S^1$.

To determine the conjugate locus, we know that the conjugate locus of $S^3(1) \times S^1(1)$ is the image of the conjugate locus of the universal cover, $S^3(1) \times \mathbb{R}$. As we see in the following schematic picture, this means the conjugate locus of p is S^1 :



(C)

Visualize \mathbb{RP}^2 inside \mathbb{CP}^2 .

Answer: Thinking of \mathbb{CP}^2 as complex lines in $\mathbb{C}^3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^6$, we know each complex line meets the unit sphere S^5 in a great circle, which gives an induced Hopf map $h : S^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$. Now, think of $z_i = x_i + iy_i$; then $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3$ serve as coordinates of \mathbb{R}^6 ; if we consider the real 3-plane determined by x_1, x_2, x_3 , then this 3-plane intersects the unit 5-sphere in

a great 2-sphere S^2 . Under the map h , antipodal points on this S^2 are identified, so $h(S^2) = \mathbb{RP}^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$.

The isometries of \mathbb{CP}^2 certainly contain $U(3)/e^{i\theta} = SU(3)$, since $U(3)$ takes complex lines to complex lines and the circle action takes each complex line to itself. Moreover, “complex conjugation”, that is, the map $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3)$ takes complex lines to complex lines, so we guess that the group of isometries of \mathbb{CP}^2 is $SU(3) \cup (\text{complex conjugation})$. Note that this group of isometries is transitive and isotropic.

By the transitivity of the isometries of \mathbb{CP}^2 , we can look at just a single point on \mathbb{RP}^2 to investigate further; let $p = (1 : 0 : 0)$. Recall from last week that $K(X, Y) = 1 + 3 \cos^2 \phi$ where $\cos \phi = \langle \bar{X}, i\bar{Y} \rangle$, where \bar{X} and \bar{Y} are horizontal lifts to TS^5 of $X, Y \in T\mathbb{CP}^2$. Specifying to the case of \mathbb{RP}^2 , if $q \in h|_{S^2}^{-1}(p)$ (i.e. $q = (1, 0, 0)$ or $(-1, 0, 0)$), then we can just think of $T_q S^2$ as the 2-plane perpendicular to q (thought of as a vector in the 3-plane described above). Letting $q = (1, 0, 0)$, then $T_q S^2$ is spanned by $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$. Hence,

$$\cos \phi = \langle (0, 1, 0), i(0, 0, 1) \rangle = \langle (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, i) \rangle = 0,$$

so the sectional curvature at p is $1 + 3 \cos^2 \phi = 1$.

Now, suppose $p \in \mathbb{CP}^2$ and $X, Y \in T_p \mathbb{CP}^2$ be orthonormal such that $K(X, Y) = 1$. Then, since the isometries of \mathbb{CP}^2 are transitive, there exists an isometry f of \mathbb{CP}^2 such that $f((1 : 0 : 0)) = p$. Since the isometries are isotropic, we may as well assume $f_* \circ h_*(0, 1, 0) = X$. Thinking of the horizontal part of $T_q S^5$ as the 4-plane perpendicular to the complex line associated with $h(q)$, then, since $\langle \bar{X}, i\bar{Y} \rangle = 0$, $(f^{-1})_* \bar{Y} = \pm(0, 0, 1)$ or $\pm(0, 0, i)$. In the first case, the 2-plane spanned by X and Y is tangent to $f(\mathbb{RP}^2)$; in the second case, it's not. On the other hand, in the second case, the 2-plane spanned by X and Y is tangent to $f(\widetilde{\mathbb{RP}^2})$ where $\widetilde{\mathbb{RP}^2}$ is the \mathbb{RP}^2 given (as above) by intersecting the 3-plane spanned by x_1, x_2, y_3 with S^5 , so it is tangent to an \mathbb{RP}^2 .



EXTRA PROBLEM

A point in \mathbb{CP}^2 is chosen at random, and then a tangent 2-plane at the point is chosen at random. We know the sectional curvature can be any number between 1 and 4. Suppose we are told that it is either 1 or 4. Which of these two possibilities is more likely, and why?

Answer: Let $p \in \mathbb{CP}^2$. Then p corresponds to a complex line in \mathbb{C}^3 . By a suitable rotation of \mathbb{C}^3 , we may as well assume p corresponds to the complex line given by the first factor in $\mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. This plane corresponds to $(1 : 0 : 0) \in \mathbb{CP}^2$ in homogeneous coordinates, so we may as well assume $p = (1 : 0 : 0)$. Now, I like to visualize $T_p \mathbb{CP}^2$ as the set of all vectors in \mathbb{C}^3 perpendicular to the complex line corresponding to the point p . Hence, if $u, v \in T_p \mathbb{CP}^2$, then we can think of u, v as vectors in $0 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$.

Now, we know from problem 12 on HW #4 that $K(u, v) = 1 + \cos^2 \phi$ where $\cos \phi = \langle \bar{u}, i\bar{v} \rangle$ and \bar{u} and \bar{v} are the horizontal lifts of an orthonormal pair u and v , respectively, to TS^5 . For $q \in S^5$, we can think of $T_q S^5$ as the 5-plane perpendicular to q thought of as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^6 \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$. Now, since $p = (1 : 0 : 0)$, we know that $q = (1, 0, 0)$ lies in the fiber over p and, in the above interpretation,

$$T_q S^5 = \{(iy_1, x_2 + iy_2, x_3 + iy_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3\}.$$

The vertical part of $T_q S^5$ is just $\{(iy_1, 0, 0)\}$ and the horizontal part is $\{(0, x_2 + iy_2, x_3 + iy_3)\}$. Hence, for $u, v \in T_p \mathbb{C}P^2$ thought of as $(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\bar{u} = (0, u_1, u_2)$ and $\bar{v} = (0, v_1, v_2)$.

Now, suppose $u, v \in T_p \mathbb{C}P^2$ are an orthonormal pair such that $K(u, v) = 1$ or 4, so either $\langle \bar{u}, i\bar{v} \rangle = \cos \phi = 0$ or $\langle \bar{u}, i\bar{v} \rangle = \cos \phi = 1$. In other words, either u is parallel to iv in the above visualization, or u is perpendicular to iv . Since the space of vectors parallel to iv is 1-dimensional while the space of vectors perpendicular to iv is 3-dimensional, we expect that the latter should be much more likely and so $K(u, v) = 1$ should be more likely than $K(u, v) = 4$.

To see this rigorously, suppose $u = (a_1 + ib_1, a_2 + ib_2)$. If $v = \pm iu$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \phi &= \langle \bar{u}, i\bar{v} \rangle = \langle \bar{u}, \mp \bar{u} \rangle \\ &= \mp 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, supposing $b_2 \neq 0$ (if it is, perform the below calculation with $v = \pm(0, i)$), then if we let $v = \pm \frac{1}{1 + \frac{b_1^2}{b_2^2}} \left(1, -\frac{b_1}{b_2}\right)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \phi &= \langle \bar{u}, i\bar{v} \rangle = \left\langle (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2), \pm \frac{1}{1 + \frac{b_1^2}{b_2^2}} \left(0, 1, 0, -\frac{b_1}{b_2}\right) \right\rangle \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{1 + \frac{b_1^2}{b_2^2}} (b_1 - b_1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also, supposing $a_2 \neq 0$ (if it is, perform the below calculation with $v = \pm(0, 1)$), then if we let $v = \pm \frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2}} \left(i, -i\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \phi &= \langle \bar{u}, i\bar{v} \rangle = \left\langle (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2), \pm \frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2}} \left(-1, 0, \frac{a_1}{a_2}, 0\right) \right\rangle \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2}} (-a_1 + a_1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The above three possibilities for v are pairwise orthonormal, so any vector orthonormal to u in $T_p\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ is a linear combination of them. Hence, we see that the only $v \in T_p\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ for which $K(u, v) = 4$ are $v = \pm iu$, whereas $K(u, v) = 1$ for any norm 1 linear combination of $\frac{1}{1+\frac{b_1^2}{b_2^2}} \left(1, -\frac{b_1}{b_2}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{1+\frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2}} \left(i, -i\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)$.

Thus, we conclude that, if we choose a tangent 2-plane to p , then it is much more likely that the sectional curvature at that 2-plane is 1 than 4.



DRL 3E3A, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA
E-mail address: shonkwil@math.upenn.edu