

THE EIGENVALUES OF A GRAPH AND ITS CHROMATIC NUMBER

H. S. WILF

Let G be a finite, connected, undirected graph, without loops or multiple edges. If v is a vertex of G , the degree of v , $\rho(v)$, is the number of edges emanating from v . R. L. Brooks has shown [1] that

$$k \leq 1 + \max_{v \in G} \rho(v) \quad (1)$$

where k is the chromatic number of G , with equality if and only if G is a complete graph or an odd circuit. The estimator (1) may be crude if G has just a few vertices of high degree. An extreme case is the star graph on n vertices



for which $k=2$ and (1) gives only $k \leq n$. It seems, therefore, desirable to find an upper estimate, of the character of (1), which is more global in nature, and therefore is less sensitive to the idiosyncrasies of a few uninfluential vertices.

With G we associate the $n \times n$ vertex-adjacency matrix $A = A[G]$, whose i, j entry is 1 if vertices i and j are connected and 0 otherwise. Let $\lambda = \lambda[G] = \lambda_{\max}(A)$ denote the largest eigenvalue of A .

THEOREM. *We have*

$$k \leq 1 + \lambda \quad (3)$$

with equality if and only if G is a complete graph or an odd circuit.

Remark. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem, $\lambda \leq \max_{v \in G} \rho(v)$, always, so (3) is never inferior to (1). For the graph (2), (3) gives $k = O(\sqrt{n})$.

Proof of the theorem. Let the chromatic number of G be k . It may be that we can remove a vertex and all edges incident to that vertex from G without lowering the chromatic number. We do this repeatedly, if possible, until a *critical graph* [2] results, i.e., a graph such that the removal of any star lowers the chromatic number. Let this critical graph be called G_c , and suppose it has $m \leq n$ vertices. Consider the following three matrices: $A[G_c]$, the $m \times m$ adjacency matrix of G_c ; A' , the $n \times n$ matrix obtained

Received 27 October, 1965.

from $A[G]$ by replacing the deleted-vertex rows and columns by zeros; $A[G]$ itself. We have

$$\lambda[G_c] = \lambda_{\max}(A') \leq \lambda[G] \equiv \lambda \tag{4}$$

the first equality being obvious, and the inequality following from the entry-by-entry domination of $A[G]$ over A' .

On the other hand, it is well-known (and indeed, clear) that in a k -chromatic *critical* graph the degree of each vertex is at least $k-1$, and by well-known results about matrices with non-negative elements,

$$\lambda[G_c] \geq k-1 \tag{5}$$

since the smallest row sum in $A[G_c]$ is $\geq k-1$, proving (3).

Now suppose $k = 1 + \lambda$. Then equality holds in (5), so all the row sums of $G[G_c]$ are equal to $k-1$. Suppose $k > 2$. By the theorem of Brooks referred to above, we have equality in (1), and so G_c is a complete graph on k vertices. Hence, after renumbering the vertices, if necessary, $A[G]$ can be brought into the form of an $n \times n$ matrix whose upper left $k \times k$ block is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the n -vector $x = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \epsilon, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ whose $(k-1)$ -st component is $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$\lambda \geq \frac{(x, A[G]x)}{(x, x)} \geq \frac{k(k-1) - 2\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^k a_{j,k+1} + O(\epsilon^2)}{k - \epsilon^2}$$

which is $> k-1$, a contradiction, unless $a_{j,k+1} = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$). Moving the ϵ to a different position in x , we conclude that $a_{jr} = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$; $r = k+1, \dots, n$), hence G is disconnected, a contradiction, and so $n = k$. The case $k = 2$ can be handled similarly.

COROLLARY. *Let G have E edges and n vertices. Then*

$$k \leq \left\lceil 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) E \right\rceil + 1$$

with equality only for complete graphs.

Proof. If $\sum \lambda_i = 0$, then

$$\max_i \lambda_i \leq \left\lceil \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \right\rceil$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\max}(A) &\leq \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \text{Trace}(A^2) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\{ 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) E \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

References

1. R. L. Brooks, "On coloring the nodes of a network", *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **37** (1941), 194-197.
2. G. A. Dirac, "Note on the colouring of graphs", *Math. Zeitschrift*, **54** (1951), 347-353.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia 19104.