

## Reg 1

Def 1 A commutative ring  $A$  is normal if  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an integral domain which is integrally closed in  $\text{frac}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$   $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$

Def 2(a) Let  $A \subseteq B$  be commutative rings, and let  $u \in B$

We say that  $u$  is integral over  $A$  if  $\exists$  a monic polynomial  $f(X) \in A[X]$  s.t.  $f(u) = 0$ , or equivalently,  $\exists$  a finite  $A$ -submodule  $M \subseteq B$  such that  $u \cdot M \subseteq M$

Def 2(b) Let  $A$  be a commutative ring, and let  $B = \text{frac}(A) = \text{total ring of fractions of } A$ . An element  $u \in B$  is almost integral over  $A$  if  $\exists$  a non-zero divisor  $a \in A$  s.t.  $a \cdot A[u] \subseteq A$ . In other words  $(A : A[u])$  contains a non-zero divisor of  $A$

Note: (i)  $u \in \text{frac}(A)$  is integral  $\Rightarrow u$  is almost integral

(ii)  $A$  Noetherian  $\Rightarrow$  (almost integral  $\Rightarrow$  integral)

Def 2(c) (i) A commutative ring is normal if  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a local domain integrally closed in  $\text{frac}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$   $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ .

(ii) A commutative ring  $A$  is completely normal if  $A$  contains every element  $u \in \text{frac}(A)$  which is almost integral over  $A$

Prop 3 (1) A completely normal domain  $\Rightarrow$  so are  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $A[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}]$

(2) A normal domain  $\Rightarrow$  so is  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Pf (1) A completely normal domain. Consider  $A[X]$ . Let  $K = \text{frac}(A)$ .

$u \in K(x)$  almost integral over  $A[x] \Rightarrow u \in K[x]$ , and the leading coeff of  $u$  is almost integral over  $A$

The same argument works for  $A[[X]] \Rightarrow$  The leading coeff of  $u$  is in  $A$ , etc.

## Reg 2

(2) Suppose that  $A$  is a normal domain. Given  $u \in K(X)$ , integral over  $A[X]$ .  
 Write  $u = \frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$  and let  $A_0 =$  the subring of  $A$  generated by coefficients of  $f(X)$  and  $g(X)$ .  
 $f(X), g(X) \in A[X]$   $\xrightarrow{\text{Noetherian}}$

The argument in (1) shows that:

- $u \in K[X]$ , and all coefficients  $b_j$  of  $u$  are almost integral over  $A_0$ .  
 $\Rightarrow b_j X^d + \dots + b_0, b_j \in K$   $A_0$  Noetherian  $\Rightarrow b_j$  is integral over  $A_0 \forall j$   
 $\Rightarrow b_j \in A \quad \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, d$  QED

Recall / Def<sup>n</sup>:

$\forall$  ideal  $J \subseteq A$ ,  $\text{comm. ring}$  Consider the family  $\{I \mid I \subseteq A \text{ ideal of } A/J, I \subseteq Z(A/J) = \text{zero divisors of } A/J\} =: \mathcal{F}_{A/J}$   
 $\Rightarrow Z(A/J) = \bigcup$  maximal elements  $P$  in  $\mathcal{F}$   
 and every maximal element of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a prime ideal of  $A$

Prop 4 Let  $A$  be a commutative ring.

Then  $A = \left\{ y \in \text{frac}(A) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{the image of } y \text{ in } \text{frac}(A_{\mathfrak{P}}) \text{ lies in } A_{\mathfrak{P}} \\ \forall \text{ max. element } \mathfrak{P} \text{ contained in } Z(A/sA) \\ s = \text{a non-zero divisor in } A \end{array} \right\}$   
 total ring of fractions of  $A$

Symbolically,

$$A = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{P}} A_{\mathfrak{P}}, \quad \mathfrak{P} \text{ runs through all prime ideals s.t. } \exists s \in A, s \text{ not a zero divisor and } \mathfrak{P} \text{ is a maximal element of the family } \mathcal{F}_{A/sA}$$

Pf. Suppose that  $y = \frac{a}{s} \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{P}} A_{\mathfrak{P}}, \quad 0 \neq a \in A, s = \text{not a zero divisor of } A$

Let  $I := (sA : aA)$  Suppose that  $y \notin A$ , i.e.  $I \neq A \Leftrightarrow a \notin sA$

$\Rightarrow I \subseteq Z(A/sA)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a maximal element

among the family of ideals  $I'$  s.t.  $I \subseteq I' \subseteq Z(A/sA)$ .

Know: The image of  $y$  in  $\text{frac}(A_{\mathfrak{P}})$  is in  $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$ , i.e.  $\exists t \notin \mathfrak{P}, b \in A$

s.t.  $ta = sb \Rightarrow t \in I \Rightarrow t \in \mathfrak{P}$ , a contradiction

$I \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  and  $I$

$\bigcap_{\mathfrak{P}}$

q.e.d.

### Reg 3

Rmk: (a) Prop 4 is often statement in the case when  $A$  is a domain (and also Noetherian)

(b) There are two generalizations of the notion of associated primes to non-Noetherian case. In prop 4 we used the maximal prime ideals contained in  $Z(A/sA)$ ,  $s$ : non-zero-divisor in  $A$ . Nagata's approach/def<sup>n</sup> of "prime divisors", denoted by  $\text{Ass}_f(A/IA)$  in Exercise set 3, is based on this consideration.

(Recall) Prop 5. Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring. Then  $A$  is a regular local ring iff it is normal

Lemma 6  <sup>$A$  Noetherian domain</sup>  $A \ni a \neq 0, \mathfrak{P} \in \text{Ass}_f(A/aA)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}^{-1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \text{frac}(A) \mid x \cdot \mathfrak{P} \subseteq A\} \neq A$   
 pf.  $\exists b \in A, aA$  s.t.  $(aA : bA) = \mathfrak{P} \leadsto \frac{b}{a} \in \mathfrak{P}^{-1}$  q.e.d.

Lemma 7  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ : Noeth local domain. Assume that  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}^{-1} = A$  <sup>(b)</sup>

Then  $A$  is regular of  $\dim = 1$ ; i.e.  $A$  is a DVR

pf: Pick  $a \in \mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathfrak{m}^2$ , Consider  $a \cdot \mathfrak{P}^{-1} \subseteq A \leadsto a \cdot \mathfrak{P}^{-1} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ , for otherwise  
 i.e.  $a \cdot \mathfrak{P}^{-1} = A \Rightarrow aA = \mathfrak{P}$ . q.e.d.  $a \cdot \mathfrak{P}^{-1} \mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}^2$   
 $\stackrel{a}{\parallel} A$

Prop 8 Let  $A$  be a Noetherian normal domain. Then every non-zero principal ideal is unmixed, <sup>(a)</sup> (b)  $A = \bigcap_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{P})=1} A_{\mathfrak{P}}$  (c)  $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is CM  $\forall \mathfrak{P}$  with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{P}) \leq 2$

pf: <sup>(a)</sup> Consider  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Ass}(A/aA)$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . May and do assume:  $A$  is local

By Lemma 6,  $\mathfrak{P}^{-1} \neq A$  Claim:  $\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{P}^{-1} = A$ . Otherwise  $\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{P}^{-1} = \mathfrak{P}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{P} (\mathfrak{P}^{-1})^n = \mathfrak{P} \forall n \Rightarrow$  Every element of  $\mathfrak{P}^{-1}$  is integral over  $A$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{P}^{-1} \subseteq A$ , contradiction Claim proved

Lemma 7  $\Rightarrow \text{ht}(\mathfrak{P}) = 1, \forall \mathfrak{P} \in \text{Ass}(A/aA)$ . Have proved (a)

(b) Follows from (a) and Prop 4

(c) also follows.

QED.

## Reg 4

Def 9 Conditions  $(S_i)$  and  $(R_i)$  for a commutative ring  $A$   $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(S_i) = \text{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \inf(i, \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})) \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$$

$$(R_i) = A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is regular } \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \text{ with } \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq i$$

Remark:  $(S_0)$  holds always,  $(S_1)$  means:  $\text{Ass}_A(0) = \{\text{minimal ideals of } A\}$

$(S_2)$  means:  $\text{Ass}_A(0)$  has no embedded primes and  $\text{Ass}_A(A/aA)$  has no embedded primes for every non-zero-divisor  $a$  in  $A$

$$(S_0) + (R_0) \Leftrightarrow A \text{ is reduced}$$

Thm 10 A Noetherian ring  $A$  is normal iff it satisfies  $(S_2)$  and  $(R_1)$

Pf "only if": Prop 5  $\Rightarrow (R_1)$  Prop 8  $\Rightarrow (S_2)$

"if": Let  $\text{frac}(A) = \text{total ring of fractions of } A \cong K_1 \times \dots \times K_m$   $K_i = \text{fields}$

Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m$  be the minimal ideals of  $A$   $\because A$  is reduced

$$(0) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_m \quad A \hookrightarrow (A/\mathfrak{p}_1) \times \dots \times (A/\mathfrak{p}_m) \quad K_i = \text{frac}(A/\mathfrak{p}_i)$$

Claim:  $A$  is integrally closed in  $K_1 \times \dots \times K_m$

$$\text{Claim} \Rightarrow e_i \in A \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow A_i := A \cdot e_i \subseteq A \quad \forall i \text{ and } A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_m$$

$$e_i = i\text{-th idempotents}$$

$$\sum_i e_i = 1, \quad e_i \cdot e_j = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $\frac{a}{b} \in \text{frac}(A)$ ,  $a, b \in A$ ,  $b$  is a non-zero-divisor

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^n + c_{n-1} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + c_1 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right) + c_0 = 0 \quad c_{n-1}, \dots, c_0 \in A$$

$$\text{i.e. } a^n + c_{n-1} a^{n-1} b + \dots + c_1 a b^{n-1} + c_0 b^n = 0$$

$A$  is  $S_2$ ,  $A = \bigcap_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$

Suffices to show:  $a \in b A_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ . But  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR by  $(R_2)$ .

QED.

## Reg 5

Prop 11 Let  $A$  be a Noetherian regular ring, i.e.  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular local ring  $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ . Then  $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is regular  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

pf May assume  $A$  is local with max ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$

$\mathfrak{P}$  = a prime ideal of  $A[X] = B$   $\mathfrak{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{m}$   $\mathfrak{m} = x_1 A + \dots + x_d A$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$

Must show:  $B_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is regular

Two cases (a)  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{m}B$  (b)  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{m}B + f(X)B$ ,  $f(X)$  monic in  $B = A[X]$

Case (a):  $\mathfrak{P} = x_1 B + \dots + x_d B$   $\dim B_{\mathfrak{P}} = d$

Case (b):  $\mathfrak{P} = x_1 B + \dots + x_d B + f \cdot B$   $\dim B_{\mathfrak{P}} = d+1$  q.e.d.

Recall:  $M$ :  $A$ -module

$\text{proj. dim}_A(M)$  = the length of a shortest projective resolution of  $M$

$\text{inj. dim}_A(M)$  = the length of a shortest injective resolution of  $M$

Lemma/Fact 12. (a)  $M$  is projective  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(M, N) = 0 \ \forall A$ -module  $N$

(b)  $M$  is injective  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(A/I, M) = 0 \ \forall$  ideal  $I \subseteq A$

Lemma/Fact 13 The following statements for a commutative ring  $A$  and an integer  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  are equivalent

(i)  $\text{proj. dim}_A(M) \leq n \ \forall A$ -module  $M$

(ii)  $\text{proj. dim}_A(M) \leq n \ \forall$  finite  $A$ -module  $M$

(iii)  $\text{inj. dim}_A(M) \leq n \ \forall A$ -module  $M$

(iv)  $\text{Ext}_A^{n+1}(M, N) = 0 \ \forall A$ -modules  $M, N$

Def<sup>n</sup>: The global dimension of  $A$  is

$$\text{gl. dim}(A) = \sup_M (\text{proj. dim}(M)) = \sup_M (\text{inj. dim}(M))$$

$\uparrow$   
 $A$ -module

Cor / Fact 14 A finite module  $M$  over a Noetherian ring  $A$  is projective iff  $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, N) = 0 \ \forall$  finite  $A$ -module  $N$

Reg 6

Lemma / Fact 15  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  Noetherian local,  $\kappa = A/\mathfrak{m}$ .

$M$ : finite  $A$ -module. Then

$$\text{proj. dim}_A(M) \leq n \iff \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \kappa) = 0$$

pf. " $\Rightarrow$ ": obvious

" $\Leftarrow$ " Key case:  $n=0$ . So assume  $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, \kappa) = 0$ . Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Tor}_1^A(M, \kappa) & \rightarrow & M \otimes_A \kappa & \rightarrow & L \otimes_A \kappa & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & M \otimes_A \kappa \rightarrow 0 \\ \parallel & & \Rightarrow M \otimes_A \kappa = 0 & \Rightarrow & M_1 = 0 & & \\ 0 & & & & & & \end{array}$$

$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{u} M \rightarrow 0$   
 Consider  $L/\mathfrak{m}L \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} M/\mathfrak{m}M$   
 finite free st.

q.e.d.

Cor / Exer. 16  $A$  Noetherian

(1)  $\text{proj. dim}_A(M) \leq n \iff \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, A/\mathfrak{p}) = 0 \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A), M: A\text{-module}$

(2) The following are equivalent

(i)  $\text{gl. dim}(A) \leq n$

(ii)  $\text{proj. dim}_A(N) \leq n \quad \forall$  finite  $A$ -module  $N$

(iii)  $\text{inj. dim}_A(N) \leq n \quad \forall$  finite  $A$ -module  $N$

(iv)  $\text{Ext}_A^{n+1}(N, N') = 0 \quad \forall$  finite  $A$ -modules  $N, N'$

(v)  $\text{Tor}_A^{n+1}(N, N') = 0 \quad \forall$  finite  $A$ -modules  $N, N'$

(3)  $\text{gl. dim}(A) = \sup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)} \text{gl. dim}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$

Prop. 17  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  Noetherian local,  $\kappa = A/\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{gl. dim}(A) \leq n \iff \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(\kappa, \kappa) = 0$$

pf. " $\Leftarrow$ ":  $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(\kappa, \kappa) = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 15}} \text{proj. dim}_A(\kappa) \leq n \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 15}} \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, \kappa) = 0 \quad \forall A\text{-module } M$

$\text{gl. dim}(A) \leq n \xleftarrow{\text{Cor 16}} \text{proj. dim}_A(M) \leq n \quad \forall$  finite  $A$ -module  $M$

Lemma 18  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  Noeth. local,  $\kappa = A/\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $M$ : finite  $A$ -module

If  $\text{proj. dim}(M) = m < \infty$ , and  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  is  $M$ -regular, then  $\text{proj. dim}_x(M/xM) = m+1$

pf.  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0 \rightsquigarrow \text{Tor}_{m+1}^A(M/xM, \kappa) \cong \text{Tor}_m^A(M, \kappa) \neq 0$

and  $\text{Tor}_i^A(M/xM, \kappa) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq m+2$ . q.e.d.

# Reg 7

Prop. 19 (Auslander-Buchsbaum)  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  Noetherian local ring,  $\kappa = A/\mathfrak{m}$

$M$ : finite  $A$ -module. Assume  $\text{proj dim}_A(M) < \infty$ . Then  
 $\text{proj dim}(M) + \text{depth}(M) = \text{depth}(A)$

pf: Induction on  $h = \text{proj dim}(M)$

Case  $h=0$  obvious

Key case:  $h=1$ .  $\Rightarrow$  Have a minimal free resolution  $L_1 \cong A^r, L_2 \cong A^s$   
 $r, s \geq 1$

$$0 \rightarrow L_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} L_0 \xrightarrow{u} M \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{st. } \alpha \in \mathfrak{m} \cdot \text{Hom}_A(L_1, L_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\kappa, L_1) \xrightarrow{0} \text{Hom}_A(\kappa, L_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\kappa, M) \xrightarrow{0} \text{Ext}_A^1(\kappa, L_0) \rightarrow \dots \\ \text{and } u \otimes \kappa: L_0 \otimes_A \kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} L_1 \otimes_A \kappa \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\kappa, L_1) = 0, \text{ i.e. } \text{Hom}_A(\kappa, A) = 0,$$

$$\text{and } 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(\kappa, A)^s \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(\kappa, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(\kappa, A)^r \rightarrow 0$$

$\forall i \geq 0$ .

$\Rightarrow \text{depth}(A) = \text{depth}(M) + 1$ . This is the equality when  $\text{proj dim}(M) = 1$  <sup>asserted</sup>

Case  $h \geq 2$ : Take a minimal resolution of  $M$ , and reduce to the

case when  $\text{proj dim}(N) = \text{proj dim}(M) - 1 \geq 1$

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

$\uparrow$   
finite free

$$\Rightarrow \text{depth}(N) = \text{depth}(A) - \text{proj dim}(N)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{depth}(N) = \text{depth}(M) + 1$$

QED

## Reg 8

Prop. 20  $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$  Noetherian reg. local ring of dimension  $d$ . Then  $\text{gl. dim}(A) = d$

Pf. Lemma 18  $\Rightarrow \text{proj dim}_A(\kappa) = d \xrightarrow{\text{Prop 17}} \text{gl. dim}(A) = d$  q.e.d

Cor 21 (Hilbert syzygy theorem, homological proof)

$k$ : field  $\sim \text{gl. dim } k[X_1, \dots, X_n] = n$

(Immediate from Prop. 11, Cor 16 and Prop 20)

The converse of Prop. 20 holds (Serre's Theorem): If  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Noeth. local ring with finite global dim, then  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is regular.

Def 22 (Koszul complex) Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$

(i)  $K_*(x_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (0 \rightarrow A \cdot e_i \xrightarrow{x_i} A \rightarrow 0)$  a chain complex of length 1

(ii)  $K_*(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = K_*(x_1) \otimes_A \dots \otimes_A K_*(x_n)$  tensor product of chain complexes

(iii)  $K_*(x, M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_*(x) \otimes_A M$  thought of as a chain complex of length 0 concentrated at degree 0

(iv) Notation:  $L = A \cdot e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A \cdot e_n$  free  $A$ -module with basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$

$$K_p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigwedge_A^p(L) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_p) \\ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \leq n}} A \cdot e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}$$

$$d(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}) = \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r-1} x_{i_r} \cdot e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}$$

Lemma 23  $C$ : a chain complex of  $A$ -modules,  $x \in A$ .  $K(x) = (0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{x} A \rightarrow 0)$

(1) We have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{i+1}(C) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(C \otimes_A K(x)) \rightarrow H_i(C) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)x} H_i(C) \rightarrow H_i(C \otimes_A K(x)) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow H_1(C) \rightarrow H_1(C \otimes_A K(x)) \rightarrow H_0(C) \xrightarrow{x} H_0(C) \rightarrow H_0(C \otimes_A K(x)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$



## Reg 9

Lemma 23

(2) If  $C.$  is acyclic, i.e.  $H_i(C.) = 0 \ \forall i \geq 1$ , then  $H_i(C. \otimes_A K(x)) = 0 \ \forall i \geq 2$ , and we have a 4-term exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(C. \otimes_A K(x)) \rightarrow H_0(C.) \xrightarrow{x} H_0(C.) \rightarrow H_0(C. \otimes_A K(x)) \rightarrow 0$$

In particular, if  $x$  is  $H_0(C.)$ -regular, then  $C. \otimes_A K(x)$  is acyclic.

Pf.

$$0 \rightarrow C. \rightarrow C.(x) \rightarrow C.[1] \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{where } (C.[1])_i = \begin{cases} C_{i-1} & \text{if } i \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } d_i^{C.[1]} = d_{i-1}^{C.} \quad \forall i \geq 1 \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Prop. 24 If  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an  $M$ -regular sequence of an  $A$ -module  $M$ ,  
 Then  $H_i(K.(x) \otimes_A M) = 0 \ \forall i \geq 1$  and  $H_0(K.(x) \otimes_A M) = M / (x_1 M + \dots + x_n M)$ .  
 $x_i \in A \ \forall i = 1, \dots, n$

Lemma 25 Let  $L. \rightarrow M = (\dots \rightarrow L_i \xrightarrow{d_i} L_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} L_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M)$  be a minimal resolution of a finite module  $M$  over a Noetherian local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$   
 $K = A/\mathfrak{m}$

Let  $F. = (\dots \rightarrow F_i \xrightarrow{d'_i} F_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{d'_1} F_0)$  be an augmented complex

s.t. (a)  $F_i$  is finite free  $\forall i$

(b)  $\varepsilon'_0 \otimes \kappa: F_0 \otimes_A \kappa \rightarrow M \otimes_A \kappa$  is injective

(c)  $d'_i(F_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{m} F_{i-1} \ \forall i \geq 1$ , and  $d'_i$  induces an injection

$$(F_i \otimes_A \kappa) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) \otimes_A F_{i-1} \quad \forall i \geq 1$$

Then  $\exists$  a homomorphism of augmented complexes  $F. \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} L.$

such that  $\alpha_i$  is injective and  $\alpha_i(F_i)$  is an  $A$ -direct summand of  $L_i$

$\forall i \geq 0$ . In particular

$$\text{rank}_A(F_i) \leq \text{rank}_A(L_i) = \dim_{\kappa} (\text{Tor}_i^A(M, \kappa)) \quad \forall i \geq 0$$

Reg 10

Pf of Lemma 25. The existence of  $\alpha$  follows from the exactness of  $F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0$ .

The assumption (b), (c) + easy induction shows that

$$f_i \otimes_A \kappa : F_i \otimes_A \kappa \rightarrow L_i \otimes_A \kappa$$

is injective  $\forall i \geq 0$ . q.e.d.

Prop 26  $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$  Noetherian local ring.  $d = \dim_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$

Then  $\dim_{\kappa} \text{Tor}_i^A(\kappa, \kappa) \geq \binom{d}{i} \quad \forall i=0, 1, \dots, d$

pf: Apply Lemma 25, with  $F = K(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ ,

where  $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m}$  s.t.  $(x_i \bmod \mathfrak{m}^2)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$  form a  $\kappa$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . q.e.d.

Theorem 27 (Serre) A Noetherian local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$  is regular

iff  $\text{gl. dim}(A) < \infty$ . (And if so,  $\text{gl. dim}(A) = \dim(A) = \dim_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ )

pf: Only need to prove "if" Let  $d = \dim_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ .

Prop 26  $\Rightarrow \text{Tor}_d^A(\kappa, \kappa) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{gl. dim}(A) \geq d$

Auslander-Buchsbaum  $\Rightarrow \text{gl. dim}(A) = \text{proj dim}_A(\kappa) = \text{depth}(A)$   
+ Prop 17

$\Rightarrow \dim(A) \leq \dim_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) \leq \text{gl. dim}(A) = \text{depth}(A) \leq \dim(A)$  QED

Cor.  $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$  Noeth. regular local  $\Rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{f}}$  is a regular local ring  $\forall \mathfrak{f} \in \text{Spec}(A)$