

# Some solutions to Homework 7

Problem 1) Suppose  $T$  is totally bounded in  $(M, d_1)$ . We want to show that  $T$  is also totally bounded in  $(M, d_2)$ .

For this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We know that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $d_2(x, y) \leq C \cdot d_1(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$ .

Now by our assumption, we can cover  $T$  by  $\frac{\varepsilon}{C}$ -disks:

suppose  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in T$  satisfy  $T \subseteq D_1(x_1, \frac{\varepsilon}{C}) \cup \dots \cup D_1(x_n, \frac{\varepsilon}{C})$ , where  $D_1(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{C}) = \{y \in M \mid d_1(y, x_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{C}\}$  is the sphere in  $(M, d_1)$ .

Note that if  $y \in D_1(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{C})$ , then  $d_2(y, x_i) \leq C \cdot d_1(y, x_i) < C \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{C} = \varepsilon$ , so  $y \in D_2(x_i, \varepsilon)$ . Thus we get inclusion

$D_2(x_1, \varepsilon) \cup \dots \cup D_2(x_n, \varepsilon) \supseteq D_1(x_1, \frac{\varepsilon}{C}) \cup \dots \cup D_1(x_n, \frac{\varepsilon}{C}) \supseteq T$ , so the disks  $D_2(x_i, \varepsilon)$  cover  $T$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $T$  is totally bounded in  $(M, d_2)$ .

Problem 2) Suppose  $T \subseteq M$  is totally bounded. We want to prove that its closure  $\bar{T}$  is totally bounded. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Pick a covering of  $T$  by  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -disks:

$$T \subseteq D(x_1, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \cup \dots \cup D(x_n, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \text{ for some } x_i \in T.$$

Now I claim that  $\bar{T} \subseteq D(x_1, \varepsilon) \cup \dots \cup D(x_n, \varepsilon)$ .

If  $y \in \bar{T}$ , there is an  $x \in T$  with  $d(y, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Then  $x \in D(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$  for some  $i$ , so  $d(x, x_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . By triangle ineq, we get  $d(y, x_i) < \varepsilon$  so  $y \in D(x_i, \varepsilon)$ . As  $y \in \bar{T}$  was arbitrary,

we have  $\bar{T} \subseteq D(x_1, \varepsilon) \cup \dots \cup D(x_n, \varepsilon)$  and  $\bar{T}$  is totally bounded.

Pg 125, #2) Assume that every bounded sequence in  $M$  has a convergent subsequence.

We want to prove that every Cauchy sequence in  $M$  converges.

Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $M$ . Every Cauchy sequence is bounded, so by assumption  $(x_n)$  has a convergent subsequence, say  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in M$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we want to prove that in fact  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, there is  $N$  s.t. for  $n, m \geq N$  we have  $d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$ , there is some  $n_k > N$  such that  $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Now for all  $n \geq N$  we have  $d(x_n, x) \leq d(x_n, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$ ,

so we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ , and  $M$  is complete.

Pg 125, #5

Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $M$ . As  $(x_n)$  is bounded, by assumption it has a cluster point  $x \in M$ . We want to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Take  $N$  such that for  $n, m \geq N$

we have  $d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Since  $x$  is a cluster point of  $(x_n)$ ,

there is a  $k \geq N$  with  $d(x_k, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . But now for any  $n \geq N$

we have  $d(x_n, x) \leq d(x_n, x_k) + d(x_k, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$ .

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  and  $M$  is complete.

Pg 145, #13

" $\supseteq$ ": Clearly  $A \subseteq c(A)$ . If  $x \in b(A)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $D(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , so  $x \in c(A)$ . Thus  $A \cup b(A) \subseteq c(A)$ .

" $\subseteq$ ": Let  $x \in c(A)$ . 1) If  $\exists \varepsilon > 0: (D(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq A)$ , then  $x \in \text{int}(A) \subseteq A$ .

2) If  $\nexists \varepsilon > 0: (D(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq A)$ , then  $D(x, \varepsilon) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset, \forall \varepsilon > 0$ .

OTOH,  $D(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  as  $x \in c(A)$ , so by def.  $x \in b(A)$ .

Thus  $x \in A \cup b(A)$  in both cases, so  $c(A) \subseteq A \cup b(A)$ .

Pg 145 # 14) In all of these, we will use the following fact:

if  $A \subseteq B \subseteq M$ , then  $cl(A) \subseteq cl(B)$ .

( $cl(B)$  is closed set and it contains  $A$ , so it must contain  $cl(A)$ ).

a) Note that  $cl(A)$  is already a closed set, so its closure is itself. Thus  $cl(cl(A)) = cl(A)$ .

b)  $\supseteq$ : As  $A \subseteq A \cup B$  and  $B \subseteq A \cup B$ , we have  $cl(A) \subseteq cl(A \cup B)$  and  $cl(B) \subseteq cl(A \cup B)$ .

Thus  $cl(A) \cup cl(B) \subseteq cl(A \cup B)$ .

" $\subseteq$ " As  $A \subseteq cl(A)$ ,  $B \subseteq cl(B)$ , we have  $A \cup B \subseteq cl(A) \cup cl(B)$ .

Since  $cl(A) \cup cl(B)$  is a closed set containing  $A \cup B$ , it contains  $cl(A \cup B)$ ,

so  $cl(A) \cup cl(B) \supseteq cl(A \cup B)$ .

c) As  $A \cap B \subseteq A$ , and  $A \cap B \subseteq B$ , we have  $cl(A \cap B) \subseteq cl(A)$  and  $cl(A \cap B) \subseteq cl(B)$ .

Thus  $cl(A \cap B) \subseteq cl(A) \cap cl(B)$ .

Pg 145 # 17)

$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x_m$  converges absolutely

$\Rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m| < \infty$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m \sin(m)| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |x_m| < \infty$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x_m \sin(m)$  converges absolutely

$\Rightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x_m \sin(m)$  converges.

Pg 146 # 26) Denote  $f(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{1+x}$  for  $x \in [1, 2]$ . Note that

for  $x \in [1, 2]$ ,

$$1 \leq f(x) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{1+1} \leq 2, \text{ so } f(x) \in [1, 2].$$

As  $a_0 = 1$  and  $f(a_{n-1}) = a_n$ , we see that  $a_n \in [1, 2]$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

If  $x, y \in [1, 2]$ , we have  $f(x) - f(y) = \frac{1}{1+x} - \frac{1}{1+y} = \frac{y-x}{(1+x)(1+y)}$ , so

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{4} |x - y|.$$

This means that  $|a_{n+1} - a_n| \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \Rightarrow (a_n)$  Cauchy  $\Rightarrow$  Converges.

limit sat.  $x = 1 + \frac{1}{1+x} \Rightarrow \boxed{x = \sqrt{2}}$

## Definitions:

$A$  is sequentially compact if every sequence  $x_n \in A$  has a limit  $x \in A$  subseq. with

Every infinite ~~subsequence~~  <sup>$S \subseteq A$</sup>  subset of  $A$  has an accumulation point in  $A$ :  
i.e.  $x \in A$  that satisfies  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  there are infinitely many  $y \in S$  with  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Suppose  $x_n \in A$  is a sequence in  $A$ .

Define  $S = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq A$ . Two cases:

1) If  $S$  is finite, then there are  $(x_{n_k})$  subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , which is constant: all  $x_{n_k}$  are the same. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} \in A.$$

2) If  $S$  is infinite, then by assumption it has an accumulation point  $x \in A$ . We can then define a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  recursively:

$$\begin{cases} n_1 = 1 \\ n_{k+1} = \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > n_k \text{ and } d(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{k+1}\} \end{cases}$$

(Note that min. of an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  always exists.)

Now  $x_{n_k} \in A$  and  $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \frac{1}{k}$  so  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x \in A$ .

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Suppose  $S \subseteq A$  is infinite. We want to prove that  $S$  has an accumulation point in  $A$ . Take  $x_n \in S$  to be distinct for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ : this is possible since  $S$  is infinite. By assumption  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  with  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in A$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let's show that  $x$  is an accumulation point of  $S$ : if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $N$  s.t.  $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \varepsilon$  for all  $k \geq N$ . Thus the points  $x_{n_k} \in S$  are all in the disk  $D(x, \varepsilon)$  and since there are infinitely many of them,  $x$  is an accumulation point of  $S$ .