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## 1. The Seifert-van Kampen theorem

1.1. A refinement of the Seifert-van Kampen theorem. We are going to make a refinement of the theorem so that we don't have to worry about that openness problem. We first start with a definition.

Definition 1.1 (Neighbourhood deformation retract). A subset $A \subseteq X$ is a neighbourhood deformation retract if there is an open set $A \subset U \subset X$ such that $A$ is a strong deformation retract of $U$, i.e. there exists a retraction $r: U \rightarrow A$ and $r \simeq \operatorname{Id}_{U} r e l A$.

This is something that is true most of the time, in sufficiently sane spaces.
Example 1.2. If $Y$ is a subcomplex of a cell complex, then $Y$ is a neighbourhood deformation retract.

Theorem 1.3. Let $X$ be a space, $A, B \subseteq X$ closed subspaces. Suppose that $A, B$ and $A \cap B$ are path connected, and $A \cap B$ is a neighbourhood deformation retract of $A$ and $B$. Then for any $x_{0} \in A \cap B$.

$$
\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(A, x_{0}\right) \underset{\pi_{1}\left(A \cap B, x_{0}\right)}{*} \pi_{1}\left(B, x_{0}\right) .
$$

This is just like Seifert-van Kampen theorem, but usually easier to apply, since we no longer have to "fatten up" our $A$ and $B$ to make them open.

If you know some sheaf theory, then what Seifert-van Kampen theorem really says is that the fundamental groupoid $\Pi_{1}(X)$ is a cosheaf on $X$. Here $\Pi_{1}(X)$ is a category with object pints in $X$ and morphisms as homotopy classes of path in $X$, which can be regard as a global version of $\pi_{1}(X)$.
1.2. A generalization of the Seifert-van Kampen theorem. Here's a generalization of the Seifert-van Kampen theorem by Jacob Lurie, which describes the entire weak homotopy type of $X$ in terms of any stoficiently nice covering of $X$ by open sets.

Theorem 1.4. Let $X$ be a topological space, let $U(X)$ denote the collection of all open subsets of $X$ (partially ordered by inclusion). Let C be a small category and let $\chi: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow U(X)$ be a functor. For every $x \in X$, let $\mathrm{C}_{x}$ denote the full subcategory of C spanned by those objects $C \in \mathrm{C}$ such that $x \in \chi(C)$. Assume that $\chi$ satisfies the following condition:
(1) For every point $x$, the simplicial set $N\left(\mathrm{C}_{x}\right)$ is weakly contractible.

Then the canonical map $\lim _{C \in \mathrm{C}} \operatorname{Sing}(\chi(C)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sing}(X)$ exhibits the simplicial set $\operatorname{Sing}(X)$ as a homotopy colimit of the diagram $\{\operatorname{Sing}(\chi(C))\}_{C \in \mathrm{C}}$.

Proof. See Higher algebra A.3.1.

## 2. Problem 4

Let $G=\left(a, b: a^{4}=1, b^{2}=1, b a b^{-1}=a^{-1}\right)$. Since $b a b^{-1}=a^{-1}, b a=a^{-1} b$. From this,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b a^{2}=(b a) a=\left(a^{-1} b\right) a=a^{-1}(b a)=a^{-2} b . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we can get $b a^{3}=a^{-3} b$. Note that $a^{-n} b=a^{4-n} b$ for $1 \leq n \leq 3$. Hence we see that $G=\left\{e, a, a^{2}, a^{3}, b, a b, a^{2} b, a^{3} b\right\}$ since all other elements can be reduced by our observation. Now we show that $G$ is isomorphic to $D_{4}$. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow D_{4}$ be a homomorphism defined by $\phi(a)=a^{\prime}$, $\phi(b)=b^{\prime}$, where $a^{\prime}$ is a generator of rotation and $b^{\prime}$ is a generator of reflexion on $D_{4}$. Note that $D_{4}=\left\{e, a^{\prime}, a^{\prime 2}, a^{\prime 3}, b^{\prime}, a^{\prime} b, a^{\prime 2} b, a^{\prime 3} b\right\}$ and satisfies the relation $b a^{n}=a^{-n} b$. It's easy to see that $\phi$ is surjective. Since $|G|=\left|D^{4}\right|, \phi$ is injective, hence an isomorphism.

## 3. Problem 6

Let $m=x y x$ and $n=x y$. We claim that $\{m, n\}$ generates $H$ as well. In fact $m^{-1} n^{2}=y$ and $n y^{-1}=x$ and the result follows. Define a homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ by letting $\phi(a)=m$ and $\phi(b)=n$. Since $m$ and $n$ generate $H, \phi$ is onto. Now let $\phi(z)=e$. Note that $e \in H$ is generated by $x y x y^{-1} x^{-1} y^{-1}$, we can write it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x y x)\left(y^{-1} x^{-1}\right) y^{-1}=m n^{-1}\left(n^{-2} m\right)=m n^{-3} m \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{-1}=\left(m^{-1} n^{2}\right)^{-1}=n^{-2} m . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)$ is generated by $a b^{-3} a$. In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b^{-3}=a b^{-3} e=a b^{-3}\left(b^{3} a^{-2}\right)=a^{-1}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $a b^{-3} a=e$. Therefore, the $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)$ is trivial and hence $\phi$ is an isomorphism.

## 4. Problem 14

Consider $n$-sphere $S^{n}=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}:|\mathbf{v}|=1\right\}$ for $n \geq 2$. We want to find $\pi_{1}\left(S^{n}\right)$.
The idea is to write $S^{n}$ as a union of two open sets. We let $n=\mathbf{e}_{1} \in S^{n} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the North pole, and $s=-\mathbf{e}_{1}$ be the South pole. We let $A=S^{n} \backslash\{n\}$, and $B=S^{n} \backslash\{s\}$. By stereographic projection, we know that $A, B \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The hard part is to understand the intersection.

To do so, we can draw a cylinder $S^{n-1} \times(-1,1)$, and project our $A \cap B$ onto the cylinder. We can similarly project the cylinder onto $A \cap B$. So $A \cap B \cong S^{n-1} \times(-1,1) \simeq S^{n-1}$, since $(-1,1)$ is contractible.

We can now apply the Seifert-van Kampen theorem. Note that this works only if $S^{n-1}$ is pathconnected, i.e. $n \geq 2$. Then this tells us that

$$
\pi_{1}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \underset{\pi_{1}\left(S^{n-1}\right)}{*} \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cong 1 \underset{\pi_{1}\left(S^{n-1}\right)}{*} 1
$$

It is easy to see this is the trivial group. We can see this directly form the universal property of the amalgamated free product, or note that it is the quotient of $1 * 1$, which is 1 .

So for $n \geq 2, \pi_{1}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong 1$.

## 5. Problem 15

Suppose we take the wedge sum of two circles $S^{1} \wedge S^{1}$. We would like to pick $A, B$ to be each of the circles, but we cannot, since $A$ and $B$ have to be open. Notice that both $A$ and $B$ retract to the circle. So $\pi_{1}(A) \cong \pi_{1}(B) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, while $A \cap B$ is a cross, which retracts to a point. So $\pi_{1}(A \cap B)=1$.

Hence by the Seifert-van Kampen theorem, we get

$$
\pi_{1}\left(S^{1} \wedge S^{1}, x_{0}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(A, x_{0}\right) \underset{\pi_{1}\left(A \cap B, x_{0}\right)}{*} \pi_{1}\left(B, x_{0}\right) \cong \underset{1}{*} * \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} \cong F_{2},
$$

where $F_{2}$ is just $F(S)$ for $|S|=2$. We can see that $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} \cong F_{2}$ by showing that they satisfy the same universal property.

Note that we had already figured this out when we studied the free group, where we realized $F_{2}$ is the fundamental group of this thing.

More generally, as long as $x_{0}, y_{0}$ in $X$ and $Y$ are "reasonable", $\pi_{1}(X \wedge Y) \cong \pi_{1}(X) * \pi_{1}(Y)$.

## 6. Problem 16

Let $X$ be the 2-torus. Possibly, our favorite picture of the torus is (not a doughnut):


This is already a description of the torus as a cell complex! We start with our zero complex $X^{(0)}$ which is a point $\bullet$.
We then add our 1-cells to get $X^{(1)}$ :


We now glue our square to the cell complex to get $X=X^{(2)}$ :

matching up the colors and directions of arrows.
So we have our torus as a cell complex. What is its fundamental group? There are many ways we can do this computation, but this time we want to do it as a cell complex.

We start with $X^{(0)}$. This is a single point. So its fundamental group is $\pi_{1}\left(X^{(0)}\right)=1$.
When we add our two 1-cells, we get $\pi_{1}\left(X^{(1)}\right)=F_{2} /\langle a, b\rangle$.
Finally, to get $\pi_{1}(X)$, we have to quotient out by the boundary of our square, which is just $a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$. So we have

$$
\pi_{1}\left(X^{(2)}\right)=F_{2} /<a b a^{-1} b^{-1}>=<a, b \mid a b a^{-1} b^{-1}>\mathbb{Z}^{2} .
$$

We have the last congruence since we have two generators, and then we make them commute by quotienting the commutator out.
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