

# ON THE ZEROS OF RIESZ' FUNCTION IN THE ANALYTIC THEORY OF NUMBERS

BY  
HERBERT S. WILF<sup>1</sup>

In a classical paper [1] M. Riesz introduced the entire function

$$(1) \quad F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} z^n}{(n-1)! \zeta(2n)}$$

and showed that a necessary and sufficient condition for the truth of Riemann's hypothesis is that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(2) \quad F(x) = O(x^{1/4+\varepsilon}) \quad (x \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Riesz also showed that  $F(z)$  is of order one, type one, genus one, has infinitely many zeros off the real axis, at least one on the real axis, has none in the left half-plane and satisfies

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(z/n^2) = ze^{-z},$$

$$(4) \quad F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} ze^{-z/n^2}$$

for all  $z$ .

In this note we prove certain additional properties of the set of zeros of  $F(z)$ . Let  $\{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}_1^{\infty}$  denote some arrangement of these zeros in nondecreasing order of modulus, let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  denote the subsequence of positive real zeros of  $F(z)$ , and let  $h(r, \delta)$  denote the number of zeros in the sector

$$|z| \leq r, \quad |\arg z| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta \quad (\delta > 0).$$

Then we show that

$$(5) \quad r_n \sim n\pi \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$(6) \quad h(r, \delta) = o(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^{-1} < \infty.$$

(8) There are infinitely many  $x_n$  and in fact

$$\sum_{x_n < x} 1 = \Omega(\log x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

The relations (5)–(7) depend hardly at all on the nature of the coefficients  $\mu(n)$  in (4) whereas (8) depends on very specific properties of these coefficients.

Received July 5, 1963.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the National Science Foundation.

To prove these assertions we consider an entire function which is represented by a Dirichlet series with bounded exponents,

$$(9) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{\lambda_n z}$$

where

$$(10) \quad -\infty < \lambda = \inf \{\lambda_n\} \leq \sup \{\lambda_n\} = \Lambda < \infty$$

and

$$(11) \quad \sum |a_n| < \infty.$$

We adopt the convention that  $a_n \neq 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). The Borel transform of  $f(z)$  is

$$\Phi(\zeta) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\zeta t} f(t) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n / (\zeta - \lambda_n).$$

The indicator diagram of  $f(z)$  is therefore the interval  $[\lambda, \Lambda]$  of the real axis and its indicator function is

$$(12) \quad h(\theta) = \max (\lambda \cos \theta, \Lambda \cos \theta).$$

Further it is clear that  $f(z)$  is bounded on the imaginary axis. It follows then from a theorem of Cartwright [2, p. 87] that

$$(13) \quad \sum_{r_n < r} 1 \sim \frac{\Lambda - \lambda}{\pi} r \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \theta_n}{r_n} < \infty.$$

We remark that if both the numbers  $\lambda, \Lambda$  actually appear among the  $\lambda_n$  then it is known from the theory of almost periodic functions [3] that the zeros of  $f(z)$  lie in a vertical strip of finite width and so (13) could be replaced by an estimate in terms of the ordinates instead of the moduli of the zeros.

In the present case  $f(z) = F(z)/z$ ,  $\lambda_n = -n^{-2}$ ,  $\lambda = -1$ ,  $\Lambda = 0$  and (5) follows from (13) while (6) and (7) follow from (14).

The assertion (8) is an easy consequence of a beautiful theorem of Pólya [4] who proved (sharpening an earlier result of Landau) that if the function  $\Phi(s)$  represented by the integral

$$\Phi(s) = \int_1^{\infty} \omega(u) u^{-s} du$$

is regular in  $\operatorname{Re} s > \Theta$  say, but in no half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \Theta - \epsilon$  and is meromorphic in  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \Theta - b$  for some  $b > 0$ , then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} W(x) / \log x \geq \gamma / \pi$$

where  $W(x)$  is the number of changes of sign of  $\omega(u)$  on  $(1, x)$  and  $\gamma$  is the

ordinate of the singularity of  $\Phi(s)$  on the line  $\operatorname{Re} s = \Theta$  of smallest imaginary part (or  $+\infty$  if no such singularity exists).

In the case of the Riesz function we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} F(x)x^{-s} dx = -\Gamma(2-s)/\zeta(2s-2)$$

and so

$$\Phi(s) = \int_1^{\infty} F(x)x^{-s} dx = -\Gamma(2-s)/\zeta(2s-2) + R(s).$$

The trivial estimate (see [5, page 260, ex. 4] or [1])

$$F(x) = O(x^{1/2+\epsilon}) \quad (x \rightarrow +\infty)$$

shows that  $\Phi(s)$  is regular for  $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{3}{2}$ , and since  $R(s)$  is regular for  $\operatorname{Re} s < 2$ ,  $\Phi(s)$  is meromorphic in the plane with singularities only at the zeros of  $\zeta(2s-2)$ .

On the Riemann hypothesis we could take  $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}$  in Pólya's theorem and  $2\gamma$  the ordinate of the first zero of  $\zeta(s)$  on the critical line. Without any hypothesis we know that  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \Theta \leq \frac{3}{2}$  and whatever the true value of  $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi(s)$  has no singularity on the real axis at  $s = \Theta$ , proving (8).

#### REFERENCES

1. M. RIESZ, *Sur l'hypothèse de Riemann*, Acta Math., vol. 40 (1916), pp. 185-190.
2. M. L. CARTWRIGHT, *Integral functions*, Cambridge, 1956.
3. A. BESICOVITCH, *Almost periodic functions*, Dover, 1954.
4. G. PÓLYA, *Über das Vorzeichen des Restgliedes im Primzahlsatz*, Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse, 1930, pp. 19-27.
5. H. WILF, *Mathematics for the physical sciences*, John Wiley, 1962.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA