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#### Abstract

We provide a uniform bound for the index of cohomology classes in $H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ when $F$ is a semiglobal field (i.e., a one-variable function field over a complete discretely valued field $K$ ). The bound is given in terms of the analogous data for the residue field of $K$ and its finitely generated extensions of transcendence degree at most one. We also obtain analogous bounds for collections of cohomology classes. Our results provide recursive formulas for function fields over higher rank complete discretely valued fields, and explicit bounds in some cases when the information on the residue field is known. In the process, we prove a splitting result for cohomology classes of degree 3 in the context of surfaces over finite fields.


## 1. Introduction

It is classical that the index of a central simple algebra over a global field $F$ is equal to its period as an element of the Brauer group. In terms of Galois cohomology, this says that any element of $H^{2}\left(F, \mu_{n}\right)$ is split by an extension of degree $n$ over $F$. The corresponding assertion does not generally hold for other fields $F$, though the period always divides the index, and the index always divides some power of the period ( Pie82], Proposition 14.4(b)(ii)). In [Sal97] (see also [Sal98]), it was shown that for a one-variable function field] $F$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, the index divides the square of the period, provided that the period is prime to $p$.

More generally, given a field $F$, one can ask if there is a uniform bound on the index in terms of the period, that is, whether there is an integer $d$ such that the index of every central simple $F$-algebra divides the $d$-th power of its period. Starting with [CT01, page 12] (see also [Lie08]), the idea has emerged that for large classes of fields, such a uniform bound $d$ should exists, and that it should increase by one upon passage to one-variable function fields. So far, there have been a number of results giving such bounds and giving evidence for this idea. In the case that $F$ is a one-variable function field over a complete discretely valued field with residue field $k$, and the period is prime to $\operatorname{char}(k)$, such a bound $d$ for $F$ was found in [Liell] and [HHK09] in terms of the corresponding bounds for fields that are extensions of $k$ that are either finite or finitely generated of transcendence degree one. This generalized [Sa197]. More recently, for such a field $F$, a bound was found for a "simultaneous index" in [Gos19]; i.e., for the degree of an extension of $F$ that simultaneously splits an arbitrary finite set of $\ell$-torsion Brauer classes over $F$, for a given prime $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$.

[^0]In this paper, we focus on higher degree Galois cohomology groups $H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{n}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$, for $i>2$. These higher cohomology groups have already been the subject of much investigation from various perspectives. We note in particular that in [Kat86] these were viewed in certain contexts as generalizations of the $n$-torsion subgroup $H^{2}\left(F, \mu_{n}\right)$ of the Brauer group $\operatorname{Br}(F)$ for $F$ a higher dimensional local or global field. However, much less is known in general about uniform period-index bounds for these groups; and although some conjectures have been made (see for example [Kra16, Conjecture 1, page 997]), supporting evidence has been difficult to obtain. Some important progress has been made in the case of degree 3 cohomology, showing that period and index coincide in the case of function fields of $p$-adic curves ([РS98]), function fields of surfaces over finite fields ([PS16]), and more recently for function fields of curves over imaginary number fields [Sur20]. Motivated by Kato's work, by the results on Brauer groups, as well as these results for degree 3 cohomology, in this paper we study the problem of bounding the index of a class in $H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ in terms of its period $\ell$, where $F$ is a one-variable function field over a complete discretely valued field $K$ with residue field $k$; and more generally bounding the minimal degree of an extension of $F$ that simultaneously splits finitely many such classes. Namely, we define $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$, called the stable $i$-splitting dimension at $\ell$ of $F$, to be the minimal $d$ such that for all finite extensions $L / F$, and for all $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$, $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha)$ divides $\ell^{d}$. We similarly define the generalized stable $i$-splitting dimension at $\ell$ of $F$ to be an analogous quantity $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ for the simultaneous splitting of finite sets of elements $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$. In Theorem 2.9, we show the following generalization of the main theorem in [Gos19]:

Theorem. In the above situation, $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon=2$ if $\ell$ is odd and $\varepsilon=3$ if $\ell=2$. The analogous bound also holds for $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$. Here $i$ is any positive integer.

Our approach first reduces to the case of unramified classes using a splitting result of [Gos19]. The proof in the unramified case relies on patching over fields, a framework introduced in [HH10] (which was also used in [HHK09] and [Gos19]). In particular, it relies on a local-global principle for Galois cohomology from [HHK14]. In the case when $i=2$, i.e., when considering classes in the Brauer group, our bound agrees with that given in [Gos19] for collections of Brauer classes, but it is weaker than the bound given in [HHK09] for a single Brauer class. The main theorem implies recursive bounds for function fields over higher rank complete discretely valued fields. In the final section of this paper, we apply our results in specific situations to obtain explicit numerical bounds for $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ and $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$. These bounds give information on degree 3 and higher cohomology classes, in cases when the information on the Brauer group is not sufficient to obtain bounds with prior methods. For example, if $F$ is a one-variable function field over a complete discretely valued field whose residue field is a global function field and $\ell$ is odd, then $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F)$ is at most 3 ; see Proposition 8.4. In order to obtain these numerical bounds, we prove a splitting result for surfaces over a finite field (Theorem 7.9), which should be of independent interest. Both the splitting result and the applications build on work of Kato (see [Kat86]).

We thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments that led to improvements and to simplifications of some of the arguments.

## 2. Uniform bounds for cohomology classes

In this section, we define quantities that bound the degree of extensions needed to split a cohomology class, or a finite collections of such classes.

Definition 2.1. Let $F$ be a field, and fix a prime $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(F)$ and a positive integer $i$. A field extension $L / F$ is called a splitting field for a class $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$, if the image $\alpha_{L}$ of $\alpha$ under the natural map $H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ is trivial. In that case, we also say that $\alpha$ splits over L. Similarly, if $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ is a collection of elements, we say that a field extension $L / F$ is a splitting field for $B$ if it is splitting field for each element of $B$.

The index of a class $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$, denoted by ind $(\alpha)$, is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of splitting fields of $\alpha$ that are finite over $F$. Similarly, the index of a subset $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of splitting fields of $B$ that are finite over $F$.

Remark 2.2. We will frequently use that if $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ and $E / F$ is a finite field extension of degree prime to $\ell$ such that $\alpha_{E}$ is trivial, then $\alpha$ is trivial, by a standard restrictioncorestriction argument (using that the composition of restriction and corestriction is multiplication by the degree).

Lemma 2.3. For $F$ a field, $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(F)$ a prime, and i a positive integer, let $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$. Then there exists a splitting field $L / F$ so that $[L: F]$ is a power of $\ell$. In particular, the index of $\alpha$ is a power of $\ell$. More generally, the index of a finite subset $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ is a power of $\ell$.

Proof. Let $\rho$ be a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity, and let $\widetilde{F}:=F(\rho)$. By the Bloch-Kato conjecture/norm residue isomorphism theorem ([Voell, Theorem 6.16]; see also [Wei09]), $\alpha_{\tilde{F}} \in$ $H^{i}\left(\widetilde{F}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \cong H^{i}\left(\widetilde{F}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i}\right)$ may be written as a sum of symbols. That is, $\alpha_{\widetilde{F}}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}$, where $\beta_{j}=\left(b_{j 1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup\left(b_{j i}\right)$ for elements $b_{j k} \in \widetilde{F}^{\times}$; here for $b \in \widetilde{F}^{\times}$, (b) denotes the class in $H^{1}\left(\widetilde{F}, \mu_{\ell}\right) \cong \widetilde{F}^{\times} /\left(\widetilde{F}^{\times}\right)^{\ell}$. It then follows that $E:=\widetilde{F}\left(\sqrt[\ell]{b_{11}}, \ldots, \sqrt[\ell]{b_{m 1}}\right)$ is a splitting field for $\alpha$ (see also [Kra16], Remark 2.3). Let $\widetilde{E}$ be the Galois closure of $E / F$. Note that $\widetilde{E} / \widetilde{F}$ is a compositum of cyclic (Galois) extensions of prime degree $\ell$ (viz., those obtained by adjoining $\ell$-th roots of the $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{F} / F)$-conjugates of the elements $\left.b_{j k}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{E} / \widetilde{F})$ is a subdirect product of cyclic groups of order $\ell$ (see, e.g. [DF91], Chap. 14, Proposition 21). By induction, one checks that such a subdirect product is in fact a direct product of cyclic groups of order $\ell$, using that for $H_{1}$ cyclic of order $\ell$ and $H_{2}$ of $\ell$-power order, $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ is either equal to $H_{1}$ or trivial. Thus $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{E} / \widetilde{F})$ is an (elementary abelian) $\ell$-group. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem ([Zas49], IV.7. Theorem 25; or [Suz82], Chap. 2, Theorem 8.10), $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{E} / F)$ contains a subgroup of $\ell$-power index and order $[\widetilde{F}: F]$ dividing $\ell-1$. Its fixed field is an extension $L / F$ of $\ell$-power order. Since $\widetilde{E} / L$ is of degree prime to $\ell$ and $\widetilde{E}$ is a splitting field of $\alpha$, so is $L$ (Remark 2.2, proving the first assertion. Note that the same argument applies to finite collections of cohomology classes. The statements on the index are immediate consequences.

As a consequence of the above lemma, we can make the following definition.
Definition 2.4. For a prime $\ell$ and a positive integer $i$, we say that the $i$-splitting dimension at $\ell$ of $F$, denoted by $\operatorname{sd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$, is the minimal exponent $n$ so that $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) \mid \ell^{n}$ for all $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$.

We would like to show that the splitting dimension behaves in a controlled way upon finitely generated extensions of certain fields, and with respect to complete fields and their residues. In order to facilitate this, we will use a stronger form of splitting dimension, to require stability under finite extensions. This is analogous to notions introduced for quadratic forms and central simple algebras in [HHK09].

Definition 2.5. Let $i$ be a positive integer. We say that the stable $i$-splitting dimension at $\ell$ of $F$, denoted $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$, is the minimal $n$ so that $\operatorname{sd}_{\ell}^{i}(E) \leqslant n$ for all finite field extensions $E / F$.

In analogy to [Gos19], we also consider collections of cohomology classes.
Definition 2.6. Let $i$ be a positive integer. We define the generalized stable $i$-splitting dimension of a field $F$, denoted by $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$, to be the minimal exponent $n$ so that ind $(B) \mid \ell^{n}$ for all finite field extensions $E / F$ and all finite subsets $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$.

The advantage of the generalized stable splitting dimension is that it provides information about higher degree cohomology groups as well, as in [Gos19, Corollary 1.4].

Proposition 2.7. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic unequal to $\ell$. For all $i \geqslant j \geqslant 1$,

$$
\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{j}(F)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{j}(F)
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ for some finite extension $E$ of $F$ and $i \geqslant j$. By Remark 2.2, we may assume that $E$ contains a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity. We can then use the norm residue isomorphism theorem as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 in order to write $\alpha$ as a finite $\operatorname{sum} \alpha=\sum_{k} \beta_{k} \cup \gamma_{k}$ where $\beta_{k} \in H^{j}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes j-1}\right)=H^{j}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes j}\right)$. By definition, there exists a finite extension $L$ of $E$ such that the $\ell$-adic valuation of $[L: E]$ is at $\operatorname{most}^{\operatorname{gssd}}{ }_{\ell}^{j}(F)$ and such that $L$ splits all $\beta_{k}$ occurring in the sum. But then $L$ splits $\alpha$, and the first claim follows. Note that the same argument applies to finite collections of cohomology classes, hence the second assertion.

The next lemma shows another useful property of the generalized stable splitting dimension.
Lemma 2.8. If $K$ is a complete discretely valued field having residue field $k$ with $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq \ell$, then

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(K) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{j}(k)
$$

for all positive integers $i>j$.
Proof. Since any finite extension of $K$ is of the same form, it suffices to consider classes defined over $K$. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in H^{i}\left(K, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$. By the Witt decomposition theorem ([GS17], Corollary 6.8.8), that cohomology group is isomorphic to $H^{i}\left(k, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \oplus H^{i-1}\left(k, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-2}\right)$, so each $\alpha_{r}$ is of the form $\left(\beta_{r}, \beta_{r}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\beta_{r}, \beta_{r}^{\prime}$ are classes over the residue field of degree $i$ and $i-1$, respectively. As in the proof of Proposition 2.7 above, we may assume that $K$ contains a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity and we may write $\beta_{r}$ and $\beta_{r}^{\prime}$ as sums of terms that are each of the form $\gamma \cup \delta$ where $\gamma \in H^{j}\left(k, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes j-1}\right)$. But then all $\beta_{r}, \beta_{r}^{\prime}$ are split by a finite extension $k^{\prime} / k$ such that the $\ell$-adic valuation of $\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]$ is at $\operatorname{most} \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{j}(k)$. Since $K$ is complete, this extension lifts to a finite extension $K^{\prime} / K$ of the same degree (by applying [SGA71, Théorème I.6.1] to lift the maximal separable subextension, and then iteratively lifting $p$-th roots for the purely inseparable part). This lift then splits $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$, by the Witt decomposition theorem applied to $K^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime}$.

Our main result is the following theorem, which is proven in Section 5

Theorem 2.9. Suppose $k$ is a field and $\ell$ is a prime unequal to the characteristic of $k$. Let $k(x)$ denote the rational function field over $k$ in one variable. Let $K$ be a complete discretely valued field with residue field $k$, and let $F$ be a one-variable function field over $K$. Then for all $i \geqslant 1$,

$$
\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\ 3 & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\ 3 & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases}
$$

The main interest is in the case $i>1$. In fact, $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{1}(F)=1$ and $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{1}(F)=\infty$ for any field $F$ for which $F^{\times} /\left(F^{\times}\right)^{\ell}$ is infinite (in particular, for $F$ as in the theorem). This is because $H^{1}(E, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})=E^{\times} / E^{\times \ell}$ is then infinite for any finite extension $E / F$, and because a non-trivial $\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}$-torsor over $E$ corresponds to a field extension that splits only over itself. For the same reason, a non-trivial class $\alpha \in H^{1}(E, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha)=\ell$.

Even for $i>1$, we do not assert that these bounds are sharp. Nevertheless, in light of this theorem and [HHK09, Theorem 5.5], it is natural to investigate more precisely how these quantities grow. In particular, one might ask whether $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ and $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ are bounded above by $\operatorname{dim}(F)-i+1$ for certain naturally occurring fields $F$; i.e., those obtainable from a prime field by passing iteratively to finite generated field extensions of transcendence degree one over a given field, and to henselian discretely valued fields with a given field as residue field. Here, $\operatorname{dim}(F)$ is defined inductively, with the dimensions of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ set equal to 1 and 2 , and with the dimension increasing by one at each iterative step. But proving such an assertion seems a long way off.

## 3. Preliminaries from Patching

The proof of the main theorem will use the patching framework introduced in [HH10] and [HHK09], which we now recall.

Let $K$ be a complete discretely valued field with residue field $k$, valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, and uniformizer $t$. Let $F$ be a semiglobal field over $K$; i.e., a one-variable function field over $K$. A normal model of $F$ is an integral $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-scheme $\mathscr{X}$ with function field $F$ that is flat and projective over $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ of relative dimension one, and that is normal as a scheme. If $\mathscr{X}$ is regular, we call it a regular model. Such a regular model exists by the main theorem in Lip78] (see also [Sta22], Theorem 0BGP). Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite nonempty set of closed points of $\mathscr{X}$ that contains all the singular points of the reduced closed fiber $\mathscr{X}_{k}^{\text {red }}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the collection of connected components of the complement $\mathscr{X}_{k}^{\text {red }} \backslash \mathcal{P}$.

For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, we consider the ring $R_{U} \subset F$ consisting of the rational functions on $\mathscr{X}$ that are regular at all points of $U$. The $t$-adic completion $\widehat{R}_{U}$ of $R_{U}$ is an $I$-adically complete domain, where $I$ is the radical of the ideal generated by $t$ in $\widehat{R}_{U}$. The quotient $\widehat{R}_{U} / I$ equals $k[U]$, the ring of regular functions on the integral affine curve $U$. We write $F_{U}$ for the field of fractions of $\widehat{R}_{U}$. If $V \subseteq U$, then $\widehat{R}_{U} \subseteq \widehat{R}_{V}$ and $F_{U} \subseteq F_{V}$.

Also, for a (not necessarily closed) point $P$ of $\mathscr{X}_{k}^{\text {red }}$, we let $F_{P}$ denote the field of fractions of the complete local ring $\widehat{R}_{P}:=\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathscr{X}, P}$ of $\mathscr{X}$ at $P$, and we let $\kappa(P)$ denote its residue field.

The fields of the form $F_{P}, F_{U}$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}, U \in \mathcal{U}$ (and the rings $\widehat{R}_{P}, \widehat{R}_{U}$, respectively) are called patches on $\mathscr{X}$.

For a closed point $P \in \mathscr{X}_{k}^{\text {red }}$, we consider height one primes $\wp$ of the complete local ring $\widehat{R}_{P}$ that contain the uniformizing parameter $t \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. For each such $\wp$, we let $R_{\wp}$ be the localization of $\widehat{R}_{P}$ at $\wp$, and we let $\widehat{R}_{\wp}$ be its $t$-adic (or equivalently, its $\wp$-adic) completion; this is a complete discrete valuation ring. We write $F_{\wp}$ for the fraction field of $\widehat{R}_{\wp}$. If $P$ is on the closure of $U$, we call such a $\wp$ a branch at $P$ on $U$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the set of all branches at points $P \in \mathcal{P}$ (each of which lies on some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ). The fields $F_{\wp}$ (resp., rings $\widehat{R}_{\wp}$ ) are referred to as the overlaps of the corresponding patches $F_{P}, F_{U}$ (resp., $\widehat{R}_{P}, \widehat{R}_{U}$ ). For a branch $\wp$ at $P$ on $U$, there is an inclusion $F_{P} \subset F_{\wp}$ induced by the inclusion $\widehat{R}_{P} \subset \widehat{R}_{\wp}$, and also an inclusion $F_{U} \subset F_{\wp}$ that is induced by the inclusion $\widehat{R}_{U} \hookrightarrow \widehat{R}_{\wp}$. (See [HHK11], beginning of Section 4.)

The strategy for proving Theorem 2.9 relies on putting ourselves in the above context. Given a class $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$, we will choose a suitable regular model $\mathscr{X}$, along with $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{U}$, and will construct splitting fields $L_{\xi} / F_{\xi}$ for $\alpha_{F_{\xi}}$, for each $\xi \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U}$. Next, we will use these to obtain an extension $L / F$ that splits $\alpha$ locally. Finally, we will use a local-global principle from [HHK14] to show that this extension in fact splits $\alpha$. To handle the second of those three steps, we prove some auxiliary results, starting with a general lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be distinct non-trivial discrete valuations on a field $E$, with completions $E_{i}$. Let d be a positive integer and for each $i$ let $L_{i}$ be an étale $E_{i}$-algebra of degree d. Then there exists an étale $E$-algebra $L$ of degree d such that $L \otimes_{E} E_{i} \cong L_{i}$ for all $i$. If some $L_{i}$ is a field, then so is $L$.

Proof. The complete discretely valued field $E_{i}$ is infinite for each $i$, and so by Corollary 4.2(d) of [FR17] there is a primitive element for the étale algebra $L_{i}$ over $E_{i}$, say with monic minimal polynomial $f_{i}(x) \in E_{i}[x]$ of degree $d$. For each $i$, there is an extension of $v_{i}$ to a discrete valuation on the polynomial ring $E_{i}[x]$, by taking the minimum of the valuations on the coefficients; we again write $v_{i}$ for that extension. Applying Krasner's Lemma ([Lan94], Prop. II.2.4) to each monic irreducible factor $f_{i j}$ of $f_{i} \in E_{i}[x]$ (and then taking the maximum) gives a positive integer $n_{i}$ such that for any monic polynomial $h_{i} \in E_{i}[x]$ of the same degree as some $f_{i j}$, if $v_{i}\left(h_{i}-f_{i j}\right)>n_{i}$ then $h_{i}$ is irreducible, and the polyomials $h_{i}$ and $f_{i j}$ define the same field extension of $E_{i}$. By a general form of Hensel's Lemma (see Theorem 8 of [Bri06]), for each $i$ there is an integer $m_{i}$ such that for any monic polynomial $g_{i} \in E_{i}[x]$ of degree equal to that of $f_{i}$ and with $v_{i}\left(f_{i}-g_{i}\right)>m_{i}$, we may write $g_{i}$ as a product of monic polynomials $g_{i j} \in E_{i}[x]$ of the same respective degrees as $f_{i j}$ and such that $v_{i}\left(f_{i j}-g_{i j}\right)>n_{i}$ for all $j$.

The field $E$ is dense in $\prod E_{i}$ by Theorem VI.7.2.1 of [Bou72]. Hence we may find a monic polynomial $f \in E[x]$ of degree $d$ such that $v_{i}\left(f_{i}-f\right)>m_{i}$ for all $i$. By the definition of $m_{i}$, we may write $f$ as a product of monic factors $g_{i j}$ over $E_{i}$ that are respectively of the same degrees as the polynomials $f_{i j}$ and with $v_{i}\left(f_{i j}-g_{i j}\right)>n_{i}$. By the definition of $n_{i}$, each factor $g_{i j}$ of $f$ over $E_{i}$ is irreducible and defines the same field extension of $E_{i}$ as $f_{i j}$; and so the étale algebras induced by $f$ and by $f_{i}$ over $E_{i}$ are the same. Hence the étale $E$-algebra $L$ defined by $f$ induces $L_{i}$ over $E_{i}$ for all $i$. The last assertion is clear.

Resuming our notation for semiglobal fields, we have the following.

Lemma 3.2. Given $F, X$, and $\mathcal{U}$ as above, suppose that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ we are given an étale $F_{U}$ algebra $L_{U}$ of (a common) degree $d$. Then there exists an étale $F$-algebra $L$ (necessarily of degree d) such that $L \otimes_{F} F_{U} \cong L_{U}$ for all $U$. If some $L_{U}$ is a field, so is $L$.

Proof. For a point $P \in \mathcal{P}$, each branch $\wp$ at $P$ lies on the closure of a unique $U \in \mathcal{U}$; and we define an étale $F_{\wp}$-algebra $L_{\wp}:=L_{U} \otimes_{F_{U}} F_{\wp}$. Applying Lemma 3.1 to the field $F_{P}$ and the discrete valuations corresponding to the branches at $P$, we obtain an étale $F_{P}$-algebra $L_{P}$ such that $L_{P} \otimes F_{\wp} \cong L_{\wp}$ for each of the branches $\wp$ at $P$. Therefore, we have defined a system of étale $F_{\xi}$-algebras $L_{\xi}$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U}$, together with isomorphisms $L_{P} \otimes_{F_{P}} F_{\wp} \cong L_{U} \otimes_{F_{U}} F_{\wp}$ whenever $\wp$ is a branch at $P$ on $U$. Since patching holds for étale algebras in this context (see, for example, Proposition 3.7 and Example 2.7 in [HHK15b]), there is an étale $F$-algebra $L$ with the desired properties. The final assertion is clear.

The next lemma is a variant of [ $\mathrm{HHK}^{+} 19$, Theorem 2.6].
Lemma 3.3. With $K, k, F$, and $\mathcal{P}$ as above, suppose that for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, we are given an étale $F_{P}$ algebra $L_{P}$ of (a common) degree d prime to the characteristic ofk, and assume that the integral closure of $\widehat{R}_{P}$ in $L_{P}$ is unramified over $\widehat{R}_{P}$. Then there exists an étale $F$-algebra $L$ such that $L \otimes_{F} F_{P} \cong L_{P}$ for all $P$. If some $L_{P}$ is a field, so is $L$.
Proof. For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the normalization $S_{P}$ of $\widehat{R}_{P}$ in $L_{P}$ is a degree $d$ étale $\widehat{R}_{P}$-algebra. It induces an étale $\widehat{R}_{\wp}$-algebra $S_{\wp}$ for each branch $\wp$ at $P$; and those in turn induce degree $d$ étale algebras $L_{\wp}$ over $F_{\wp}$ and $\lambda_{\wp}$ over $\kappa(\wp)$, where $\kappa(\wp)$ is the residue field at $\wp$. The branches $\wp$ on $U$ define distinct non-trivial discrete valuations on the function field $k(U)$ of $U$, with completions $\kappa(\wp)$. Applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain a degree $d$ étale algebra $\Lambda_{U}$ over $k(U)$ such that $\Lambda_{U} \otimes_{k(U)} \kappa(\wp) \cong \lambda_{\wp}$ for all branches $\wp$ on $U$. The normalization of $k[U]$ in $\Lambda_{U}$ is a generically étale $k[U]$-algebra $\bar{A}_{U}$ that induces $\Lambda_{U}$ over $k(U)$. By lifting the defining coefficients of $\bar{A}_{U}$ from $k[U]$ to $\widehat{R}_{U}$, we obtain a generically étale $\widehat{R}_{U}$-algebra $A_{U}$ whose reduction is $\bar{A}_{U}$. The algebra $A_{U}$ induces $S_{\wp}$ over $\widehat{R}_{\wp}$, because both $A_{U} \otimes_{\hat{R}_{U}} \widehat{R}_{\wp}$ and $S_{\wp}$ lift the étale $\kappa(\wp)$-algebra $\lambda_{\wp}$, and that lift is unique by [SGA71, Théorème I.5.5]. Thus $L_{U}:=A_{U} \otimes_{\hat{R}_{U}} F_{U}$ is an étale algebra over $F_{U}$ that induces $L_{\wp}:=S_{\wp} \otimes_{\hat{R}_{\wp}} F_{\wp}$ over $F_{\wp}$.

Thus we have étale algebras $L_{P}$ over $F_{P}$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $L_{U}$ over $F_{U}$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $L_{P}$ and $L_{U}$ induce the same $F_{\wp}$-algebra $L_{\wp}$ for $\wp$ a branch at $P$ on $U$. By the patching result [HH10, Theorem 7.1(iii)] (in the context of [HH10, Theorem 6.4] and [HHK15a, Proposition 3.3]), there is an étale algebra $L$ over $F$ that induces $L_{U}$ over $F_{U}$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and induces $L_{P}$ over $F_{P}$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$. This yields the main assertion, and the final assertion of the lemma is clear.

## 4. Splitting unramified cohomology classes

In order to prove the main theorem, we will reduce to the case of unramified classes. Let $L$ be a field. For every discrete valuation $v$ of $L$, we let $\kappa(v)$ denote its residue field. Recall that for a prime $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(\kappa(v))$ and $i \geqslant 1$, there is a residue homomorphism $\operatorname{res}_{v}: H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{i-1}\left(\kappa(v), \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-2}\right)$; e.g., see GMS03, Section II.7.9, p. 18]. A class $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ is called unramified at $v$ if $\operatorname{res}_{v}(\alpha)=0$. If $\mathscr{Y}$ is a regular integral scheme with function field $L$ and $\mathscr{Y}^{(1)}$ is the set of codimension one points of $\mathscr{Y}$, then every $y \in \mathscr{Y}^{(1)}$ defines a discrete valuation $v_{y}$ of $L$. We say that $\alpha$ as above is unramified at $y$ if $\operatorname{res}_{v_{y}}(\alpha)=0$. It is unramified on $\mathscr{Y}$ if it is unramified
at all points of $\mathscr{Y}^{(1)}$; and we write $H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)^{n r, \mathscr{Y}}$ for the subgroup of $H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ consisting of these unramified classes.

Lemma 4.1. With notation as above and $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F_{U}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ be unramified on $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\widehat{R}_{U}\right)$. Then for some nonempty affine open subset $U^{\prime} \subseteq U, \alpha_{F_{U^{\prime}}}$ is in the image of $H^{i}\left(\widehat{R}_{U^{\prime}}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{i}\left(F_{U^{\prime}}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $R_{\eta}^{h}:=\lim _{V \subseteq U} \widehat{R}_{V}$ (varying over the nonempty open subsets $V \subseteq U$ ), and let $F_{\eta}^{h}$ be its fraction field. Then by [HHK14], Lemma 3.2.1, $R_{\eta}^{h}$ is a henselian discrete valuation ring with residue field $k(U)$, and $F_{\eta}^{h}=\underline{\lim }_{V \subseteq U} F_{V}$. Since $\alpha$ is unramified, so is its image $\alpha_{F_{\eta}^{h}}$. Thus by [Col95], beginning of Section 3.3, $\alpha_{F_{n}^{h}}$ is the image of some $\widetilde{\alpha} \in H^{i}\left(R_{\eta}^{h}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$. According to [Sta22], Theorem 09YO, $H^{i}\left(R_{\eta}^{h}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)=\underline{\lim }_{V \subseteq U} H^{i}\left(\widehat{R}_{V}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ and $H^{i}\left(F_{\eta}^{h}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)=$ $\lim _{\longrightarrow \subseteq U} H^{i}\left(F_{V}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$. In particular, there is some nonempty open subset $V \subseteq U$ so that $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is the image of an element $\widetilde{\alpha}^{\prime} \in H^{i}\left(\widehat{R}_{V}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$. The classes $\alpha_{F_{V}}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_{F_{V}}^{\prime}$ then have the same image in $H^{i}\left(F_{\eta}^{h}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ by construction. Again by Lemma 3.2.1 of [HHK14], $F_{\eta}^{h}=\underline{\lim }_{W \subseteq V} F_{W}$, and thus there exists a $U^{\prime} \subseteq V$ for which $\alpha_{F_{U^{\prime}}}=\widetilde{\alpha}_{F_{U^{\prime}}}^{\prime}$. But then $U^{\prime}$ is as desired.

This next result gives a bound on the index in the case of unramified cohomology classes.
Proposition 4.2. Let $K$ be a complete discretely valued field with residue field $k$, let $\ell$ be a prime unequal to the characteristic of $k$, let $F$ be the function field of a $K$-curve, and let $\mathscr{X}$ be a regular model of $F$. Let $i \geqslant 1$.
(a) If $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ is unramified on $\mathscr{X}$, then

$$
\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) \mid \ell^{\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))} .
$$

(b) If $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ is a finite collection of cohomology classes that are unramified on $\mathscr{X}$, then

$$
\operatorname{ind}(B) \mid \ell^{\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))} .
$$

Proof. Both assertions are trivially true for $i=1$, by the paragraph following Theorem 2.9. So we assume $i>1$ from now on.

We start by proving part (b). Let $B=\left\{\alpha_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$ for some finite index set $J$. By Lemma 2.3, it is sufficient to show that there is a finite field extension $L / F$ that splits all classes in $B$ and such that the $\ell$-adic valuation of $[L: F]$ is at $\operatorname{most~}_{\operatorname{gssd}}^{\ell}{ }_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite nonempty subset of the closed fiber containing all the singular points of $\mathscr{X}_{k}^{\text {red }}$, and let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of components of the complement $\mathscr{X}_{k}^{\text {red }} \backslash \mathcal{P}$.

Fix $U \in \mathcal{U}$. After deleting finitely many points from $U$ and adding those to $\mathcal{P}$, we may assume that each $\left(\alpha_{j}\right)_{F_{U}}$ is the image of some $\widetilde{\alpha}_{j} \in H^{i}\left(\widehat{R}_{U}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$, by Lemma 4.1 This gives

$$
H^{i}\left(\widehat{R}_{U}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \cong H^{i}\left(U, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(k(U), \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right), \quad \widetilde{\alpha}_{j} \mapsto \bar{\alpha}_{j},
$$

where the isomorphism is by Gabber's affine analog of proper base change ([Sta22], Theorem 09ZI). By definition of the generalized stable splitting dimension, there exists a finite field extension $l_{U}$ of $k(U)$ that splits all $\bar{\alpha}_{j}$ and so that the $\ell$-adic valuation of $\left[l_{U}: k(U)\right]$ is at most $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$. Let $l_{U}^{\prime}$ be the separable closure of $k(U)$ in $l_{U}$. Then since $\left[l_{U}: l_{U}^{\prime}\right]$ is a power of $\operatorname{char}(k)$ and thus prime to $\ell$, the separable extension $l_{U}^{\prime}$ also splits all $\bar{\alpha}_{j}$ (see Remark 2.2). Let
$V \rightarrow U$ be the normalization of $U$ in $l_{U}^{\prime}$, so that $l_{U}^{\prime}=k(V)$. Hence each $\widetilde{\alpha}_{j}$ maps to zero under the composition

$$
H^{i}\left(\widehat{R}_{U}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \cong H^{i}\left(U, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(k(U), \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(k(V), \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)
$$

The collection of $V \times_{U} U^{\prime}$, where $U^{\prime}$ ranges over the non-empty open subsets of $U$, is cofinal in the collection of non-empty open subsets $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$. So by [Sta22, Theorem 09YO],

$$
H^{i}\left(k(V), \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)=\underset{V^{\prime} \subseteq V}{\lim } H^{i}\left(V^{\prime}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)={\underline{\lim ^{\prime} \subseteq U}}^{H^{i}} H^{i}\left(V \times_{U} U^{\prime}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)
$$

Hence there exists some $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ for which each $\widetilde{\alpha}_{j}$ maps to zero in $H^{i}\left(V \times_{U} U^{\prime}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$. Since $k(V) / k(U)$ is separable, $V \rightarrow U$ is generically étale. Possibly after shrinking $U^{\prime}$, we may assume that $V \times_{U} U^{\prime} \rightarrow U^{\prime}$ is finite étale. Let $I$ be the ideal defining $U^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\widehat{R}_{U^{\prime}}\right)$. Then $\left(\widehat{R}_{U^{\prime}}, I\right)$ is a henselian pair, so $V \times_{U} U^{\prime} \rightarrow U^{\prime}$ is the closed fiber of a finite étale cover $\operatorname{Spec}\left(S_{U^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\widehat{R}_{U^{\prime}}\right)$ of the same degree by [Sta22], Lemma 09XI. Note that $\operatorname{Spec}\left(S_{U^{\prime}}\right)$ is reduced and irreducible since $V$ is, and hence $S_{U^{\prime}}$ is an integral domain. The commutative diagram

then shows that each $\widetilde{\alpha}_{j}$ maps to zero in $H^{i}\left(S_{U^{\prime}}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$; hence all $\alpha_{j}$ are split by the fraction field $E_{U^{\prime}}$ of $S_{U^{\prime}}$, which is an extension of $F_{U^{\prime}}$ whose degree has $\ell$-adic valuation at most $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$. (Here the isomorphisms in the diagram are - again - by Gabber's affine analog of proper base change, [Sta22, Theorem 09ZI].) Note that each $U^{\prime}$ was obtained by removing a finite number of closed points from the corresponding $U \in \mathcal{U}$. We add those points to $\mathcal{P}$ and replace $\mathcal{U}$ with the set of components of the complement in $\mathscr{X}_{k}^{\text {red }}$ of this possibly enlarged set $\mathcal{P}$ (the elements of this new set $\mathcal{U}$ are exactly the sets $U^{\prime}$ ). Let $d_{1}$ be the least common multiple of the degrees $\left[E_{U^{\prime}}: F_{U^{\prime}}\right]$ where $U^{\prime}$ is in the (new) set $\mathcal{U}$. Thus the $\ell$-adic valuation of $d_{1}$ is at most $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$. By taking direct sums of an appropriate number of copies of $E_{U^{\prime}}$ for each such $U^{\prime}$, we obtain étale $F_{U^{\prime}}$-algebras $L_{U^{\prime}}$ for all $U^{\prime}$ of degree $d_{1}$. Then by Lemma 3.2, there is an étale $F$-algebra $L_{1}$ of degree $d_{1}$ so that $L_{1} \otimes_{F} F_{U^{\prime}} \cong L_{U^{\prime}}$ for all $U^{\prime} \in \mathcal{U}$.

For $P \in \mathcal{P}$, each class $\alpha_{j, P}:=\left(\alpha_{j}\right)_{F_{P}}$ is unramified on $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\widehat{R}_{P}\right)$, since each $\alpha_{j}$ is unramified. Thus by [Sak20], Theorem 9, we may lift each $\alpha_{j, P}$ to a class in $H^{i}\left(\widehat{R}_{P}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$; that group is isomorphic to $H^{i}\left(\kappa(P), \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ by proper base change ([SGA73], Exp. XII, Corollaire 5.5). By definition of the generalized stable splitting dimension, we may find a common splitting field $l_{P} / \kappa(P)$ for the images of the $\alpha_{j, P}$, so that $\left[l_{P}: \kappa(P)\right]$ has $\ell$-adic valuation at most $\operatorname{gss}_{\ell}^{i}(k)$. As in the previous part, we may assume that $l_{P} / \kappa(P)$ is separable. By [SGA71], Theorem I.6.1, the extension lifts to a finite étale $\widehat{R}_{P}$-algebra $S_{P}$ of the same degree (using the completeness of $\widehat{R}_{P}$ ). Note that again by proper base change (loc. cit.), all $\alpha_{j, P}$ split over $S_{P}$. Since $\widehat{R}_{P}$ is a regular local domain, and since $S_{P}$ is finite étale over $\widehat{R}_{P}$ and lifts $l_{P}, S_{P}$ is a regular local domain. Its fraction field is a finite extension $E_{P} / F_{P}$ of the same degree, which splits all $\alpha_{j, P}$. Let $d_{2}$ be the least common multiple of the degrees $\left[L_{P}: F_{P}\right]$. By taking direct sums of an appropriate number of copies of $E_{P}$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, we obtain étale $F_{P}$-algebras $L_{P}$ (for all $P$ )
of degree $d_{2}$ which has $\ell$-adic valuation at most $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)$. Then by Lemma 3.3, there is an étale $F$-algebra $L_{2}$ of degree $d_{2}$ so that $L_{2} \otimes_{F} F_{P} \cong L_{P}$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Consider the tensor product $L_{1} \otimes_{F} L_{2}$; this is a direct sum of finite field extensions of $F$ since each $L_{i}$ is an étale $F$-algebra. Since the $\ell$-adic valuation of the degree of $L_{1} \otimes_{F} L_{2}$ is at $\operatorname{most}^{\operatorname{gssd}}{ }_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)$, the same is true for at least one of the direct summands, say $L / F$. Let $\mathscr{X}_{L}$ be the normalization of $\mathscr{X}$ in $L$, let $\mathcal{P}_{L}$ be the preimage of $\mathcal{P}$ under the natural map $\mathscr{X}_{L} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$, and let $\mathcal{U}_{L}$ be the set of connected components of the complement of $\mathcal{P}_{L}$ in the reduced closed fiber of $\mathscr{X}_{L}$. For each $P \in \mathcal{P}, L \otimes_{F} F_{P}$ is the direct product of the fields $L_{P^{\prime}}$, where $P^{\prime}$ runs over the points of $\mathcal{P}_{L}$ that map to $P$ and $L_{P^{\prime}}$ is the fraction field of the complete local ring of $\mathscr{X}_{L}$ at $P^{\prime}$; similarly for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence all $\left(\alpha_{j}\right)_{L_{\xi}}$ are split for every $\xi \in \mathcal{P}_{L} \cup \mathcal{U}_{L}$. By Theorem 3.1.5 of [HHK14], all $\alpha_{j}$ are split over $L$. This completes the proof of part (b).

For part (a), note that if $\alpha$ is a single class unramified on a regular model $\mathscr{X}$, then for splitness over each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ (resp. $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ), it suffices to take an extension whose degree has $\ell$-adic valuation at $\operatorname{most}^{\operatorname{ssd}}{ }_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$ (resp. $\left.\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)\right)$, by definition of the stable splitting dimension. Hence the above proof yields a splitting field $L$ for $\alpha$ whose degree over $F$ has $\ell$-adic valuation at most $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$. Since $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha)$ is an $\ell$-power by Lemma 2.3, this implies

$$
\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) \mid \ell^{\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))}
$$

as we intended to show.
Remark 4.3. If $k$ is finite in the context of Proposition 4.2, then the group $H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)^{n r, \mathscr{X}}$ vanishes for all $i>1$. This follows from [Gro68, Théorème III.3.1, Corollaire II.1.10] for $i=2$; from [Kat86, Proposition 5.2] for $i=3$; and because $\operatorname{cd}(F)=3$ for $i \geqslant 4$. Thus the proposition applies only to the zero class in these situations, and so it has no actual content there. (In the case of $i=1$, as noted at the beginning of the above proof, the assertion of Proposition 4.2 is trivial for an arbitrary residue field $k$.)

## 5. Proof of the main theorem

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 2.9. We first prove the second assertion. Let $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ be a finite collection of cohomology classes, and choose a regular model $\mathscr{X}$ of $F$. By [Gos19], Prop. 3.1, there is a field extension $L / F$ of degree $\ell^{2}$ (resp. $2^{3}=8$ ) for $\ell$ odd (resp. $\ell=2$ ) that splits the ramification of $B$ with respect to all discrete valuations on $L$ whose restriction to $F$ has a center on $\mathscr{X}$. The extension $L / F$ corresponds to a morphism $\mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ for some regular model $\mathscr{Y}$ of $L$; and $\alpha_{L} \in H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)^{n r, \mathscr{Y}}$ for every $\alpha \in B$. By Proposition 4.2 b ), there exists a finite field extension $\widetilde{L} / L$ that splits all elements of $B$ and so that $[\widetilde{L}: L]$ has $\ell$-adic valuation at most $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$. Thus the $\ell$-adic valuation of $[\widetilde{L}: F]$ is at most

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\ 3 & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases}
$$

To bound the generalized stable splitting dimension, we also need to consider cohomology classes defined over finite field extensions $E / F$. Each such $E$ is the function field of a curve over $K_{E}$, where $K_{E}$ is some finite extension of $K$ and hence is a complete discretely valued field whose residue field $k^{\prime}$ is a finite extension of $k$. Now if $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ is a finite collection of
cohomology classes, the first part of the proof shows the existence of a common splitting field $L / E$ for the elements of $B$ whose degree $[L: E]$ has $\ell$-adic valuation at most

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k^{\prime}(x)\right)+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\
3 & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases} \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\
3 & \text { if } \ell=2,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the desired bound for $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$.
If $B=\{\alpha\}$ is a one element set, Proposition 4.2 (a) gives $\operatorname{ind}\left(\alpha_{L}\right) \mid \ell^{\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))}$, and hence $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) \mid \ell^{m}$ where

$$
m=\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\ 3 & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases}
$$

Since $\alpha$ was arbitrary, this shows that

$$
\operatorname{sd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\ 3 & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases}
$$

As before, the same bound applies to finite extensions $E / F$, and hence

$$
\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))+ \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\ 3 & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases}
$$

as we wanted to show.
6. Bounds for higher rank complete discretely valued fields

In this section, we bound $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ for one-variable function fields $F$ over higher rank complete discretely valued fields - that is, fields $k_{r}$ arising in an iterated construction of fields $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}$ where $k_{j}$ is a complete discretely valued field with residue field $k_{j-1}$, for all $j \geqslant 1$. We will do this using Theorem 2.9. We first determine the generalized stable splitting dimension of higher rank complete discretely valued fields.

Lemma 6.1. Let $k$ be a field and let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$ be a prime. Let $r \geqslant 0$, and let $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots$, $k_{r}$ be a sequence of fields with $k_{0}=k$, and $k_{j}$ a complete discretely valued field with residue field $k_{j-1}$ for all $j \geqslant 1$. Then for every finite collection $B \subseteq H^{i}\left(k_{r}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$, there exists an extension $L / k_{r}$ of degree dividing $\ell^{\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+r}$ that splits all elements of $B$. In particular, $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{r}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+r$. The same statements remain true when $B$ is replaced by a single class and $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(-)$ is replaced with $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(-)$.

Proof. By induction, it suffices to prove the result with $r=1$. Set $K=k_{1}$, let $v$ denote the valuation on $K$, and let $A$ be its valuation ring, with uniformizer $\pi$. By proper base change ([SGA73], Exp. XII, Corollaire 5.5), for any $m \geqslant 1$ the $\bmod \pi$ reduction map $H^{m}\left(A, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes m-1}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{m}\left(k, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes m-1}\right)$ is an isomorphism, and so we may identify these two cohomology groups. Thus by [GMS03, Proposition II.7.11, p. 18], each element $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(K, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ may be written in the form $\alpha^{\prime}+(\pi) \cup \beta$, where $\alpha^{\prime} \in H^{i}\left(A, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$; where $(\pi) \in H^{1}\left(K, \mu_{\ell}\right)$ is the class defined by $\pi$; and where $\beta \in H^{i-1}\left(A, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-2}\right)$ is the class identified with $\operatorname{res}_{v}(\alpha) \in H^{i-1}\left(k, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-2}\right)$ via the
above isomorphism. Consequently, if we base change to $\widetilde{K}=K(\sqrt[\ell]{\pi})$ to split the class $(\pi)$, we find that $(\alpha)_{\tilde{K}}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)_{\tilde{K}}$.

Now let $B=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right\} \subseteq H^{i}\left(K, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ be a finite collection, and let $\bar{B}=\left\{\overline{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha_{m}^{\prime}}\right\}$, where $\overline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}$ denotes the image of $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ in $H^{i}\left(k, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right.$ ) (and $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ is associated to $\alpha_{i}$ as in the first part of the proof). By definition, there exists a splitting field $k^{\prime} / k$ for $\bar{B}$ of degree dividing $\ell^{\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)}$. To prove the first assertion of the lemma, it suffices to show that we may find a splitting field $\widetilde{K}^{\prime} / K$ of $B$ whose degree divides $\ell\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]$. By hypothesis on the characteristic, each $\overline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}$ is also split by the separable closure of $k$ in $k^{\prime}$ (Remark 2.2), and so we may assume without loss of generality that $k^{\prime}$ is a separable extension of $k$. Consequently, we may lift $k^{\prime}$ to an unramified extension $A^{\prime}$ of $A$ of the same degree; let $K^{\prime}$ denote the fraction field of $A^{\prime}$. Again using proper base change ([SGA73], Exp. XII, Corollaire 5.5), the classes $\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{A^{\prime}}$ are split; so it follows that the classes $\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{K^{\prime}}$ are split as well. Let $\widetilde{K}^{\prime}$ be a compositum of $\widetilde{K}$ and $K^{\prime}$. Then $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{\tilde{K}}=\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{\tilde{K}}=0$. As $\left[\widetilde{K}^{\prime}: K\right] \mid \ell\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]$, the extension $\widetilde{K}^{\prime} / K$ is as desired. The assertion on the generalized stable splitting dimension is an immediate consequence.

If $B$ consists of a single class, then the extension $k^{\prime} / k$ in the previous part can be chosen of degree dividing $\ell^{\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)}$, and this yields the final assertion of the lemma.
Remark 6.2. The bounds given in the previous lemma are not sharp. For example, consider $k=\mathbb{Q}$ and $i=2=\ell$. Given a collection of 2 -torsion Brauer classes, we may find a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is non-split at every prime where at least one of the corresponding quaternion algebras is ramified. This extension will then split all the classes, so $\operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{2}(\mathbb{Q})=1$, and $\operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{Q}) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{2}(\mathbb{Q})=1$ by Proposition 2.7. Since the Pfister form $\langle\langle-1,-1,-1\rangle\rangle$ does not split over $\mathbb{Q}, \operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{Q})=1$. Lemma 6.1 then gives $\left.\operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{Q}((t)))\right) \leqslant 2$. But more is true: since $\operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{2}(\mathbb{Q})=1$, Lemma 2.8 implies the stronger assertion that $\left.\operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{3}(\mathbb{Q}((t)))\right)=1$ (note that the above Pfister form does not split over $\mathbb{Q}((t))$ either).
Theorem 6.3. Let $k$ be a field, let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$ be a prime, let $d=\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)$, and let $\delta=$ $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$. Suppose we are given a sequence $k=k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}$ of fields with $k_{j}$ a complete discretely valued field having residue field $k_{j-1}$ for all $j \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant \begin{cases}\delta+\frac{r}{2}(r+2 d+3) & \text { if } \ell \text { is odd } \\ \delta+\frac{r}{2}(r+2 d+5) & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases}
$$

for any one variable function field $F$ over $k_{r}$. The same result holds for $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ when $d$ and $\delta$ are replaced with $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)$ and $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k(x))$, respectively.
Proof. Note that by definition of the invariants in question, it suffices to consider the case $F=$ $k_{r}(x)$. By Lemma 6.1, we know that $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{j}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(k)+j=d+j$. Let $\varepsilon$ be 2 if $\ell$ is odd and let it be 3 if $\ell$ is even. By Theorem 2.9, we have $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{j}(x)\right) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{j-1}\right)+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{j-1}(x)\right)+\varepsilon$, and so

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{j}(x)\right)-\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{j-1}(x)\right) \leqslant d+j-1+\varepsilon
$$

Taking a sum of these inequalities for $j=1, \ldots, r$ yields

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{r}(x)\right)-\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{0}(x)\right) \leqslant r d+\frac{r(r-1)}{2}+r \varepsilon
$$

and so

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{r}(x)\right) \leqslant r d+\frac{r(r-1)}{2}+\delta+r \varepsilon=\delta+\frac{r}{2}(r+2 d+2 \varepsilon-1)
$$

as desired. The proof for the stable splitting dimension is similar (using the corresponding assertions of Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 2.9.

Next, we would like to examine the behavior of the splitting dimension as the cohomological degree varies. While we don't have the ability to control this well for general fields, we can make some statements to this effect in the case that the cohomological dimension is bounded, using that $\operatorname{gss}_{\ell}^{m}(k)=0$ for $m>\operatorname{cd}_{\ell}(k)$.

Theorem 6.4. Let $k$ be a field, let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$ be a prime, and let $c=\mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k)$. Consider a sequence of fields $k=k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}$ where $k_{j}$ is a complete discretely valued field having residue field $k_{j-1}$ for all $j \geqslant 1$. Set $\varepsilon=2$ if $\ell$ is odd and $\varepsilon=3$ if $\ell=2$. Then

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{r}\right) \leqslant \max (0, r-m+1) \quad \text { for } m \geqslant 1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}(F) \leqslant \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} r(r-1)+r \varepsilon+\operatorname{gss}_{\ell}^{c+1}(k(x)) & \text { for } m=1, \\ \frac{1}{2}(r-m+1)(r-m)+(r-m+2) \varepsilon & \text { for } 2 \leqslant m \leqslant r+1, \\ 0 & \text { for } m>r+1\end{cases}
$$

for any one variable function field $F$ over $k_{r}$. The same assertions hold for the stable splitting dimension.

Proof. For the first assertion, we have $\mathrm{cd}_{\ell}\left(k_{j}\right)=c+j$ for $j \geqslant 0$ by applying [Ser97, Proposition II.4.3.12] inductively. Thus $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{r}\right)=0$ if $m \geqslant r+1$, as asserted in that case. On the other hand, if $m \leqslant r$ then $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{m-1}\right)=0$. Hence $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{r}\right) \leqslant r-m+1$ by applying Lemma 6.1 to the sequence of fields $k_{m-1}, \ldots, k_{r}$.

For the second assertion, again it suffices to consider the case when $F=k_{r}(x)$. Note that the case $m>r+1$ follows from the fact that $\mathrm{cd}_{\ell}\left(k_{r}(x)\right)=c+r+1$ by [Ser97, Proposition II.4.2.11]. The case $m=r+1$ follows from Theorem 2.9, using the fact that $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{r-1}(x)\right)=0=$ $\mathrm{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{r-1}\right)$ because of the cohomological dimension of these fields.

For the case $2 \leqslant m \leqslant r$, observe that $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{m-1}\right)=0=\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{m-2}(x)\right)$ because $\operatorname{cd}\left(k_{m-1}\right)=c+m-1=\operatorname{cd}\left(k_{m-2}(x)\right)$, and similarly $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{m-2}\right)=0$. Thus Theorem 2.9 yields $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{m-1}(x)\right) \leqslant \varepsilon$. Now write $k^{\prime}=k_{m-1}$ and $k_{j}^{\prime}=k_{m-1+j}$. Thus $k_{r}=k_{r+1-m}^{\prime}$. Applying Theorem 6.3 with $k^{\prime}, c+m, r-m+1$ playing the roles of $k, i, r$ there, we have $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+m}\left(k_{r}(x)\right) \leqslant \varepsilon+\frac{r-m+1}{2}(r-m+1+2 \cdot 0+2 \varepsilon-1)=\frac{1}{2}(r-m+1)(r-m)+(r-m+2) \varepsilon$.

For $m=1$, we have $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+1}(k)=0$ since $\operatorname{cd}_{\ell}(k)=c$. Theorem 6.3 with $i=c+1$ yields $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+1}\left(k_{r}(x)\right) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+1}(k(x))+\frac{r}{2}(r+2 \cdot 0+2 \varepsilon-1)=\frac{1}{2} r(r-1)+r \varepsilon+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{c+1}(k(x))$.

The same proof shows the assertions on the stable splitting dimension, using the corresponding assertions in Lemma 6.1, Theorem 2.9, and Theorem 6.3

Remark 6.5. (a) The bounds on $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{r}(x)\right)$ also apply to $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ for any finite extension $F$ of $k_{r}(x)$, since the generalized stable $i$-splitting dimension either stays the same or decreases upon passing to a finite extension.
(b) In the case of $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{r}(x)\right)$, the bounds given in Theorem 6.4 are not in general sharp. For example, consider the field $k_{r}=\mathbb{C}\left(\left(s_{1}\right)\right) \cdots\left(\left(s_{r}\right)\right)$ for $r \geqslant 1$, and let $\ell$ be a prime. Then Theorem 6.4 says that $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{2}\left(k_{r}(x)\right) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{2}\left(k_{r}(x)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(r-1)(r-2)+r \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon=2$ (resp., 3) if $\ell \neq 2$ (resp., $=2$ ). But according to HHK09, Corollary 5.7], $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{2}\left(k_{r}(x)\right) \leqslant r$, which is smaller.
(c) Theorem 6.4 shows that if $k$ is fixed and $F$ is a one-variable function field over $k_{r}$ as above, then our bound on $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{r}\right)\right)$ depends only on $r-i$ for $i>$ $\operatorname{cd}_{\ell}(k)+1$ (resp., for $i>\mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k)$ ); moreover the bound increases with $r$ and decreases with $i$ (and similarly for $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{i}$ ). More precisely, as $i$ increases, our bound on $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{r}\right)$ decreases linearly until it reaches 0 , and our bound on $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(k_{r}(x)\right)$ decreases quadratically; and the same happens as $r$ decreases. For numerical examples, see the discussion following Proposition 8.4 .
(d) Suppose more generally that $k$ is a field with virtual $\ell$-cohomological dimension equal to $c$; i.e., there is a finite field extension $k^{\prime} / k$ such that $\mathrm{cd}_{\ell}\left(k^{\prime}\right)=c$. Let $F$ be a one-variable function field over $k_{r}$, and let $F^{\prime}=F k^{\prime}$. Then for $i \geqslant c+1$, the value of $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ is bounded via the above theorem, and we have that $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant v_{\ell}+\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$, where $v_{\ell}$ is the $\ell$-adic valuation of $\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]$.

## 7. Splitting for arithmetic surfaces

We have so far focused on the splitting of cohomology classes $\alpha \in H^{i}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i-1}\right)$ in the case of a semiglobal field $F$; i.e., a one-variable function field over a complete discretely valued field. We can also consider the case of one-variable function fields $F$ over a global field. Such a field $F$ has a model which is a two-dimensional regular integral scheme that is projective over either a finite field or the ring of integers of a number field (of relative dimension one). In the latter case, there is the following splitting result when $i=3$ and $\ell=2$, due to a theorem of Suresh.
Theorem 7.1. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a two-dimensional regular integral scheme that is projective over the ring of integers of a number field. Let $F$ be the function field of $\mathscr{X}$, and let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N} \in H^{3}\left(F, \mu_{2}^{\otimes 2}\right)$. Then there is a degree two field extension of $F$ that splits each $\gamma_{j}$.
Proof. Theorem 3.2 of [Sur04] asserts that there exist $f \in F^{\times}$and $\beta_{j} \in H^{2}\left(F, \mu_{2}\right)$ for $j=$ $1, \ldots, N$ such that $\gamma_{j}=(f) \cup \beta_{j}$ for all $j$. Thus every $\gamma_{j}$ is split by the degree two extension $F\left(f^{1 / 2}\right)$ of $F$.

In the remainder of this section, our goal is to treat the analogous situation for the function field $F$ of a regular projective surface over a finite field, with $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(F)$. Specifically, in Theorem 7.9, we show that a finite set of elements in $H^{3}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ can all be split by some extension of degree $\ell$. This will then be used in the next section to obtain values of gssd in situations related to global function fields, building also on the previous sections. We first need some preliminary results.
Lemma 7.2. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a normal integral scheme whose function field $F$ contains a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity for some prime number $\ell$. Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ be closed points of $\mathscr{X}$ whose residue fields are finite of order prime to $\ell$. Then there is a Galois field extension $L / F$ of degree $\ell$ such that the normalization $\mathscr{Y}$ of $\mathscr{X}$ in $L$ has the property that the fiber of $\mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ over each $P_{i}$ is étale and consists of a single closed point of $\mathscr{Y}$.
Proof. Choose an affine open subset $U=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ of $\mathscr{X}$ that contains the points $P_{i}$, and let $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ be the maximal ideal of $R$ corresponding to $P_{i}$. Let $k_{i}^{\prime}$ be the unique degree $\ell$ field extension of the finite field $k_{i}:=\kappa\left(P_{i}\right)$. By the hypothesis on $F$, the field $k_{i}$ contains a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity; and so $k_{i}^{\prime} / k_{i}$ is a Kummer extension, given by extracting an $\ell$-th root of some element $a_{i} \in k_{i}$ that is not an $\ell$-th power in $k_{i}$. Since the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ are pairwise relatively prime, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem there is an element $a \in R$ whose reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ is
$a_{i}$ for all $i$. Here $a$ is not an $\ell$-th power in $F$. The reduction of $S:=R[x] /\left(x^{\ell}-a\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{i} S$ is $k_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i$, and so its fraction field $L$ has the asserted property.

Lemma 7.3. Let $R$ be a regular local ring of dimension two with fraction field $E$, and let $f, g$ be a system of parameters at the maximal ideal of $R$. Let $L / E$ be a cyclic field extension whose degree $\ell$ is a prime number that is unequal to the residue characteristics of $R$ and such that $E$ contains a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity. Let $S$ be the normalization of $R$ in $L$, and suppose that $S\left[f^{-1}\right]$ is unramified over $R\left[f^{-1}\right]$. Then $S$ is regular.

Proof. By the hypotheses, $L / E$ is a Kummer extension; i.e., $L=E\left[h^{1 / \ell}\right]$ for some $h \in E$ that is not an $\ell$-th power. After multiplying $h$ by an $\ell$-th power, we may assume that $h \in R$ and so $h^{1 / \ell} \in S$. Since the regular local ring $R$ is a unique factorization domain (by [AB59, Theorem 5]), we may write $h=u h_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots h_{n}^{d_{n}}$ with $n \geqslant 0$, where $u$ is a unit in $R$, the elements $h_{i} \in R$ are irreducible and define distinct height one primes, and each $d_{i} \geqslant 1$. After dividing $h$ by an $\ell$-th power, we may assume that $1 \leqslant d_{i}<\ell$ for all $i$. Since the residue characteristics of $R$ are unequal to $\ell$, the subring $R\left[h^{1 / \ell}\right] \subseteq S$ is ramified over $R$ precisely over the primes $\left(h_{i}\right)$.

If $n=0$ then the subring $R\left[h^{1 / \ell}\right]=R\left[u^{1 / \ell}\right] \subseteq L$ is finite étale over $R$, and hence regular. So it is equal to its normalization; i.e., its integral closure in its fraction field $L$, which is $S$. Thus $S$ is regular. Alternatively, if $n>0$, then since $S\left[f^{-1}\right]$ is unramified over $R\left[f^{-1}\right]$, and since $f, h_{1}$ are both irreducible in $R$, it follows that $n=1$ and $h_{1}=v f$ for some unit $v \in R$. Since $d_{1}$ and $\ell$ are relatively prime, there exist integers $a, b>0$ with $a d_{1}-b \ell=1$. Hence $h^{a}=u^{a} v^{a d_{1}} f^{1+b \ell}$; and so $S$ contains an $\ell$-th root of $u^{a} v^{a d_{1}} f^{1+b \ell}$ and thus also of $f_{1}:=u^{a} v^{a d_{1}} f$. The elements $f_{1}^{1 / \ell}, g$ form a system of parameters for the subring $S^{\prime}=R\left[f_{1}^{1 / \ell}\right] \subseteq S$, which is therefore regular. Since $f_{1}=h^{a} / f^{b \ell}$ is not an $\ell$-th power in $E$, the fraction field of $S^{\prime}$ has degree $\ell$ over $E$ and so is equal to $L$, the fraction field of $S$. But $S$ is the normalization of $R$ in $L$, and hence also that of $S^{\prime}$ in $L$. Since the regular ring $S^{\prime}$ is normal, $S=S^{\prime}$, and so $S$ is regular.

Remark 7.4. The conclusion of Lemma 7.3 fails if $\operatorname{char}(R)=0$ but $R$ has primes of residue characteristic $\ell$, even though $L / E$ is Kummer. For example, let $R=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[[x, y]] /(x y-2)$, for which $x, y$ form a system of parameters. Let $E$ be the fraction field of $R$, take $\ell=2$, let $h=2 y^{2}+1$, and write $L=E\left[h^{1 / 2}\right]=E[w] /\left(w^{2}-h\right)$. Here $h$ is a unit in $R$; but $R\left[h^{1 / 2}\right]$ is not étale over $R$, being purely inseparable over the primes $(x)$ and $(y)$, where the residue characteristic is 2 . Moreover $R\left[h^{1 / 2}\right]$ is not normal; its normalization $S$ (in its fraction field $L$ ) is obtained by adjoining to $R\left[h^{1 / 2}\right]$ the element $z=(w+1) / y \in L$. As an abstract ring, $S=R[z] /\left(z^{2}-x z-2\right)$. This ring is ramified precisely over $(x)$, but it is not regular, having a singularity at its maximal ideal $(x, y)$. This phenomenon, which is contrary to the situation of Lemma 7.3, leads to difficulties in treating the analog of Theorem 7.9 in the case of a projective scheme of relative dimension one over the spectrum of the ring of integers of a number field, with general $\ell$.

The following known result will be useful in proofs below, and we state it for ease of citation.

Lemma 7.5. Let $K^{\prime} / K$ be an extension of discretely valued fields with residue field extension $k^{\prime} / k$ and ramification index e. Let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$ be a prime and let $i$ be a non-negative integer. Then the
diagram

commutes, where the horizontal arrows are given by residues, the left hand vertical arrow is the natural map, and the right hand vertical arrow is the product of e with the natural map.
Proof. This is a special case of [GMS03, Proposition II.8.2, p. 19].
These next lemmas will be used to verify properties needed in the proof of Theorem 7.9, concerning the ramification and splitting behavior of cohomology classes under pullback.
Lemma 7.6. Let $\mathscr{Z} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ be a morphism of regular integral two-dimensional schemes, with function fields $L / F$. Let $\ell$ be a prime number unequal to the residue characteristics at the points of $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{Z}$, and let $\gamma \in H^{3}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$. If $\gamma$ is unramified on $\mathscr{X}$ then its restriction $\gamma^{\prime} \in H^{3}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ is unramified on $\mathscr{Z}$.
Proof. Let $\zeta$ be a codimension one point of $\mathscr{Z}$. We wish to show that the residue of $\gamma^{\prime}$ at $\zeta$ is trivial. Let $\xi$ be the image of $\zeta$ in $\mathscr{X}$. Thus $\xi$ has codimension one or two on $\mathscr{X}$. In the former case, $\gamma$ has trivial residue at $\xi$, hence $\gamma^{\prime}$ has trivial residue at $\zeta$ by Lemma 7.5 .

Now assume that $\xi$ has codimension two in $\mathscr{X}$. The rows in the commutative diagram

are complexes, and the lower row is exact by [Sak20, Proposition 6]. Since $\gamma$ is unramified on $\mathscr{X}$, it is the image of an element $\widetilde{\gamma} \in H^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{X}, \xi}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$, by the exactness. Let $\widetilde{\gamma}^{\prime} \in H^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{Z}, \zeta}, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ be the image of $\widetilde{\gamma}$. So the image of $\widetilde{\gamma}^{\prime}$ in $H^{3}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ is unramified at $\zeta$. This latter image is $\gamma^{\prime}$ by commutativity of the above square, so the conclusion follows.

Given a field $L$, an arbitrary prime $\ell$, and non-negative integers $i, j$, Kato defined an abelian group $H^{i}(L, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(j))$ that agrees with $H^{i}\left(L, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes j}\right)$ in the case that $\operatorname{char}(L) \neq \ell$ (see [Kat86, page 143]). Moreover, as stated there, $H^{2}(L, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1))$ is just the $\ell$-torsion subgroup of $\operatorname{Br}(L)$, and $H^{1}(L, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})$ is the same as $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {cont }}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\mathrm{ab}} / L\right), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}\right)$.
Lemma 7.7. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a two-dimensional regular integral scheme that is projective over either a finite field or the ring of integers of a number field that we assume to be totally imaginary. Let $\gamma \in H^{3}(F, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(2))$ for some prime number $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(F)$, where $F$ is the function field of $\mathscr{X}$. Let $C$ be a codimension one subscheme of $\mathscr{X}$ that contains the closures of the codimension one points of $\mathscr{X}$ where $\gamma$ is ramified. Consider the blow-up $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ of $\mathscr{X}$ at a finite set of regular points of $C$. Then $\gamma$ is unramified at the generic point of each exceptional divisor of the blow-up.
Proof. The field $F$ has no ordered field structure, and so the hypotheses of [Kat86, Corollary to Theorem 0.7] are satisfied. That result then provides an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{3}(F, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\eta \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_{1}}} H^{2}(\kappa(\eta), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_{0}}} H^{1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

where the maps are given by residues, and where $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}_{i}}$ is the set of dimension $i$ points on $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$.
Let $\xi$ be one of the closed points of $\mathscr{X}$ that is blown up. By the regularity hypotheses, the exceptional divisor $E$ over $\xi$ is a copy of $\mathbb{P}_{\kappa(\xi)}^{1}$ that meets the proper transform of $C$ at a single point $\widetilde{\xi}$. Consider any closed point $x_{0} \in E$ other than $\widetilde{\xi}$. Then except for the generic point $\eta_{0} \in \widetilde{X_{1}}$ of $E$, the class $\gamma$ is unramified at the dimension one points of $\widetilde{X}$ whose closure contains $x_{0}$. So only one term in $\bigoplus_{\eta \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_{1}}} H^{2}(\kappa(\eta), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1))$ contributes to the image of $\gamma$ in $H^{1}\left(\kappa\left(x_{0}\right), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1)\right)$; viz., the one arising from $\eta_{0} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_{1}}$. Since the image of $\gamma$ in $\oplus_{x \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}_{0}}} H^{1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1))$ is 0 , it follows that the contribution of that one term is also zero; i.e., $\alpha:=\operatorname{res}_{\eta_{0}}(\gamma)$ is unramified at $x_{0}$, where $x_{0}$ is an arbitrary closed point of $E$ other than $\widetilde{\xi}$.

The complement of the $\kappa(\xi)$-point $\widetilde{\xi}$ of $E \cong \mathbb{P}_{\kappa(\xi)}^{1}$ is isomorphic to the affine line over $\kappa(\xi)$. Since $\alpha$ is unramified over that complement, it is induced from a class in $H^{2}(\kappa(\xi), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1))$ by [GMS03, Theorem III.9.3, p.24]. But $H^{2}(\kappa(\xi), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1))$ is the $\ell$-torsion subgroup of $\operatorname{Br}(\kappa(\xi))$, which is trivial since $\kappa(\xi)$ is a finite field. Hence $\alpha=0$.

Lemma 7.8. Let $\ell$ be a prime number, and let $\mathscr{X}$ be a two-dimensional regular integral scheme that is projective over either a finite field or the ring of integers of a number field that we assume to be totally imaginary if $\ell=2$. Let $\mathscr{Y}$ be the normalization of $\mathscr{X}$ in a degree $\ell$ separable field extension $L / F$, let $C \subset \mathscr{X}$ be a regular connected curve with function field $\kappa(C)$, and let $\alpha$ be an $\ell$-torsion element of $\operatorname{Br}(\kappa(C))$. Suppose that at every closed point $P$ of $C$ at which $\alpha$ is ramified, $\pi: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ is étale and $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is a single point. If $\eta \in \mathscr{Y}$ lies over the generic point of $C$, then the pullback $\alpha_{\kappa(\eta)}$ is split.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of closed points of $C$ where $\alpha$ is ramified. Let $D \subseteq \pi^{-1}(C)$ be the closure of $\eta$, with normalization $\tilde{D} \rightarrow D$. The pullback $\alpha_{\kappa(\eta)} \in \operatorname{Br}(\kappa(\tilde{D}))=\operatorname{Br}(\kappa(D))$ of $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(\kappa(C))$ is unramified away from $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$. Since $\pi$ is étale over each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, so is $D \rightarrow C$; hence $D$ is regular there and $\widetilde{D} \rightarrow D$ is an isomorphism over $\mathcal{O}_{P}(C)$. So $\tilde{D} \rightarrow C$ is étale over $P$, with just one point in the fiber. The residue field extension there is the unique degree $\ell$ extension of the finite field $\kappa(P)$, so it agrees with the residue $\operatorname{res}_{P}(\alpha) \in H^{1}(\kappa(P), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})$ of the $\ell$-torsion class $\alpha$ at the ramified point $P$. Thus $\alpha_{\kappa(\eta)}$ is unramified at each point over $\mathcal{P}$, hence at every point of $\widetilde{D}$. So the $\ell$-torsion class $\alpha_{\kappa(\eta)}$ lies in $\operatorname{Br}(\widetilde{D})$ by [CTS21, Theorem 3.7.7]. But $\operatorname{Br}(\widetilde{D})$ has trivial $\ell$-torsion; e.g., see [Gro68, Remarque III.2.5(b)] if $\widetilde{D}$ is a smooth projective curve over a finite field, and see [Gro68, Proposition III.2.4] if instead $\widetilde{D}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ for a number field $K$ that is totally imaginary if $\ell=2$. Hence $\alpha_{\kappa(\eta)}$ is split.

We now come to the main result of this section.
Theorem 7.9. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a two-dimensional regular integral scheme that is projective over a finite field. Let $F$ be the function field of $\mathscr{X}$. Assume that $F$ contains a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity for some prime $\ell$, and let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N} \in H^{3}(F, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(2))$. Then there is a field extension of $F$ of degree $\ell$ that splits each $\gamma_{j}$.

Proof. Let $C$ be an effective divisor on $\mathscr{X}$ that contains all the codimension one points of $\mathscr{X}$ at which at least one of the classes $\gamma_{j}$ is ramified. By Lip75, p. 193], there is a blow-up $\mathscr{X}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{X}$ such that the total transform of $C$ is a strict normal crossings divisor (i.e., it has only normal crossings and its components are regular). So after replacing $\mathscr{X}$ by $\mathscr{X}^{\prime}$, we may assume that $C$ itself satisfies this condition. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$ be the irreducible components of $C$, with function
fields $\kappa\left(C_{i}\right)$, and let $\alpha_{i, j} \in \operatorname{Br}\left(\kappa\left(C_{i}\right)\right)$ be the residue of $\gamma_{j}$ at the generic point $\xi_{i}$ of $C_{i}$. Thus $\alpha_{i, j}$ is $\ell$-torsion.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite set of closed points of $\mathscr{X}$ with at least one point on each $C_{i}$, such that $\mathcal{P}$ contains all the singular (normal crossing) points of $C$ and all the points at which any of the classes $\alpha_{i, j}$ is ramified. (In fact, all of these ramification points are singular points, by the exact sequence at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 7.7 .) Let $L / F$ be the cyclic field extension given by Lemma 7.2 applied to the points of $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ be the normalization of $\mathscr{X}$ in $L$, and let $B$ be its branch locus. Over each point of $\mathcal{P}$ the morphism $\mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ is étale and the fiber consists of a single point; hence the same holds for the generic points $\xi_{i}$ of the curves $C_{i}$, and moreover the divisor $B$ does not pass through any point of $\mathcal{P}$. There is then a blow-up $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$, centered only at points where $B \cup C$ has a singularity other than a normal crossing, such that the total transform of $B \cup C$ is a strict normal crossing divisor. Since the singular points of $C$ lie in $\mathcal{P}$, none of those points lie on $B$ and none of them are among the points that are blown up. So the proper transform $\widetilde{C}$ of $C$ maps isomorphically onto $C$, with its irreducible components mapping isomorphically onto respective components $C_{i}$ of $C$.

We now reduce to the case that $B \cup C$ is itself a strict normal crossing divisor. To do this, first observe that none of the cohomology classes $\gamma_{j}$ are ramified at any of the exceptional divisors of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$, by Lemma 7.6 applied to the complement $U \subseteq \mathscr{X}$ of $C$, in the case of an exceptional divisor lying over a point that does not lie on $C$; and by Lemma 7.7 in the case of an exceptional divisor lying over a (regular) point of $C$. Thus the proper transform $\widetilde{C}$ of $C$ contains all the codimension one points of $\mathscr{\mathscr { X }}$ at which at least one of the classes $\gamma_{j}$ is ramified. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ be the normalization of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ in $L$; its branch locus is contained in the total transform of $B$. So replacing $\mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ by $\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$, replacing $C$ by its (isomorphic) proper transform $\widetilde{C}$ and similarly for its irreducible components $C_{i}$, replacing $\mathcal{P} \subset C$ by its inverse image in $\widetilde{C}$, and replacing $B$ by the branch locus of $\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ (which is contained in the total transform of the original $B$ ), we may assume that $B \cup C$ is a strict normal crossing divisor in $\mathscr{X}$. In doing so, we retain the property that the cohomology classes $\gamma_{j}$ are ramified only at codimension one points of $\mathscr{X}$ that lie on (the new) $C$.

Our next step is to show that the given cohomology classes $\gamma_{j}$ are each unramified at every codimension one point of $\mathscr{Y}$. To see this, note that since the given cohomology classes $\gamma_{j}$ are unramified at the codimension one points on the complement $U \subseteq \mathscr{X}$ of $C$, they remain unramified at the codimension one points on its inverse image $V \subseteq \mathscr{Y}$ by Lemma 7.5. The other codimension one points of $\mathscr{Y}$ lie over the generic points $\xi_{i}$ of $C_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. As noted above, there is a unique point $\eta_{i}$ in $\mathscr{Y}$ over each $\xi_{i}$. Now $\mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ is étale over the points of $\mathcal{P}$ with each of those fibers consisting of a single point; so this holds in particular at the points where each $\alpha_{i, j}$ is ramified. It then follows from Lemma 7.8 that $\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right)_{\eta_{i}}$ is split. That is, $\gamma_{j}$ is unramified at the points $\eta_{i} \in \mathscr{Y}$ lying over the generic points of the curves $C_{i}$, as well as at the other codimension one points of $\mathscr{Y}$; and that completes this step.

Next, we claim that $\mathscr{Y}$ is regular at every closed point $Q$ lying over a point $P$ of $C$. To see this, note that $\mathscr{Y}$ is regular at $Q$ if $P$ is not a point of $B$, since $\mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{X}$ is étale there and $\mathscr{X}$ is regular. Now suppose that $P \in B$. Then $P$ is a nodal point of $B \cup C$, and is a regular point of $B$ and of $C$, lying on a unique irreducible component of each. These components are respectively defined in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X}, P}$ by elements $f, g$ that form a system of parameters. By Lemma 7.3, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{Y}, Q}$ is regular, proving the claim.

Every singular point of $\mathscr{Y}$ lies in $V$ by the above claim, and the two-dimensional normal scheme $\mathscr{Y}$ has only finitely many singular points. Thus there is a blow-up $\mathscr{Z} \rightarrow \mathscr{Y}$ centered at those points of $V$, with $\mathscr{Z}$ regular. This is an isomorphism away from those finitely many points, and Lemma 7.5 implies that the classes $\gamma_{j}$ are unramified at every codimension one point of $\mathscr{Z}$ that lies over a codimension one point of $\mathscr{Y}$. The only other codimension one points of $\mathscr{Z}$ are the generic points of the exceptional divisors of the blow-up $\mathscr{Z} \rightarrow \mathscr{Y}$, which lie over closed points of $V$. Let $W$ be the inverse image of $U \subseteq \mathscr{X}$ (or equivalently, of $V \subseteq \mathscr{Y}$ ) in $\mathscr{Z}$. Applying Lemma 7.6 to $W \rightarrow U$, we find that the classes $\gamma_{j}$ are unramified at the codimension one points of $W$, and in particular at the exceptional divisors of $\mathscr{Z} \rightarrow \mathscr{Y}$. Since $\mathscr{Z}$ is regular with function field $L$, Kat86, Corollary to Theorem 0.7] asserts that the residue $\operatorname{map} H^{3}(L, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mathscr{R}_{1}} H^{2}(\kappa(\zeta), \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(1))$ is injective, where $\mathscr{Z}_{1}$ is the set of dimension one points of $\mathscr{Z}$. Hence the pullback of each $\gamma_{j}$ to $H^{3}(L, \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}(2))$ is trivial, as needed.

## 8. Applications

This section gives concrete applications of our bound. We start with an example involving 3 -dimensional fields over the complex numbers. A result of de Jong ( ([deJ04]) shows that for the function field of a complex algebraic surface, the index of a Brauer class (that is, an element in degree 2 cohomology) must equal its period. In contrast, bounds for the index of a degree 3 cohomology class on the function field of a complex threefold are not known. On the other hand, if we consider a somewhat simpler 3 -dimensional field $F$, namely a finite extension of the field $\mathbb{C}(x, y)((t))$, it follows (for example from Lemma 6.1) that a class in $H^{3}\left(F, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ will have index at most $\ell$. If $F$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{C}(y)((t))(x)$, the arithmetic is more subtle. Using [deJ04] to show $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{2}(\mathbb{C}(x, y)) \leqslant 1$, Theorem 2.9 gives that $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{2}(\mathbb{C}(y)((t))(x)) \leqslant 3$ or 4 , depending on the parity of $\ell$. On the other hand, de Jong's theorem does not give us information about $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{2}(\mathbb{C}(x, y))$, and hence the methods of [Gos19] and Proposition 2.7 do not give bounds on the index of degree 3 cohomology classes for such fields. Using our new results, we obtain the following bounds for degree 3 cohomology:

Proposition 8.1. Let $k=\mathbb{C}(\mathscr{Y})$ be the function field of a complex curve. Let $\ell$ be a prime.
(a) If $F$ is a one-variable function field over $k((s))$, then $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant 2$ if $\ell$ is odd and $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant 3$ if $\ell=2$.
(b) More generally, if $F_{r}$ is a one-variable function field over $k\left(\left(s_{1}\right)\right) \cdots\left(\left(s_{r}\right)\right)$ for $r>0$, then $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant\left(r^{2}+r+2\right) / 2$ if $\ell$ is odd and $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant\left(r^{2}+3 r+2\right) / 2$ if $\ell=2$.

Proof. Note that $k$ and $k(x)$ have cohomological dimension 1 and 2 respectively, and thus $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(k)=\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(k(x))=0$. The first statement now follows directly from Theorem 2.9. The second statement is by Theorem 6.4 (with $m=2$ ).

In the situation above, Theorem 6.4 also gives bounds for $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(F_{r}\right)$ when $3<i<r+3$; e.g., $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{4}\left(F_{r}\right) \leqslant\left(r^{2}-r+2\right) / 2$ if $\ell$ is odd and $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{4}\left(F_{r}\right) \leqslant\left(r^{2}+r\right) / 2$ if $\ell=2$. As $i$ increases, $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}\left(F_{r}\right)$ decreases, and becomes 0 for $i \geqslant r+3$. Bounds for $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{2}\left(F_{r}\right)$ were given in [Gos19].

We now move on to a class of examples related to global residue fields. Information about the period-index problem for degree 2 cohomology classes when $F$ is a one-variable function field over a number field has been highly sought after. As of yet, bounds of this type are only known contingent upon conjectures of Colliot-Thélène [LPS14]. Remarkably, the work of Lieblich [Lie15] has shown that the index divides the square of the period in the case of a function field $F$ of a
surface over a finite field, giving $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{2}(F) \leqslant 2$ in this case. Nevertheless, in neither situation do we have information on $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{2}(F)$, and so again we are unable to apply [Gos19] or Proposition 2.7 to obtain bounds on the index of a cohomology class of degree higher than 3. On the other hand, degree 3 cohomology over such fields is much more directly tractable, as was highlighted in the work of Kato [Kat86]. Building on Theorems 7.1 and 7.9 above together with our previous results, we obtain Proposition 8.3 , Proposition 8.4, and the numerical examples that follow. First we state a lemma.

Lemma 8.2. Let $k$ be a global field, let $E$ be the function field of a regular projective $k$-curve $C$, and let $\ell$ be a prime unequal to char $(k)$. Then $H^{3}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right) \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $P \in C$ be any closed point, and let $k^{\prime}$ be its residue field. Since $k^{\prime}$ is also a global field, the $\ell$-torsion subgroup $\operatorname{Br}\left(k^{\prime}\right)[\ell] \subseteq \operatorname{Br}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ is non-trivial (e.g., by [GS17, Corollary 6.5.3, Proposition 6.3.7] in the function field case and Pie82, Theorem 18.5] in the number field case). The period and index of a non-trivial element $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}\left(k^{\prime}\right)[\ell]$ both equal $\ell$ since $k^{\prime}$ is a global field. By [Sal84, Theorem 3.11, Corollary 5.3], as $\ell$ is prime, we may lift $\alpha$ to an index $\ell$ class $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C, P}\right)[\ell] \subseteq \operatorname{Br}(E)[\ell]=H^{2}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}\right)$. Let $t \in \mathcal{O}_{C, P} \subset E$ be a uniformizer at $P$, and set $\beta=\widetilde{\alpha} \cup(t) \in H^{3}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{res}_{v_{P}}(\beta)=\alpha \neq 0$ by [GMS03, Proposition II.7.11, p. 18], using that the residue homomorphism $\operatorname{res}_{v_{P}}$ associated to the discrete valuation $v_{P}$ defined by $P$ is defined by passing through the completion ([GMS03, Section II.7.13, p. 19]). Hence $\beta \in H^{3}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ is nonzero.

Proposition 8.3. Suppose $k$ is a global field. If $k$ is a function field, choose a prime $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$. If $k$ is a number field, take $\ell=2$. Let $E$ be a one-variable function field over $k$. Then $\operatorname{sd}_{\ell}^{3}(E)=$ $\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{3}(E)=\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(E)=1$.

Proof. By Lemma 8.2, $H^{3}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right) \neq 0$, hence $0<\operatorname{sd}_{\ell}^{3}(E) \leqslant \operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{3}(E) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(E)$. Thus to show that $\operatorname{sd}_{\ell}^{3}(E)=\operatorname{ssd}_{\ell}^{3}(E)=\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(E)=1$, it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(E)$ is at most 1 . Every finite extension of $E$ is of the same form (i.e., a one-variable function field over a global field). So it suffices to consider classes in $H^{3}\left(E, \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes 2}\right)$, and not separately treat classes over finite extensions $E^{\prime}$ of $E$. By Lemma 2.3 , we may also assume that $E$ contains a primitive $\ell$-th root of unity, since adjoining this element produces a field extension of degree prime to $\ell$.

If $k$ is a function field, then the desired assertion is now immediate from Theorem 7.9. In the case where $k$ is a number field and $\ell=2$, it is immediate from Theorem 7.1.

Our next examples concern function fields over higher local fields whose residue field is a global field. Examples of such fields include $F=K(x)$ where $K=\mathbb{Q}((s))$ or $\mathbb{F}_{p}(y)((s))$, or where $K$ is the $p$-adic completion of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}(t)$, or where $K$ is a field of iterated Laurent series over one of these fields.

Proposition 8.4. Let $k$ be a global field, and let $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$ be a prime. In the number field case assume $\ell=2$. Suppose we have a sequence of fields $k=k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}$, with $r \geqslant 1$, where $k_{j}$ is a complete discretely valued field with residue field $k_{j-1}$ for all $j \geqslant 1$, and let $F$ be a one-variable function field over $k_{r}$. Then

- if $\ell$ is odd, we have $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant 1+\frac{r}{2}(r+3)$,
- if $\ell$ is even, and $k$ has no real places, we have $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant 1+\frac{r}{2}(r+5)$,
- if $\ell$ is even, and $k$ has real places, we have $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant 2+\frac{r}{2}(r+5)$.

Proof. Since $F$ is a finite extension of $k_{r}(x)$, we have that $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}\left(k_{r}(x)\right)$. Hence it suffices to prove the assertion for $F=k_{r}(x)$.

If $k$ is a number field (and $\ell=2$ ), we can reduce to the case that $k$ has no real places by adjoining a square root of -1 if necessary. This increases by 1 the power of $\ell$ in the degree of the splitting extension, and so the bound on $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F)$ increases by 1 (as in the assertion of the third case). So we can now assume that the global field $k$ has no real places, and in particular that we are in one of the first two cases.

In the notation of Theorem 6.3 with $i=3$, we have $d=\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(k)=0$, by Ser97, Proposition II.4.4.13] in the case of a totally imaginary number field, and by [Ser97, Corollary in II.4.2] in the global function field case. Moreover, $\delta=\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(k(x))=1$ by Proposition 8.3. Theorem 6.3 thus gives the desired bounds.

In the situation of Proposition 8.4, if $k$ has no real places, then for $r=1,2,3$ we find $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{3}(F) \leqslant 3,6,10$, respectively, if $\ell$ is odd; and $\leqslant 4,8,13$, respectively, if $\ell=2$. Again, Theorem 6.4 gives information on the higher cohomology groups. Note that $c=\mathrm{cd}_{\ell}(k)=2$ as in the above proof; moreover, $\operatorname{gss}_{\ell}^{3}(k(x))=1$ by Proposition 8.3. Hence for this field $F$ with $r=1,2,3$, Theorem 6.4 yields that $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{4}(F) \leqslant 2,4,7$ respectively if $\ell$ is odd, and $\leqslant 3,6,10$ respectively if $\ell=2$. Observe that our bound for $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ decreases as $i$ increases. For example, if $r=3$ then $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F) \leqslant 10,7,4,2,0$ for $i=3,4,5,6,7$ if $\ell$ is odd, and $\leqslant 13,10,6,3,0$ if $\ell=2$. Note in particular the relationship between the bounds for $\operatorname{gssd}_{\ell}^{i}(F)$ as $i$ increases and those as $r$ decreases (and see Remark 6.5c] for a further discussion).

On the other hand, if $k$ is a number field with a real place (and $\ell=2$ ), then the bounds each increase by 1 as above. For example, for $r=1,2,3$ in that case, we have $\operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{3}(F) \leqslant 5,9,14$ and $\operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{4}(F) \leqslant 4,7,11$, respectively. And for $r=3$ in that case, $\operatorname{gssd}_{2}^{i}(F) \leqslant 14,11,7,4,1$ for $i=3,4,5,6,7$.
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