

MATH 602 EXERCISE SET 2, FALL 2016

1. Let D be a Dedekind domain and let K be the field of fractions of D . For every non-zero maximal ideal \wp of D , let D_\wp be the localization of D at \wp , let $\hat{D}_\wp = \varprojlim_n D/\wp^n$ be the \wp -adic completion of D , and let \hat{K}_\wp be the field of fractions of \hat{D}_\wp .

(a) Show that \hat{D}_\wp is naturally isomorphic to the completion of the discrete valuation ring D_\wp for every non-zero maximal \wp of D .

(b) Let I, J be two non-zero D -submodules of K . Show that the following statements are equivalent.

(b1) $I \subseteq J$

(b2) $I \cdot D_\wp \subseteq J \cdot D_\wp$ as D_\wp -submodules of K , for every maximal ideal \wp of D .

(b3) $I \cdot \hat{D}_\wp \subseteq J \cdot \hat{D}_\wp$ as \hat{D}_\wp -submodules of \hat{K}_\wp , for every maximal ideal \wp of D . Here $I \cdot \hat{D}_\wp$ is the \hat{D}_\wp -submodule of \hat{K}_\wp generated by I ; similarly for $J \cdot \hat{D}_\wp$.

2. (a) Is there a field automorphism of \mathbb{R} whose restriction to $\mathbb{R} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a non-trivial field automorphism of $\mathbb{R} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$? Either give a proof or a counter-example.

(b) Is there a field automorphism of \mathbb{Q}_p whose restriction to $\mathbb{Q}_p \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a non-trivial field automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_p \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$? Either give a proof or a counter-example. (Please examine the logic of your proof carefully. I have experience many a purported (but circular) proof for this problem.)

3. (a) Determine the ring of integers of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$.

(b) Determine the ring of integers of the number field $\mathbb{Q}[T]/(T^3 + T + 1)$.

(c) Determine the ring of integers of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}/4})$.

(d) Compute the discriminants of the above number fields.

[Note: You might want also to do the same thing for all quadratic fields. This is treated in many textbooks in number theory, and also in many textbooks in algebra.]

4. Explicitly describe/determine the group $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$, where p is a prime number.

[Your answer will depend on the parity of p .]

5. (This problem is a summary of a few basic properties of the conductor of an order of the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_L of a number field L with respect to a subfield K .)

Let A be a Dedekind domain and let K be the fraction field of A . Let L be a finite separable extension of K and let B be the integral closure of A in L . Let \mathcal{O} be an *order* in B , i.e. \mathcal{O} is a subring of B which contains A and \mathcal{O} contains a K -basis of L . (Consequently B/\mathcal{O} is an A -module of finite length.) Let

$$\mathfrak{c}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x \in L \mid x \cdot B \subseteq \mathcal{O}\},$$

the conductor of the order \mathcal{O} , which was written as $(\mathcal{O} : B)$ in class. Let

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1}(B/A) = \{x \in L \mid \text{Tr}_{L/K}(x \cdot B) \subset A\},$$

the inverse different of B/A . Let

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}/A) = \{x \in L \mid \text{Tr}_{L/K}(x \cdot \mathcal{O}) \subset A\}.$$

(a) Show that $\mathfrak{c}(\mathcal{O})$ is the largest ideal of B which is contained in \mathcal{O} . (This was given in class as an exercise.)

(b) Prove that

$$\mathfrak{c}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x \in L \mid x \cdot \mathcal{D}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}/A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{-1}(B/A)\}.$$

(c) Suppose that $\alpha \in B$ is an element of B such that $L = K(\alpha)$ and let $f(T)$ be the minimal polynomial of α over K . Show that

$$\mathfrak{c}(A[\alpha]) = f'(\alpha) \cdot \mathcal{D}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}/A).$$

Note that this property implies the following.

- If $B = A[\alpha]$, then $\mathcal{D}(B/A)$ is equal to $f'(\alpha)B$.
- $\mathfrak{c}(A[\alpha]) \supset f'(\alpha)B$. In particular the localization $A[\alpha]$ at all prime ideals of B relatively prime to $f'(\alpha)$ is equal to B . Among other things this gives a lower bound of $A[\alpha]$, and reduces the computation of B to a finite number of local problems.

6. Formulate and prove a generalization of Hensel's Lemma in more than one variables.

(Your answer should specialize to the one-variable version given in class. For some reason most textbooks treatment for general Hensel's Lemma only covers the weaker/simpler case when the Jacobian determinant is a unit. You are asked to do better, i.e. the Jacobian determinant is non-zero but not necessarily a unit in the local field in question.)