Math 202, Fall 2013

## Notes on the Solution of $x^2 = 2$

These are my notes that the equation  $x^2 = 2$  has a real solution. They rely critically on the Archimedian property of the real numbers: If a and b are any two positive real numbers, then there is a positive integer n such that na > b. Equivalently, there is an integer such that b/n < a.

Let  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x^2 < 2\}$ . Since  $1 \in S$ , the set S is not empty. The squares of elements in S are all less than 2 so S is bounded above. Thus, by the least upper bound property of the real numbers, there is a real  $\alpha$  that is the least upper bound of S.

I claim that  $\alpha^2 = 2$ , so  $\alpha$  is the desired solution. I demonstrate this by showing that both other possibilities,  $\alpha^2 < 2$  and  $\alpha^2 > 2$ , give contradictions.

**Case 1** Intuitive idea: If  $\alpha^2 < 2$ , it looks like it is too small so we will increase it a bit to obtain a  $\beta$  that is larger than  $\alpha$  yet still in S. This will show that in this case  $\alpha$  is not an upper bound for S.

DETAILS. Naively seek  $\beta$  in the form  $\beta := \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$  and pick n to be a sufficiently large integer. Clearly  $\beta > \alpha$ . We want to pick n so that  $\beta^2 < 2$ , since then  $\beta \in S$ . Because  $1/n^2 \le 1/n$ , we have

$$\beta^2 = \alpha^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \le \alpha^2 + \frac{2\alpha + 1}{n}.$$

Because  $2 - \alpha^2 > 0$ , we can now pick *n* so large that  $\frac{2\alpha+1}{n} < 2 - \alpha^2$ . This gives  $\beta^2 < \alpha^2 + (2 - \alpha^2) = 2$ . Consequently  $\beta$  is an element of *S* that is larger than  $\alpha$  and hence  $\alpha$  is not an upper bound for *S*.

**Case 2** Intuitive idea: If  $\alpha^2 > 2$ , it looks like it is too large so we will decrease it a bit to obtain a  $\beta$  that is smaller than  $\alpha$  yet still  $\beta^2 > 2$  so it is still an upper bound for S. This will show that in this case  $\alpha$  is *not* the least upper bound for S.

DETAILS. Naively seek  $\beta$  in the form  $\beta := \alpha - \frac{1}{n}$  and pick n to be a sufficiently large integer. Clearly  $\beta < \alpha$ . We want to pick n so that  $\beta^2 > 2$ , since then  $\beta \notin S$ . Now

$$\beta^2 = \alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} > \alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{n} = 2 + [\alpha^2 - 2] - \frac{2\alpha}{n}.$$

Because  $\alpha^2 > 2$ , if we pick *n* sufficiently large then the right hand side above will be larger than 2, that is,  $\beta^2 > 2$  so  $\beta$  is an upper bound for *S*. But  $\beta < \alpha$  so in this case  $\alpha$  is not the least upper bound for *S*.

The following problems use similar ideas and will be on Homework Set 3.

- 1. Given any rationals p and q with p < q, show there is an irrational number  $\alpha$  so that  $p < \alpha < q$ ; "between any two rationals there is an irrational."
- 2. Given any irrationals  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ , show there is a rational number r so that  $\alpha < r < \beta$ .; "between any two irrationals there is a rational."

[Last revised: August 30, 2018]