

## Homework 10 Solutions

1. (a) We will show that  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism, injective, and surjective. To see that it is a homomorphism, let  $a, b \in R$  and  $\phi, \psi \in \text{hom}(M, N)$ . We want to show that  $\Phi(a\phi + b\psi) = a\Phi(\phi) + b\Phi(\psi)$ . Let  $f \in N^*$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(a\phi + b\psi)(f) &= (a\phi + b\psi)^*(f) \\
 &= f \circ (a\phi + b\psi) \\
 &= f \circ (a\phi) + f \circ (b\psi) \\
 &= a(f \circ \phi) + b(f \circ \psi) \\
 &= a\phi^*(f) + b\psi^*(f) \\
 &= a\Phi(\phi)(f) + b\Phi(\psi)(f)
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

For isomorphism, we look at part b to show that  $\Phi$  is transpose, and then problem 2 to see that transpose is an isomorphism.

- (b) Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  be a homomorphism, and let  $A_\phi$  the matrix representing it. That is, the matrix with entries  $a_{ij}$  so that  $\phi(\alpha_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\beta_j$ . The matrix of  $\phi^*$  has elements  $b_{kl}$  given by  $\phi^*(\beta_k^*) = \sum_{l=1}^m b_{kl}\alpha_l^*$ . The latter is  $\beta_k^* \circ \phi$ , and we apply this to  $\alpha_i$  and obtain  $\beta_k^*(\phi(\alpha_i)) = \beta_k^*(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\beta_j) = a_{ik}$ . But similarly, it is  $\phi^*(\beta_k^*)(\alpha_i) = \sum_{l=1}^m b_{kl}\alpha_l^*(\alpha_i) = b_{ki}$ . And so  $a_{ik} = b_{ki}$ , so  $A_{\phi^*} = A_\phi^\tau$ .
2. (a) We must show homomorphism, injective, and surjective. To see that it is a homomorphism, let  $A, B$  be  $n \times m$  matrices, and let  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ . We want to show that  $(\alpha A + \beta B)^\tau = \alpha A^\tau + \beta B^\tau$ . We do this by noting that the matrix elements of  $\alpha A + \beta B$  are  $\alpha a_{ij} + \beta b_{ij}$ , and the transpose has  $\alpha a_{ji} + \beta b_{ji}$ . Similarly, for  $\alpha A^\tau + \beta B^\tau$ , we have  $\alpha a_{ji} + \beta b_{ji}$ , and so the two are equal. For injectivity and surjectivity, we will show that transpose is its own inverse. Let  $A$  be a matrix with elements  $a_{ij}$ . Then its transpose is a matrix with elements  $a_{ji}$ , and its transpose is  $a_{ij}$  again. Thus,  $A^{\tau\tau} = A$ , and so transpose is its own inverse, and so must be an isomorphism.
- (b) We have shown it to be a module isomorphism. All that remains is to show that  $(AB)^\tau = B^\tau A^\tau$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be matrices with elements  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$ . Then  $AB$  has matrix elements  $\sum_{k=1}^m a_{ik}b_{kj}$ .

The transpose is  $\sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}b_{ki}$ , so these are the matrix elements of  $(AB)^\tau$ . For  $A^\tau$  and  $B^\tau$ , we have elements  $a_{ji}$  and  $b_{ji}$ . Multiplying together we get  $\sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}b_{ki}$ , as desired.

3. (a) If  $A$  is a matrix with elements  $a_{ij}$ ,  $\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ii}$ . So, if  $A, B$  are arbitrary matrices with elements  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$ , and  $r \in R$ , then  $A + B$  has elements  $a_{ij} + b_{ij}$ , and so  $\text{tr}(A + B) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ii} + b_{ii} = \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$ , and  $rA$  has elements  $ra_{ij}$ , so  $\text{tr}(rA) = \sum_{i=1}^m ra_{ii} = r \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ii} = r \text{tr}(A)$ .
  - (b) False. Let  $I$  be the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. Then  $\text{tr}(I * I) = \text{tr}(I) = 2$ , but  $\text{tr}(I) \text{tr}(I) = 2 * 2 = 4$ .
  - (c) Let  $A$  be an arbitrary matrix with elements  $a_{ij}$ . Then  $\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{jj} = \text{tr}(A^\tau)$ .
  - (d) Let  $A, B$  be matrices with elements  $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$ . Then  $AB$  has elements  $\sum_{k=1}^m a_{ik}b_{kj}$ , and  $BA$  has elements  $\sum_{k=1}^m b_{ik}a_{kj}$ . Taking traces, we have  $\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m a_{ik}b_{ki}$ , and  $\text{tr}(BA) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m b_{ik}a_{ki}$ . These are equal by relabeling the indices.
  - (e) Let  $S \in GL_m(R)$  and  $A$  be a matrix. Then  $\text{tr}(SAS^{-1}) = \text{tr}(S(AS^{-1}))$ . By the previous part, this is equal to  $\text{tr}((AS^{-1})S) = \text{tr}(ASS^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A)$ .
  - (f) False. The invertible matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  has trace zero.
4. For this problem, we must merely check these things for  $P_A(X)$ , because  $P_\phi(X)$  is defined to be  $P_A(x)$  for some  $A$  representing  $\phi$ .
    - (a) We proceed by induction. As  $P_A(X) = \det(XI - A)$ , for a  $1 \times 1$  matrix  $A = (a)$ , this is  $x - a$ , a degree 1 polynomial. Now, assume that the result holds for matrices of size less than  $k \times k$ , and let  $A$  be a  $k \times k$  matrix,  $A_{ij}$  the minor obtained by deleting the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column, and  $\Delta_{ij}$  the similar minor of  $XI - A$ . Then,  $P_A(X) = (X - a_{11}) \det(XI - A_{11}) + \sum_{i=2}^k (-1)^{i+1} a_{1i} \Delta_{1i}$ . Now,  $\Delta_{1i}$  is of degree less than or equal to  $k - 2$ , because it has had two of the  $x - a_{jj}$  terms removed. By induction hypothesis,  $\det(XI - A_{11})$  is monic of degree  $k - 1$ , and so  $(x - a_{11}) \det(XI - A_{11})$  is monic of degree  $k$ .
    - (b) Obtaining  $a_0$  is simple. We have  $P_A(0) = a_0$ , and, as  $P_A(X) = \det(XI - A)$ , this means that  $a_0 = P_A(0) = \det(0I - A) = \det(-A)$ . To obtain  $a_{m-1}$ , we use induction. For a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, it can be seen to be  $-\text{tr}(A)$ . We assume that this holds for matrices up to  $m \times m$ . Now, from before, we know that  $P_A(X) = (X - a_{11}) \det(XI - A_{11}) + \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i+1} a_{1i} \Delta_{1i}$ . However, none of these tail terms contribute to  $a_{m-1}$ , as their degree is too low. It is the  $a_{m-1}$  term of  $(X - a_{11}) \det(XI - A_{11})$ . By induction hypothesis,  $\det(XI - A_{11})$  leads with  $X^{m-1} - \text{tr}(A_{11})X^{m-2}$ . Multiplying through, we get that  $a_{m-1} = -a_{11} - \text{tr}(A_{11}) = -\text{tr}(A)$ , as desired.

- (c) Let  $A$  be a matrix. Then  $P_A(X) = \det(XI - A) = \det(XI - A)^T = \det(XI^T - A^T) = \det(XI - A^T) = P_{A^T}(X)$ .
- (d) Let  $S$  invertible and  $A$  arbitrary. Then  $P_{SAS^{-1}}(X) = \det(XI - SAS^{-1}) = \det(XSIS^{-1} - SAS^{-1}) = \det(S(XI - A)S^{-1}) = \det(XI - A) = P_A(X)$ .
5. (a) The characteristic polynomial is  $x^4 - 1$ , and so we get four eigenvalues,  $1, -1, i, -i$  with corresponding eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ -i \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \\ i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

when working over a field where  $-1$  has square roots  $\pm i$ . If  $-1$  is not square, then there are only two eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

- (b) The characteristic polynomial is  $x^4 - 16x^3 + 90x^2 - 216x + 189 = (x - 3)^3(x - 7)$ . So it has four eigenvalues,  $3, 3, 3, 7$ , with eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which works over any field at all.

- (c) For this one, the formulas are very complicated, and can be obtained by careful calculation and application of the cubic formula.